Irsarith: a small fixed/hybrid arithmetic C library

David Avis

School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan and School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Charles Jordan

Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

February 1, 2021

Abstract

We describe Irsarith which is a small fixed precision and hybrid arithmetic C library for integers and rationals that we developed for use in the Irslib library for polyhedral computation. Using a generic set of operations, a program can be compiled with either 64-bit or 128-bit (if available) fixed precision, with an extended precision library such as GMP or the built-in MP routines. A simple scheme checks for overflow and either terminates the program or, in hybrid mode, changes to a higher precision arithmetic. Implementing these arithmetics in Irslib resulted in only minimal changes to the original code. We give computational results using Irs and mpIrs, vertex/facet enumeration codes in Irslib, using 64 and 128 bit fixed integer arithmetic with and without overflow checking, GMP arithmetic, Irsarith hybrid arithmetic with both GMP and MP, and FLINT hybrid arithmetic. We give a small self-contained example C program using the Irsarith package in both fixed precision and hybrid mode.

1 Introduction

When writing mathematical software, a fundamental choice is the arithmetic to use. It is easy to be seduced by the performance of native integers, but the possibility of incorrect output caused by overflow can be a major concern. To guarantee correctness, in many cases one must rely on multiprecision arithmetic such as that provided by the GNU Multiple Precision (GMP) Arithmetic Library. This comes with a large performance penalty compared to native integers on inputs where they suffice, and it's tempting to maintain multiple versions with different arithmetic.

Until version 7, this was the situation with Irslib, a library of programs for polyhedral computation including e.g. Irs for vertex/facet enumeration and redund for redundancy removal. From the outset Irslib used exact arithmetic and could be compiled with either fixed precision C integer arithmetic (without overflow checking) or with an internal extended precision arithmetic library. For safety, the default was to use extended precision. Later versions could also be compiled with the GMP package and more recently, with the hybrid arithmetic package available in FLINT. The fixed precision versions perform several times faster than the extended precision versions but can produce incorrect results if overflow occurs.

For this reason we developed a simple scheme to detect the possibility of overflow occurring while using fixed precision arithmetic. The code could then halt and allow the user to restart using higher or extended precision arithmetic. This led to the development of a hybrid scheme in Irslib to allow automatic restart with the next higher precision arithmetic. This gives users the performance of native arithmetic in most cases where it is safe, while keeping the correctness provided by the extended precision versions. The restart is from (very near) the point where a possible overflow was detected. Implementing this in Irslib resulted in only minimal changes to the original code and gives a significant performance improvement.

The main purpose of this paper is to explain how overflow checking and hybrid arithmetic was implemented and to give numerical results for a case study involving vertex/facet enumeration. This

explains the improved performance of Irslib 7 compared to previous versions. These techniques can be used in any C code without requiring the full Irslib library, so we created the much smaller, independent Irsarith package which contains only the arithmetic code. It can be downloaded from [1].

Implementing arithmetic in Irsarith allows developers to postpone the choice of arithmetic until compile time. With some additional effort to handle overflows and restarting from intermediate points of the computation, it also allows the developer to obtain the performance of native integers when they suffice and the correctness of an extended precision library when required.

1.1 Related work

When comparing various codes for vertex/facet enumeration [2], we noted that the hybrid arithmetic available in normaliz could give a large speedup for certain inputs. The default in normaliz is to begin the computation in 64-bit integers and to restart using GMP extended precision if overflow occurs. However, the restart is from the beginning of the computation, which can hurt performance on certain inputs where the repeated work is significant (see the comparison in [2]).

The Fast Library for Number Theory (FLINT) [5] is a collection of modules that support various arithmetic operations. In particular, we use the fmpz module for extended precision arithmetic that balances "very efficient" performance on small integers with performance similar to GMP for large integers. We will compare Irsarith performance with FLINT in Section 4.4. Although FLINT is easier to develop with since switching arithmetic is transparent to the user, Irsarith can give higher performance on small integers. FLINT contains much additional functionality beyond extended precision arithmetic, but usually requires the user to install it.

Porta [4] is a collection of routines related to polytopes, including vertex/facet enumeration. It supports both fixed precision and its own multiprecision arithmetic and was included in the comparison [2] mentioned earlier.

The standard general purpose library for extended precision arithmetic with large integers is GMP¹. It is highly optimized for many platforms and was the default in Irslib for some time. Historically, Irslib used its own multiprecision arithmetic (MP) and this is still supported. Comparisons are given in Section 4.4.

1.2 Organization of the paper

The paper contains two parts that can essentially be read independently. The first part gives a detailed explanation of how we implement the various arithmetics with a simple but complete example program that can be used as a template for developing with Irsarith. In Section 2 we show how overflow checking is implemented in our fixed precision Irslong library. We give a simple example to show how a single C code can be compiled with 64-bit, 128-bit, MP or GMP arithmetic. In the first three cases the program terminates when the possibility of overflow is detected. In Section 3 we continue the example to show how a program can restart after overflow has been detected, using the next level of arithmetic. This form of hybrid arithmetic is used in Irslib. Computational results are presented in both sections for a larger example involving Collatz sequences.

The second part of the paper begins in Section 4 where we present our case study and give computational results comparing various arithmetic packages that can be used in Irslib. These include fixed integer arithmetic with and without overflow checking, GMP arithmetic, two versions of Irsarith hybrid arithmetic and FLINT hybrid arithmetic.

2 Fixed arithmetic

2.1 Definitions and overflow handling

Arithmetic is handled in Irsarith by defining a generic integer type and a set of generic operations. A generic integer a, integer vector v and integer matrix A are defined

¹https://gmplib.org/

```
lrs_mp a; lrs_mp_vector v; lrs_mp_matrix A;
allocated
lrs_alloc_mp(a); v=lrs_alloc_mp_vector(n); A=lrs_alloc_mp_matrix(m,n);
and freed
lrs_clear_mp(a); lrs_clear_mp_vector(n); lrs_clear_mp_matrix(m,n);
```

where m and n are the dimensions. The types are assigned at compile time depending on the arithmetic used. For 64-bit and 128-bit integers they are assigned to fixed length integers and for GMP arithmetic to the GMP integer type:

Operations using lrs_mp integers are written generically. Typical examples are as follows where a,b,c,d,e are lrs_mp integers, i is a long long and the equivalent C code is given in parentheses:

A small C program, fixed.c, using some of these operations is given in Appendix A. It reads in an integer k and attempts to repeatedly square it six times. We will discuss the program later in this section. Generic operations are either assigned at compile time by macros, for example:

or by C code in the case of MP. In this way arithmetic operations are essentially the same as using the underlying arithmetic package.

The problem is that overflow is not detected in 64-bit and 128-bit arithmetic. We solve this problem by a technique we call *lazy overflow handling*. Using very light extra computation we detect when it is *possible* that an overflow may occur. Control is then given to an overflow handler that either halts computation or restarts the program using arithmetic with higher precision. While restarting from the beginning of execution is simplest, later we will see options for restarting from intermediate checkpoints – allowing programs to use faster arithmetic for initial portions of computations in some cases.

To incorporate lazy overflow handling we define some constants that depend on the word size W which is either 64 or 128 in Irsarith:

$$\mathsf{MAXDm} = \left\lfloor \sqrt{2^{W-1} - 1} \right\rfloor \quad \mathsf{MAXDI} = 2^{W/2 - 1} - 1 \quad \mathsf{MAXDa} = 2^{W-2} - 1 \tag{1}$$

MAXDa is the constant used in testing for overflow when using addition or similar operations, MAXDI is used for linint and MAXDm is used for multiplying. Three macros test whether the operands a and b are out of bounds:

Using these macros we can write macros for the generic operations above as follows:

```
#define addint(a,b,c) {if(mpsafea(a,b)) lrs_overflow(1); else *(c) = *(a) + *(b);} #define mulint(a,b,c) {if(mpsafem(a,b)) lrs_overflow(1); else *(c) = *(a) * *(b);} #define divint(a,b,c) {*(c) = *(a) / *(b); *(a) = *(a) % *(b);} #define linint(a,ka,b,kb) {if(mpsafel(a,b)) lrs_overflow(1); else *(a)=*(a)*ka+*(b)*kb;} #define qpiv(a,b,c,d,e) {if(mpsafel(a,b)|| mpsafel(c,d)) lrs_overflow(1); else *(a) = *(a) * *(b) - *(c) * *(d))/(*e);}
```

We claim that if the integer arithmetic would overflow then Irs_overflow is called. Since we are using signed integer arithmetic this is equivalent to proving that the result (and intermediate values) in each case is at most $2^{W-1} - 1$. Proceeding case by case:

- addint(a, b, c): $|a|, |b| \leq \mathsf{MAXDa}$ and so $a + b \leq 2 * (2^{W-2} 1) = 2^{W-1} 2$;
- $\operatorname{mulint}(a,b,c)$: $|a|,|b| \leq \operatorname{MAXDm}$ and so $a*b \leq \left(\sqrt{2^{W-1}-1}\right)^2 = 2^{W-1}-1$;
- linint(a, ka, b, kb) $|a|, |b|, |ka|, |kb| \le \mathsf{MAXDI}$ and so $a*ka+b*kb \le 2 (2^{W/2-1}-1)^2 = 2^{W-1}-2^{W/2+1}+2 \,.$

Note that divint cannot overflow and that the analysis for qpiv is thus essentially the same as for linint, proving the claim.

Rational arithmetic is handled in Irsarith in a very simple way by representing the rational number a/b by two Irs_mp integers a and b. The operation

```
reduce(a,b)
```

divides a and b by their greatest common divisor. Arithmetic operations for rationals are based on the integer operations above. For example

Overflow checking for rational arithmetic is inherited from the corresponding integer arithmetic.

We now look more closely at the code fixed.c for iterated squaring given in Listing 1 of Appendix A. Among the includes on lines 1–3 we have the Irsarith header file. On lines 7–9 is the function Irs_overflow that handles overflow processing. In this case it simply prints a message and halts the program. In the main routine we see that two Irs_mp variables are declared. These are allocated and cleared in lines 16 and 26. These are null operations for 64 or 128-bit arithmetic and MP but are needed in GMP. On line 15 we initialize the arithmetic package. The next lines read an integer k and attempt to iteratively square it six times.

Using the makefile in Listing 2 we can compile fixed.c with each of the arithmetic packages depending on the compiler switches used. For 64 or 128-bit arithmetic the -DLRSLONG switch is set, with -DB128 also set for 128-bit arithmetic. The -DSAFE switch enables overflow checking and handling as described in this section. The files lrsarith.h and lrsarith.c use these switches to ensure that the correct arithmetic files are included. Lines 2 and 3 produce the binaries fixed1 and fixed2. For illustrative purposes we also compile without the -DSAFE switch obtaining fixed1n and fixed2n in lines 4 and 5. In this case no overflow checking is performed. With the -DMP switch set the MP version is produced. Finally by setting the -DGMP switch we compile with the external GMP library which must be preinstalled. For simplicity, we assume that the necessary files are in standard locations otherwise the locations need to be specified. We ran fixed1, fixed2, fixedmp and fixedgmp with the input k=5 getting the following output, respectively:

```
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 overflow detected:halting
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 2328364365386962890625 overflow detected:halting
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 23283064365386962890625 542101086242752217003726400434970855712890625
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 23283064365386962890625 542101086242752217003726400434970855712890625
```

fixed1 can compute 5^{16} before overflowing and fixed2 can also compute 5^{32} . Both fixedmp and fixedgmp can compute 5^{64} . Running fixed1n and fixed2n with no overflow protection produces incorrect output. This can observed by noting the three cases where the last digit is not 5:

```
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 3273344365508751233 7942358959831785217 5 25 625 390625 152587890625 23283064365386962890625 -30240059481632067979667719627811971327
```

2.2 Fixed precision performance

We briefly compare performance of the various fixed arithmetic packages in order to evaluate the overhead used by overflow checking. While this can also be seen in the more extended comparison done in Section 4, here we use a simple code to further demonstrate the use of Irsarith.

We consider a problem that uses a great deal of relatively simple computations and little output. The Collatz conjecture [6, 7] is a famous open problem in number theory: given a number k, we replace k by 3k + 1 if k is odd and by k/2 if it is even. The process continues in the same way and the question is whether for every starting value, the sequence eventually reaches 1. There is a great deal of work [6, 7] on the conjecture and it has been [3] verified² for all $k < 2^{68}$.

Our goal is to compare arithmetic packages and not to computationally verify larger values, so we avoid techniques such as huge lookup tables for simplicity. We consider the Collatz sequence from k as a path from the integer k to the value one. The set of such paths defines an infinite tree with root k = 1 and the conjecture holds if all integers appear in the tree. One way to make the tree finite is to give a parameter max and consider the tree of all paths to the root that do not contain an integer k greater than max. This finite tree can be generated from the root without using memory to store its nodes using the reverse search procedure.

We wrote a code coll^3 to generate the tree in this way. As long as $max < 2^{63}/3$ the program will not overflow in 64-bit arithmetic. Table 1 gives the running times⁴ when the various arithmetic packages are compiled with coll. The binary collflint uses FLINT arithmetic (version 2.6.3) and the other binaries are labelled in the same way as for fixed.c above.

max	nodes	coll1	coll1n	coll2	coll2n	collgmp	collflint	collmp
10^{8}	39523168	0.70	0.60	5.20	4.63	9.20	7.24	7.19
10^{9}	395436300	6.50	5.79	54.37	48.40	91.87	72.26	72.35
10^{10}	3953296865	82.28	57.26	570.26	511.66	923.77	866.20	864.84

Table 1: Collatz tree generation: times in seconds Collatz tree (mai48)

The values in Table 1 are small enough to avoid overflows, but one can see that overflow checking in Irslong results in only minor overhead. The relative performance of the packages will vary between machines, mix of arithmetic operations, compilers and versions of extended precision libraries; however, these results give a sample of what can be obtained. It is also worth noting that the multiprecision arithmetics are generally focused more on larger operands. In any case, for this computation on this machine, 128-bit arithmetic is roughly eight times slower than 64-bit arithmetic. FLINT arithmetic is somewhat faster than GMP (version 6.0) but slower than the dedicated fixed precision arithmetics. This is generally reasonable – FLINT makes more effort to obtain good performance on small operands, however Irsarith fixed arithmetic is essentially the same as using native arithmetic.

²See also David Barina's page on the current status: https://pcbarina.fit.vutbr.cz/

³coll is contained in Irsarith-010.

⁴mai48: 2x AMD EPYC7552 2.3GHz, 48 cores, 512 GB memory, CentOS 7.6, gcc 4.8.5

3 Hybrid arithmetic

The fixed arithmetic versions described in the previous section are very simple to implement and easy to use, giving good performance for inputs that do not require very long integers. If overflow occurs the user can manually rerun the job with a higher precision arithmetic package. However, it is certainly more convenient to automatically switch from one arithmetic to another with higher precision when overflow could occur. In this section we describe how this can be done using Irsarith, while making only minor modifications to the original user code.

3.1 Combining fixed arithmetic packages

We illustrate our approach using the example program of the previous section that reads an integer k and successively squares it. The hybrid code is shown in Appendix B. Lines 9–29 contain the function run that is essentially the function main from Appendix A. Instead of reading the integer k, it is passed to run as a parameter.

The major change is the use of setjmp to allow lrs_overflow to return control to the program that calls run. This is achieved via the variable buf1 defined on line 7. The main loop (lines 18–25) of run is enclosed by a test on line 17. If lrs_overflow (lines 31–33) is called by the arithmetic package a long jump is performed to the statement immediately after the end of the enclosed loop, i.e. line 26. This prints a warning and returns to the routine that called run.

In fact there are three routines that call run, namely run1, run2, and runmp (GMP or MP), but only the first two can trigger a call to Irs_overflow. The function run itself will need to be compiled three times, once for each package. The arithmetic package used and the routine to call run are selected at compile time by compiler directives in the makefile and on lines 35–43, respectively. The problem now arises that since run will be compiled three times the three versions will need different names, a process known as name mangling. In fact all of the routines that use Irs_mp will also need name mangling. The particular scheme used in Irsarith was suggested by David Bremner and is illustrated in line 5. A unique suffix suf defined in the arithmetic header file used is added to the function run. These define lines will be needed for each user supplied routine. The define for Irs_overflow is contained in Irslong.h.

Listing 5 contains the main program with its header file given in Listing 4. It begins by reading the parameter k and by calling run1 which uses 64-bit arithmetic. If overflow occurs a return code of 1 is triggered by Irs_overflow causing main to call run2 using 128-bit arithmetic. If this in turn overflows a final call is made to runmp which uses GMP⁵. In the makefile given in Listing 6, lines 4-6 compile the arithmetic libraries. Lines 7-9 compile hybridlib.c three times, once with each arithmetic library. Finally line 11 combines everything into a single executable hybrid. Running hybrid with k=5 produces:

```
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 overflow detected:restarting
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 2328364365386962890625 overflow detected:restarting
5 25 625 390625 152587890625 23283064365386962890625 542101086242752217003726400434970855712890625
```

3.2 Hybrid performance

We continue the example of generating Collatz trees introduced in Section 2.2. This time we use much larger values of max in order to create overflow conditions in both 64-bit and 128-bit arithmetic. As the trees now become extremely large, we terminate the programs after 10^8 nodes have been generated. The program is deterministic so in each case the same nodes are traversed. The program coll is the hybrid code combining coll1, coll2 and collgmp created in the same way as hybrid described above.

The results are shown in Table 2. On each line the final arithmetic used in coll is shown in blue. It is interesting to observe that for each of these three runs collgmp runs in roughly the same time and that, for $max = 10^{32}$, this is faster than the 128-bit arithmetic coll2 (and hence coll).

The simple scheme described in this section will be adequate in many applications but has a number of disadvantages. First, memory allocated during the run for a given arithmetic may not be

⁵For simplicity we have omitted lines which produce a hybrid executable with MP, but they are in the Irsarith makefile.

max	coll	coll1	coll2	collgmp	collmp	collflint
	(hybrid)					(hybrid)
10^{16}	1.67	1.70	18.60	24.43	22.93	24.85
10^{32}	36.21	(o)	36.17	24.15	34.10	34.04
10^{48}	25.59	(o)	(o)	24.66	45.83	45.97

(o)=possible overflow detected

Table 2: Collatz tree generation: 10^8 nodes, times in seconds (mai48)

freed after an overflow occurs, causing a memory leak. More importantly, the simple scheme here restarts from the start of program execution. Forgetting the past can be helpful but this can hurt performance if overflow occurs near the end of program execution. It would be much better to not redo work that has already been done, especially with slower arithmetic.

In applications such as Irslib, these are serious issues. The definition of lrs_mp depends on the arithmetic, so offsets into structures containing lrs_mp can change after switching arithmetic. Handling overflows appropriately is therefore more complicated than simply forgetting the past and restarting, as was described here.

The solution used in Irslib was to use a global variable to point to the allocated data structures. This allows Irs_overflow to free the data after overflow is detected, before switching to the next arithmetic. A further improvement in Irslib was to allow the program to restart at the point in the calculation where the overflow was detected. Again this was done using a global variable and also the ability of the original code to restart. Fortunately this was already available. In other programs, it may be necessary to add periodic checkpoints and it can be helpful to separate structures that contain <code>lrs_mp</code> from those that don't.

4 Vertex/facet enumeration problems

When comparing [2] various codes for vertex/facet enumeration, we noted that hybrid arithmetic could give a large speedup for certain inputs, but that this was not available in Irslib at the time. We now turn our attention to the original motivation for developing the hybrid version of Irsarith: obtaining these speedups in Irslib v. 7.

We begin by introducing the basics of vertex and facet enumeration. Then, we explain the parallel hybrid version mplrs, since this handles overflow somewhat differently than hybrid lrs. In Section 4.3 we describe the experimental setup and in Section 4.4 we present a comparison between the different arithmetic packages as used in lrs and mplrs.

4.1 Background

A convex polyhedron P can be represented by either a list of vertices and extreme rays, called a V-representation, or a list of its facet defining inequalities, called an H-representation. The vertex enumeration problem is to convert an H-representation to a V-representation. The computationally equivalent facet enumeration problem performs the reverse transformation. For further background see Ziegler [8]. We will use the lrs program in Irslib to experiment with various instances of these problems. A comparison with other codes including mplrs, a parallel version of Irs, to solve these problems can be found in [2].

The input is represented by an m by n matrix. For a vertex enumeration problem this is a list of m inequalities in n-1 variables whose intersection define P. A vertex (resp. extreme ray) is the intersection of a set of n-1 (resp. n-2) input inequalities, taken as equations, that satisfies the remaining inequalities. A major difficulty is caused by degeneracy which occurs when more than n-1 inequalities intersect at a vertex. In this case Irs generates multiple representations of the vertex, known as bases. For a facet enumeration problem the input is a list of the vertices of P each beginning with a 1 in column one⁶. A facet is defined by a set of n-1 input vertices which span a hyperplane for

 $^{^6\}mathrm{Extreme}$ rays would be indicated by a zero in column one.

which all of the other input vertices lie on one side. Here degeneracy is manifested when more than n-1 vertices lie on the hyperplane. Again Irs will generate the facet multiple times, each known as a basis. When degeneracy occurs the vertex or facet is output when the index-wise lexicographically minimum basis is found.

4.2 Hybrid mplrs

On detecting a possible overflow, the hybrid version of lrs switches to the next highest precision arithmetic package which it uses from that point onwards. The sequential implementation was parallelized as mplrs [2] which dynamically partitions the work and provides a series of subproblems to multiple lrs workers.

If each of these workers was a hybrid lrs process, it would start each problem with the fastest arithmetic and switch to higher precision when detecting a possible overflow. This has a few drawbacks;

- 1. Duplicate output: it's possible that when restarting after an overflow, we reprint the most recent line of output.
- 2. Performance: if overflow occurs, it usually occurs often in the run (ie not infrequently). There is overhead in restarting and switching arithmetic and so we would prefer to avoid frequent switches.

In the hybrid version of mplrs, each of the workers is a fixed arithmetic process that returns to mplrs when possible overflow is detected. At that point, mplrs re-initializes the worker in question using the next available arithmetic. All output produced by the subproblem is discarded and the worker restarts from the beginning (of the subproblem). The worker uses the new arithmetic from that point onwards.

This approach avoids duplicate output: by default, hybrid mplrs holds output produced by the worker if the arithmetic could overflow, flushing it only when the job ends. It also resolves the second problem; each worker can only overflow and switch arithmetic twice in the overall run – the same as hybrid lrs. In addition, if overflow is a rare event then it is possible for only some workers to overflow. This makes larger speedups possible comparable to hybrid lrs.

There is overhead in two areas. First, when overflow is detected the worker restarts its job. This overhead is limited because jobs are usually very small⁷ and workers can only overflow at most twice during the run. Next, since mplrs traverses different parts of the reverse search tree in a different order compared to lrs, it's possible for overflow to occur earlier than it would in lrs. This could hurt performance if lrs overflows only at the end but could also help performance by avoiding early lrs overflows.

One complication is that for volume computation, mplrs internally uses the maximum precision arithmetic available. This means that mplrs may not agree with the worker process on arithmetic. Communication between the worker process and mplrs therefore avoids using lrs_mp integers.

After checkpointing and restarting, hybrid mplrs begins again with the fastest arithmetic available. Checkpoint files are compatible between the various arithmetic packages.

4.3 Experimental setup

The polytopes we tested are described in Table 3 and, except for two new problems, were previously described and used in [2]. The problems range from non-degenerate to highly degenerate polyhedra. This table includes the results of an lrs run on each polytope as lrs gives the number of bases in a symbolic perturbation of the polytope. The new problems are:

- cp7 is the cut polytope for 7 points which has input coefficients 0 or 1 and is highly degenerate.
- p8-6 is related to the holographic cone studied in physics and is an extension of the eight point cut polytope. Input coordinates are 0, 1 or -1 and it is the most degenerate problem studied.

⁷See e.g., Figure 3(c,d) in [2].

We include a column labelled degeneracy which is the number of bases divided by the number of vertices (or facets) output, rounded to the nearest integer. We have sorted the table in order of increasing degeneracy. The horizontal line separates the non-degenerate from the degenerate problems. The corresponding input files are available by following the download link at [1]. Note that the input sizes are small, roughly comparable and much smaller than the output sizes.

Name	Input			Output		lrs				
	H/V	m	n	size	V/H	size	bases	secs	depth	degeneracy
c30	V	30	16	4.7K	341088	73.8M	319770	36	14	1
c40	V	40	21	12K	40060020	15.6G	20030010	7531	19	1
km22	Н	44	23	4.8K	4194304	1.2G	4194304	234	22	1
perm10	Н	1023	11	29K	3628800	127M	3628800	283	45	1
vf500	V	500	7	98K	56669	38M	202985	137	41	4
vf900	V	900	7	20K	55903	3.9M	264385	23	45	5
mit71	Н	71	61	9.5K	3149579	1.1G	57613364	15474	20	18
fq48	Н	48	19	2.1K	119184	8.7M	7843390	44	24	66
mit	H	729	9	21K	4862	196K	1375608	132	101	283
cp7	V	64	22	3K	116764	7.4M	308644212	4071	41	2643
bv7	Η	69	57	8.1K	5040	867K	84707280	1256	17	16807
p8-6	Н	154	92	36K	4452	1.2M	110640628	16152	63	24852

Table 3: Polytopes tested and Irs v. 7.1 times (mai48)

4.4 Comparison of arithmetic packages

In this section we give numerical results on using Irslib to solve the vertex enumeration problems described in the previous section with the various arithmetic packages in Irsarith. We test the following suite of codes, which apart from the exception noted below, are available in Irslib v. 7.1:

- Irs1: 64-bit fixed arithmetic with overflow checking.
- Irs2: 128-bit fixed arithmetic with overflow checking.
- Irsgmp: GMP arithmetic (version 6.0). Comparable to default Irs v. 6.2 and earlier.
- Irs: Irsarith hybrid arithmetic. Starts with Irs1 and switches to Irs2 (if available) and finally Irsgmp. Default version of Irs.
- IrsMP8: As Irs except in the final step internal MP arithmetic is used.
- Irsflint: FLINT hybrid arithmetic (version 2.6.3).
- mplrsgmp: Multi-core version of lrsgmp, comparable to default mplrs v. 6.2 and earlier.
- mplrs: Hybrid multi-core version of lrs. Default version of mplrs.

Also available in Irslib v. 7.1 are the parallel versions mplrs1, mplrs2, and mplrsflint of the corresponding lrs codes above.

The results of the tests are shown in Table 4. The programs lrs1 and lrs2 either produce the correct output or indicate that an overflow may occur (o) and terminate. The columns lrs and lrsMP give the running times for the hybrid versions. When 64 or 128-bit arithmetic suffices these two running times are essentially the same. When extended precision is required the internal MP arithmetic may be much slower than GMP, especially for $c4\theta$, which requires 459 decimal digits. The final two columns show the speedups obtained by using the multicore versions mplrs and mplrsgmp with 40 processors available.

In the table we show in blue which arithmetic version was in use when lrs, shown in red, terminated. As to be expected lrs performs roughly the same as lrsgmp when the integers become too large for

⁸Available in v. 7.2 or on request.

Name	Sing	le Arithi	metic	Hyb	rid Arithr	40 cores		
	lrs1	lrs2	Irsgmp	lrs	IrsMP	Irsflint	mplrsgmp	mplrs
c30	(o)	(o)	36	36	295	42	2	3
c40	(o)	(o)	7707	7581	97532	8341	402	389
km22	(o)	(o)	237	234	384	187	8	8
perm10	288	538	2429	283	284	1120	113	16
vf500	(o)	(o)	139	137	1658	163	12	11
vf900	(o)	23	103	23	23	170	8	2
mit71	(o)	(o)	15489	15474	110467	26432	731	723
fq48	44	77	265	44	44	147	11	1
mit	(o)	134	563	132	131	270	27	7
cp7	4105	6824	25030	4071	4039	14413	1141	191
bv7	1276	2346	7981	1256	1252	4874	357	65
<i>p8-6</i>	16197	23271	56519	16152	15970	45838	2395	661

(o)=possible overflow detected

Table 4: Comparison of running times for various types of arithmetic, Irslib v. 7.1 (mai48)

fixed precision. Speedups of 2–4 times are observed for the combinatorial problems which can be solved using only 64 or 128 bits. In general Irsflint did not perform as well as Irs but it is usually faster than Irsgmp on the combinatorial problems. The approach used in Irsarith hybrid arithmetic allows it to obtain essentially native integer performance, but requires effort from the developer to handle overflow. FLINT is easier for the developer but did not achieve the same performance when all values are small. Another possible reason for the lack of performance is that we did not use FLINT matrices as this would have required substantial reprogramming of Irs.

We can observe that 128-bit arithmetic runs roughly 1.5-2 times slower than 64-bit arithmetic, when 64-bit arithmetic suffices. Hence there is a strong incentive to start the computation with 64 bits using 128 bits only when necessary. This latter outcome occurred for mit and vf900.

5 Conclusion

We introduced Irsarith which is a small C library for performing fixed precision arithmetic on integers and rationals with overflow protection and allows hybrid arithmetic. It was developed as part of the Irslib polyhedral computation package. However, due to its small size and ease of use we decided to release it as an independent package. The details of the method used and small examples were given in the first part of the paper.

In the second part we gave computational results for some vertex enumeration problems. The examples show the diversity of such problems and this is reflected in the arithmetic precision required to solve them. Many combinatorial polytopes involve calculations that can be completed without overflow using 64 (or 128) bit integers. Using fixed arithmetic with overflow checking or hybrid arithmetic, speedups of 2–4 times can be achieved and these carry over to parallel implementations. The new hybrid version described here explains the performance improvements found in Irslib version 7 relative to previous versions or earlier comparisons [2].

Acknowledgements

We thank David Bremner for many helpful discussions and in particular for the elegant implementation of name mangling. This work was partially supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grants 16H02785, 18H05291, 18K18027, 20H00579, 20H00595.

References

[1] D. Avis. http://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~avis/C/lrs.html.

- [2] David Avis and Charles Jordan. mplrs: A scalable parallel vertex/facet enumeration code. *Mathematical Programming Computation*, 10(2):267–302, 2018.
- [3] David Barina. Convergence verification of the Collatz problem. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 2020.
- [4] T. Christof and A. Loebel. http://porta.zib.de.
- [5] William B. Hart. Fast library for number theory: an introduction. In *Mathematical Software ICMS 2010*, volume 6327 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 88–91, 2010.
- [6] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. The 3x + 1 problem: An annotated bibliography (1963–1999) (sorted by author). arXiv:math/0309224, 2003.
- [7] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. The 3x + 1 problem: An annotated bibliography, II (2000-2009). arXiv:math/0608208, 2006.
- [8] Günter M. Ziegler. Lectures on polytopes. Springer, 1995.

A Single arithmetic code for repeated squaring

Listing 1: fixed.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
   #include <stdlib.h>
   #include "lrsarith.h"
3
   FILE *lrs_ifp ,*lrs_ofp;
    void lrs_overflow(int parm){
     fprintf(lrs_ofp ," overflow detected:halting\n");
8
     exit (1);
9
10
11
    int main (void) {
12
     long i,k;
13
     lrs_mp a,b;
14
     lrs_mp_init(10, stdin, stdout);
15
     lrs_alloc_mp(a); lrs_alloc_mp(b);
16
     fscanf(lrs_ifp, "%ld",&k);
17
     itomp(k,b);
18
     pmp("",b);
19
     for (i=1; i <=6; i++)
20
21
        copy(a,b);
22
        mulint(a,a,b);
23
        pmp("",b);
24
25
     lrs_clear_mp(a); lrs_clear_mp(b);
26
     fprintf(lrs_ofp,"\n");
27
     return 0;
28
                                        Listing 2: makefile
    fixed: fixed.c lrsarith.c lrsarith.h lrslong.c lrslong.h lrsgmp.c lrsgmp.h lrsmp
        .c lrsmp.h
     $(CC) -DLRSLONG -DSAFE -o fixed1 lrsarith.c fixed.c
     $(CC) -DLRSLONG -DB128 -DSAFE -o fixed2 lrsarith.c fixed.c
3
     $(CC) -DLRSLONG -o fixed1n lrsarith.c fixed.c
```

- (CC) —DLRSLONG —DB128 —o fixed2n lrsarith.c fixed.c
- 6
- (CC)' —DMP —o fixedmp lrsarith.c fixed.c (CC) —DGMP —o fixedgmp lrsarith.c fixed.c —lgmp

B Hybrid arithmetic code for repeated squaring

Listing 3: hybridlib.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
   #include <stdlib.h>
   #include <setjmp.h>
   #include "lrsarith.h"
    #define run suf(run)
    static jmp_buf buf1;
                             /* return location when overflowing */
    int run(long k){
     long i;
10
     lrs_mp a,b;
11
     lrs_mp_init(0, stdin, stdout);
     lrs_alloc_mp(a); lrs_alloc_mp(b);
13
     itomp(k,b);
14
     pmp("",b);
15
16
     if (!setjmp(buf1)){ /* overflow test */
17
      for (i=1; i <=6; i++){
18
        copy(a,b);
19
        mulint(a,a,b);
20
        pmp("",b);
21
22
      lrs_clear_mp(a); lrs_clear_mp(b);
23
      return 0;
24
25
      printf("
                  overflow detected: restarting \n");
26
      lrs_clear_mp(a); lrs_clear_mp(b);
27
28
      return 1;
    }
29
30
    void lrs_overflow(int parm){
31
        longjmp(buf1,1);
32
    }
33
34
   #if defined (MA) && defined (LRSLONG)
35
    #ifdef B128
36
                              /* 128 bit
    int run2(long k){
37
   #else
    int run1(long k){
                              /* 64 bit
   #endif
40
   #else
41
    int runmp(long k){
                              /* other arithmetic */
    #endif
43
44
     return(run(k));
45
    }
46
```

Listing 4: hybrid.h

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
   #include <stdlib.h>
2
3
   FILE *lrs_ifp; /* input file pointer */
   FILE *lrs_ofp; /* output file pointer */
5
   int run1(long k);
7
   int run2(long k);
   int runmp(long k);
                                      Listing 5: hybrid.c
   int main (void) {
1
   long k;
2
3
    scanf("%ld",&k);
4
5
    if (run1(k))
                         /* TRUE on 64 bit overflow */
6
                         /* TRUE on 128 bit overflow */
      if (run2(k))
7
       runmp(k);
8
9
    printf("\n");
10
   return 0;
11
12
   }
                                      Listing 6: makefile
   HOBJ=lrslong1.o hybridlib1.o lrslong2.o lrsgmp.o hybridlib2.o hybridlibgmp.o
1
2
   hybrid: hybrid.c lrslong.c lrslong.h lrsgmp.c lrsgmp.h hybridlib.c hybrid.h
3
       lrsarith.c
     $(CC) -DMA -DSAFE -DLRSLONG -c -o lrslong1.o lrsarith.c
     $(CC) -DMA -DB128 -DSAFE -DLRSLONG -c -o lrslong2.o lrsarith.c
5
     (CC) -DMA -DGMP -c -o lrsgmp.o lrsarith.c
6
    (CC) -DMA -DSAFE -DLRSLONG -c -o hybridlib1.o hybridlib.c
    (CC) —DMA —DB128 —DSAFE —DLRSLONG —c —o hybridlib2.o hybridlib.c
8
    (CC) —DMA —DGMP -c —o hybridlibgmp.o hybridlib.c
10
    $(CC) -DMA -DLRSLONG -DSAFE -o hybrid ${HOBJ} hybrid.c -lgmp
```