воок

CHAP. II.

Of the Sources of the general or publick Revenue of the Society.

THE revenue which must defray, not only the expence of defending the society and of supporting the dignity of the chief magistrate, but all the other necessary expences of government, for which the constitution of the state has not provided any particular revenue, may be drawn, either, first, from some fund which peculiarly belongs to the sovereign or commonwealth, and which is independent of the revenue of the people; or, secondly, from the revenue of the people.

### PART I.

Of the Funds or Sources of Revenue which may peculiarly belong to the Sovereign or Commonwealth.

THE funds or fources of revenue which may peculiarly belong to the fovereign or commonwealth must consist either in stock or in land.

THE fovereign, like any other owner of stock, may derive a revenue from it, either by employing it himself, or by lending it. His revenue is in the one case, prosit; in the other, interest.

The revenue of a Tartar or Arabian chief confifts in profit. It arises principally from the milk and increase of his own herds and flocks, of which he himself super-intends the management, and is the principal shepherd or herdsman of his own horde or tribe. It is, however, in this earliest and rudest state of civil government only

only that profit has ever made the principal part of the public C H A'Pi revenue of a monarchical state.

SMALL republics have fometimes derived a confiderable revenue. from the profit of mercantile projects. The republic of Hamburgh is faid to do fo from the profits of a public wine cellar and apothecaries shop \*. The state cannot be very great of which the sovereign has leifure to carry on the trade of a wine merchant or apothecary. The profit of a public bank has been a fource of revenue to more confiderable states. It has been so not only to Hamburgh, but to Venice and Amsterdam. A revenue of this kind has even by some people been thought not below the attention of so great an empire as that of Great Britain. Reckoning the ordinary dividend of the bank of England at five and a half per cent. and its capital at ten millions feven hundred and eighty thousand pounds, the neat annual profit, after paying the expence of management, must amount, it is said, to five hundred and ninety-two thousand nine hundred pounds. Government, it is pretended, could borrow this capital at three per cent, interest, and by taking the management of the bank into its own hands, might make a clear profit of two hundred and fixty-nine thousand five hundred pounds a year. The orderly, vigilant and parfimonious administration of fuch aristocracies as those of Venice and Amsterdam, is extremely proper, it appears from experience, for the management of a mercantile project of this kind. But whether fuch a government as that of England; which, whatever may be its virtues, has never

\* See Memoires concernant les Droits & Impolitions en Europe: tome 1. page 73. This work was compiled by the order of the court for the use of a commission employed for some years past in considering the proper means for reforming the sinances of France. The account of the French taxes, which takes up three volumes in quarto, may be regarded as perfectly authentic. That of those of other European nations was compiled from such informations as the French ministers at the different courts could procure. It is much shorter; and probably not quite so exact as that of the French taxes.

been.

CHAP.

BOOK been famous for good economy, which in time of peace has generally conducted itself with the slothful and negligent profusion that is perhaps natural to monarchies; and in time of war has constantly acted with all the thoughtless extravagance that democracies are apt to fall into; could be fafely trusted with the management of fuch a project must at least be a good deal more doubtful, is the instrument of a compatible of the property of - อสที่ 30 ร้าวเลือบอก อสที่จับมาใช้ อย่างเรื่องดี เชื้อ เคยา ( เลา ซากั bl. สที่ มาฟูเล

THE post office is properly a mercantile project. The government advances the expence of establishing the different offices, and of buying or hiring the necessary horses or carriages, and is repaid with a large profit by the duties upon what is carried. It is perhaps the only mercantile project which has been fuccefsfully managed by, I believe, every fort of government. The capital to be advanced is not very confiderable. There is no mystery in the business. The returns are not only certain, but immediate.

PRINCES, however, have frequently engaged in many other mercantile projects, and have been willing, like private persons, to mend their fortunes by becoming adventurers in the common branches of trade. They have fcarce ever succeeded. The profusion with which the affairs of princes are always managed, renders it almost impossible that they should. The agents of a prince regard the wealth of their master as inexhaustible; are careless at what price they buy; are careless at what price they fell; are careless at what expence they transport his goods from one place to another. Those agents frequently live with the profufion of princes, and fometimes too, in spite of that profusion, and by a proper method of making up their accounts, acquire the fortunes of princes. It was thus, as we are told by Machiavel, that the agents of Lorenzo of Medicis, not a prince of mean abilities, carried on his trade. The republic of Florence was feveral times obliged to pay the debt into which their extravagance had involved him. He found it convenient, accordingly, to give up the business of merchant, the business to which his family had originally owed their fortune, and in the latter part of his life to employ both what remained of that fortune, and the revenue of the state of which he had the disposal, in projects and expences more fuitable to his flation.

No two characters feem more inconfistent than those of trader and fovereign. If the trading spirit of the English East India company renders them very bad fovereigns; the spirit of fovereignty feems to have rendered them equally bad traders. While they were traders only, they managed their trade fuccessfully, and were able to pay from their profits a moderate dividend to the proprietors of their stock. Since they became fovereigns, with a revenue which, it is faid, was originally more than three millions sterling, they have been obliged to beg the extraordinary affistance of government in order to avoid immediate bankruptcy. In their former fituation, their fervants in India confidered themselves as the clerks of merchants: In their present situation, those servants confider themselves as the ministers of sovereigns.

A STATE may fometimes derive fome part of its public revenue from the interest of money, as well as from the profits of stock. If it has amassed a treasure, it may lend a part of that treasure, either to foreign states, or to its own subjects.

THE canton of Berne derives a confiderable revenue by lending a part of its treasure to foreign states, that is, by placing it in the public funds of the different indebted nations of Europe, chiefly in those of France and England. The security of this revenue must depend, first, upon the security of the funds in which it is placed, or upon the good faith of the government which has the management of them; and, secondly, upon the certainty or pro-

BOOK bability of the continuance of peace with the debtor nation. In the case of a war, the very first act of hostility, on the part of the debtor nation, might be the forseiture of the funds of its creditor. This policy of lending money to foreign states is, so far as I know, peculiar to the canton of Berne.

THE city of Hamburgh \* has established a fort of public pawn-shop, which lends money to the subjects of the state upon pledges at fix per cent. interest. This pawn-shop or Lombard, as it is called, affords a revenue, it is pretended, to the state of a hundred and sifty thousand crowns, which, at four and sixpence the crown, amounts to 33,7501. sterling.

and had the title a collider is colleged that he share, first in a

THE government of Penfylvania, without amassing any treafure, invented a method of lending, not money indeed, but what is equivalent to money, to its subjects. By advancing to private people, at interest, and upon land security to double the value. paper bills of credit to be redeemed fifteen years after their date, and in the mean time made transferable from hand to hand like bank notes, and declared by act of affembly to be a legal tender in all payments from one inhabitant of the province to another, it raised a moderate revenue, which went a confiderable way towards defraying an annual expence of about 4500 l. the whole ordinary expence of that frugal and orderly government. The fuccess of an expedient of this kind must have depended upon three different circumstances; first, upon the demand for fome other instrument of commerce, besides gold and silver money, or upon the demand for fuch a quantity of confumable stock as could not be had without fending abroad the greater part of their gold and filver money, in order to purchase it; fecondly, upon the good credit of the government which made nse of this expedient; and, thirdly, upon the moderation with which it was used, the whole value of the paper bills of credit never exceeding that of the gold and filver money which would have been necessary for carrying on their circulation had there been no paper bills of credit. The same expedient was upon different occasions adopted by several other American colonies; but, from want of this moderation, it produced, in the greater part of them, much more disorder than conveniency.

The unstable and perishable nature of stock and credit, however, render them unsit to be trusted to as the principal funds of that sure, steady, and permanent revenue, which can alone give security and dignity to government. The government of no great nation, that was advanced beyond the shepherd state, seems ever to have derived the greater part of its public revenue from such sources.

LAND is a fund of a more stable and permanent nature; and the rent of public lands, accordingly, has been the principal source of the public revenue of many a great nation that was much advanced beyond the shepherd state. From the produce or rent of the public lands, the antient republics of Greece and Italy derived for a long time the greater part of that revenue which defrayed the necessary expences of the commonwealth. The rent of the crown lands constituted for a long time the greater part of the revenue of the antient sovereigns of Europe.

WAR and the preparation for war, are the two circumstances which in modern times occasion the greater part of the necessary expense of all great states. But in the antient republics of Greece and Italy every citizen was a soldier, who both served and prepared himself for service at his own expense. Neither of those two circumstances, therefore, could occasion any very considerable expense to the state. The rent of a very moderate landed Vol. II.

\* See id. ibid.

which

BOOK estate might be fully sufficient for defraying all the other necessary expences of government.

In the antient monarchies of Europe, the manners and customs of the times fufficiently prepared the great body of the people: for war; and when they took the field they were, by the condition of their feudal tenures, to be maintained either at their own expence, or at that of their immediate lords, without bringing any new charge upon the fovereign. The other expences of government were, the greater part of them, very moderate. The administration of justice, it has been shown, instead of being a cause of expence, was a source of revenue. The labour of the country people for three days before and for three days after harvest, was thought a fund sufficient for making and maintaining all the bridges, highways, and other public works which the commerce of the country was supposed to require. In those days the principal expence of the fovereign feems to have confifted in the maintenance of his own family and houshold. The officers of his houshold, accordingly, were then the great officers of state. The lord treasurer received his rents. The lord steward. and lord chamberlain looked after the expence of his family. The care of his stables was committed to the lord constable and the lord marshal. His houses were all built in the form of castles, and seem to have been the principal fortresses which he possessed. The keepers of those houses or castles might be confidered as a fort of military governors. They feem to have been the only military officers whom it was necessary to maintain in time of peace. In these circumstances the rent of a great landed estate might, upon ordinary occasions, very well defray all the necessary expences of government.

In the present state of the greater part of the civilized monarchies of Europe, the rent of all the lands in the country, managed

managed as they probably would be if they all belonged to one CHAP. proprietor, would fcarce perhaps amount to the ordinary revenue which they levy upon the people even in peaceable times. The ordinary revenue of Great Britain, for example, including not only what is necessary for defraying the current expence of the year, but for paying the interest of the public debts, and for finking a part of the capital of those debts, amounts to upwards of ten millions a year. But the land-tax, at four shillings in the pound. falls short of two millions a year. This land-tax, as it is called, however, is supposed to be one-fifth, not only of the rent of all the land, but of that of all the houses, and of the interest of all the capital stock of Great Britain, that part of it only excepted which is either lent to the public, or employed as farming stock in the cultivation of land. A very confiderable part of the produce of this tax arises from the rent of houses, and the interest of capital stock. The land-tax of the city of London, for example, at four shillings in the pound, amounts to 123,399 l. 6 s. 7 d. That of the city of Westminster, to 63,0921. 1's. 5'd. That of the palaces of Whitehall and St. James's, to 30,7541. 6s. 2d. A certain proportion of the land-tax is in the same manner affessed upon all the other cities and towns corporate in the kingdom. and arises almost altogether either from the rent of houses or from what is supposed to be the interest of trading and capital stock. According to the estimation, therefore, by which Great Britain is rated to the land-tax, the whole mass of revenue arising from the rent of all the lands, from that of all the houses, and from the interest of all the capital stock, that part of it only excepted which is either lent to the public or employed in the cultivation of land, does not exceed ten millions sterling a year, the ordinary revenue which government levies upon the people even in peaceable times. The estimation by which Great Britain is rated to the land-tax is, no doubt, taking the whole kingdom at an average, very much below the real value; though in feveral par-Hhha

BOOK ticular counties and districts it is said to be nearly equal to that value. The rent of the lands alone, exclusive of that of houses, and of the interest of stock, has by many people been estimated at twenty millions, an estimation made in a great measure at random, and which, I apprehend, is as likely to be above as below the truth. But if the lands of Great Britain, in the present state of their cultivation, do not afford a rent of more than twenty millions a year, they could not well afford the half, most probably not the fourth part of that rent, if they all belonged to a single proprietor, and were put under the negligent, expensive, and oppressive management of his factors and agents. The crown lands of Great Britain do not at present afford the fourth part of the rent which could probably be drawn from them if they were the property of private persons. If the crown lands were more extensive, it is probable they would be still worse managed.

THE revenue which the great body of the people derives from land is in proportion, not to the rent, but to the produce of the land. The whole annual produce of the land of every country, if we except what is referved for feed, is either annually confumed by the great body of the people, or exchanged for fomething else that is confumed by them. Whatever keeps down the produce of the land below what it would otherwise rise to, keeps down the revenue of the great body of the people still more than it does that of the proprietors of land. The rent of land, that portion of the produce which belongs to the proprietors, is scarce any where in Great Britain supposed to be more than a third part of the whole produce. If the land, which in one state of cultivation affords a rent of ten millions sterling a year, would in another afford a rent of twenty millions; the rent being, in both cases, supposed a third part of the produce; the revenue of the proprietors would be less than it otherwise might be by ten millions a year only; but the revenue of the great body of the people; would be less than it otherwise might be by thirty millions a CHAP, year, deducting only; what would be necessary for seed. The population of the country would be less by the number of people which thirty millions a year; 'deducting always the seed,' could maintain, according to the particular mode of living and expence which might take place in the different ranks of men among whom the remainder was distributed.

THOUGH there is not at prefent, in Europe, any civilized state of any kind which derives the greater part of its public revenue from the rent of lands which are the property of the state; yet, in all the great monarchies of Europe, there are still many large tracts. of land which belong to the crown. They are generally forest; and sometimes forest where, after travelling several miles, you will scarce find a fingle tree; a mere waste and loss of country in respect both of produce and population. In every great monarchy of Europe the fale of the crown lands would produce a very large fum of money, which, if applied to the payment of the public debts, would deliver from mortgage a much greater revenue than any which those lands have ever afforded to the crown. In countries where lands, improved and cultivated very highly, and yielding at the time of fale as great a rent as can eafily be got from them. commonly fell at thirty years purchase; the unimproved, uncultivated, and low-rented crown lands might well be expected to fell at forty, fifty, or fixty years purchase. The crown might immediately enjoy the revenue which this great price would redeem from mortgage. In the course of a few years it would probably enjoy another revenue. When the crown lands had become private property, they would, in the course of a few years, become wellimproved and well-cultivated. The increase of their produce would increase the population of the country, by augmenting the revenue and confumption of the people. But the revenue which the crown derives BOOK derives from the duties of customs and excise, would necessarily increase with the revenue and consumption of the people.

The revenue which, in any civilized monarchy, the crown derives from the crown lands, though it appears to cost nothing to individuals, in reality costs more to the society than perhaps any other equal revenue which the crown enjoys. It would, in all cases, be for the interest of the society to replace this revenue to the crown by some other equal revenue, and to divide the lands among the people, which could not well be done better, perhaps, than by exposing them to public sale.

LANDS, for the purposes of pleasure and magnificence, parks, gardens, public walks, &c. possessions which are every where considered as causes of expence, not as sources of revenue, seem to be the only lands which, in a great and civilized monarchy, ought to belong to the crown.

Public stock and public lands, therefore, the two sources of revenue which may peculiarly belong to the sovereign or commonwealth, being both improper and insufficient funds for defraying the necessary expense of any great and civilized state; it remains that this expense must, the greater part of it, be defrayed by taxes of one kind or another; the people contributing a part of their own private revenue in order to make up a public revenue to the sovereign or common-wealth.

w H a P.ART all. dimo. 15 . 1 . 1 . 27 . 1

of Taxes: Called Indiana Callens

THE private revenue of individuals, it has been shewn in the first book of this inquiry, arises ultimately from three different sources; Rent, Profit, and Wages. Every tax must finally be paid from

from some one or other of those three different sorts of revenue, or from all of them indifferently. I shall endeavour to give the best account I can, first, of those taxes which, it is intended, should fall upon rent; secondly, of those which, it is intended, should fall upon profit; thirdly, of those which, it is intended, should fall upon wages; and, sourthly, of those which, it is intended, should fall upon wages; and, fourthly, of those which, it is intended, should fall indifferently upon all those three different sources of private revenue. The particular consideration of each of these four different forts of taxes will divide the second part of the present chapter into four articles, three of which will require several other subdivisions. Many of those taxes, it will appear from the following review, are not finally paid from the fund or source of revenue upon which it was intended they should fall.

BEFORE I enter upon the examination of particular taxes, it is necessary to premise the four following maxims with regard to taxes in general.

and the best best provided as a section of the sect

I. The subjects of every state ought to contribute towards the support of the government, as nearly as possible, in proportion to their respective abilities; that is, in proportion to the revenue which they respectively enjoy under the protection of the state. The expence of government to the individuals of a great nation, is like the expence of management to the joint tenants of a great estate, who are all obliged to contribute in proportion to their respective interests in the estate. In the observation or neglect of this maxim consists what is called the equality or inequality of taxation. Every tax, it must be observed once for all, which falls sinally upon one only of the three sorts of revenue above-mentioned is necessarily unequal, in so far as it does not affect the other two. In the following examination of different taxes I shall seldom take much further notice of this sort of inequality, but shall,

BOOK in most cases, confine my observations to that inequality which is occasioned by a particular tax falling unequally even upon that particular fort of private revenue which is affected by it moons that fell upon tent; ficacióly, of thoft which, it is intended, frouid in

> II. THE tax which each individual is bound to pay ought to be certain, and not arbitrary. "The time of payment, the manner of payment, the quantity to be paid, ought all to be clear and plain to the contributor, and to every other person. Where it is otherwife, every person subject to the tax is put more or less in the power of the tax-gatherer, who can either aggravate the tax upon any obnoxious contributor, or extort, by the terror of fuch aggravation, some present or perquisite to himself. The uncertainty of taxation encourages the infolence and favours the corruption of an order of men who are naturally unpopular, even where they are neither infolent nor corrupt. The certainty of what each individual ought to pay is, in taxation, a matter of fo great importance, that a very confiderable degree of inequality, it appears, I believe, from the experience of all nations, is not near so great an evil as a very fmall degree of uncertainty.

III. Every tax ought to be levied at the time, or in the manner in which it is most likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay it. A tax upon the rent of land or of houses, payable at the fame term at which fuch rents are usually paid, is levied at the time when it is most likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay: or, when he is most likely to have wherewithal to pay. Taxes upon such consumable goods as are articles of luxury, are all finally paid by the confumer, and generally in a manner that is very convenient for him. He pays them by little and little, as he has occasion to buy the goods. As he is at liberty too either to buy. or not to buy as he pleases, it must be his own fault if he ever fuffers any confiderable inconveniency from fuch taxes.

វ៉ា នោះនេះ ជាក្រោះនេះ និះ ខ្លួនម៉ា នៃវី របៀបក្នុ

1V. Every tax ought to be fo contrived as both to take out CHAP. and to keep out of the pockets of the people as little as poslible,

over and above what it brings into the public treasury of the state. A tax may either take out or keep out of the pockets of the people a great deal more than it brings into the public treasury, in the four following ways. First, the levying of it may require a great number of officers, whose falaries may eat up the greater part of the produce of the tax, and whose perquisites may impose another additional tax upon the people! Secondly, it may obstruct the induflry of the people, and discourage them from applying to certain branches of business which might give maintenance and employment to great multitudes. While it obliges the people to pay, it may thus diminish; or perhaps destroy some of the funds, which might enable them more eafily to do fo. Thirdly, by the forfeitures and other penalties which those unfortunate individuals incur who attempt unfuccessfully to evade the tax, it may frequently ruin them, and thereby put an end to the benefit which the community might have received from the employment of their capitals. An injudicious tax offers a great temptation to smuggling. But the penalties of imuggling must rise in proportion to the temptation. The law, contrary to all the ordinary principles of justice, first creates the temptation, and then punishes those who yield to it; and it commonly enhances the punishment too in proportion to the very circumstance which ought certainly to alleviate it, the temptation to commit the crime\*. Fourthly, by subjecting the people to the frequent visits, and the odious examination of the tax-gatherers, it may expose them to much unnecesfary trouble, vexation, and oppression; and though vexation is not, ftrictly speaking, expence, it is certainly equivalent to the expence at which every man would be willing to redeem himself from it. It, is in some one or other of these four different ways that taxes are frequently fo much more burdenfome to the people than they are beneficial to the fovereign.

\* See Sketches of the History of Man, page 474. & feq.

Vol. II.

Iii

THE

воок

THE evident justice and utility of the foregoing maxims have recommended them more or less to the attention of all nations. All nations have endeavoured, to the best of their judgement, to render their taxes as equal, as certain, as convenient to the contributor, both in the time and in the mode of payment, and, in proportion to the revenue which they brought to the prince, as little burdensome to the people as they could contrive. The following short review of some of the principal taxes which have taken place in different ages and countries will show that the endeavours of all nations have not in this respect been equally successful.

# ARTICLE I.

Taxes upon Rent. Taxes upon the Rent of Land.

A TAX upon the rent of land may either be imposed according to a certain canon, every district being valued at a certain rent, which valuation is not afterwards to be altered; or it may be imposed in such a manner as to vary with every variation in the real rent of the land, and to rise or fall with the improvement or declension of its cultivation.

A LAND tax which, like that of Great Britain, is imposed according to a certain invariable canon, though it should be equal at the time of its first establishment, necessarily becomes unequal in process of time according to the unequal degrees of improvement or neglect in the cultivation of the different parts of the country. In England, the valuation according to which the different counties and parishes were affested to the land-tax by the 4th of William and Mary was very unequal even at its first establishment. This tax, therefore, so far offends against the first of the four maxims above-mentioned. It is perfectly agreeable to the other three. It is perfectly certain. The time of payment for the tax, being the same as that for the rent, is as convenient

convenient as it can be to the contributor. Though the landlord is in all cases the real contributor, the tax is commonly advanced by the tenant, to whom the landlord is obliged to allow it in the payment of the rent. This tax is levied by a much smaller number of officers than any other which affords nearly the same revenue. As the tax does not rise with the rise of the rent, the sovereign does not share in the profits of the landlord's improvements. The tax, therefore, does not discourage those improvements, nor keep down the produce of the land below what it would otherwise rise to. As it has no tendency to diminish the quantity, it can have none to raise the price of that produce. It does not obstruct the industry of the people. It subjects the landlord to no other inconveniency besides the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

THE advantage, however, which the landlord has derived from the invariable constancy of the valuation by which all the lands of Great Britain are rated to the land-tax, has been principally owing to some circumstances altogether extraneous to the nature of the tax.

It has been owing in part to the great prosperity of almost every part of the country, the rents of almost all the estates of Great Britain having, since the time when this valuation was first established, been continually rising, and scarce any of them having fallen. The landlords, therefore, have almost all gained the difference between the tax which they would have paid, according to the present rent of their estates, and that which they actually pay according to the ancient valuation. Had the state of the country been different, had rents been gradually falling in consequence of the declension of cultivation, the landlords would almost all have lost this difference. In the state of things which has happened to take place since the revolution, the constancy of the valuation has been advantageous to the landlord and hurtful to the sovereign. In a different state of things

the landlord.

BOOK it might have been advantageous to the fovereign and hurtful to

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

As the tax is made payable in money, fo the valuation of the land is expressed in money. Since the establishment of this valuation the value of filver has been pretty uniform, and there has been no alteration in the standard of the coin either as to weight or fineness. Had filver risen considerably in its value, as it feems to have done in the course of the two centuries which preceeded the discovery of the mines of America, the constancy of the valuation might have proved very oppressive to the landlord. Had filver fallen confiderably in its value, as it certainly did for about a century at least after the discovery of those mines, the same constancy of valuation would have reduced very much this branch of the revenue of the fovereign. Had any confiderable alteration been made in the standard of the money, either by finking the fame quantity of filver to a lower denomination, or by raifing it to a higher; had an ounce of filver, for example, instead of being coined into five shillings and twopence, been coined either into pieces which bore fo low a denomination as two shillings and feven-pence, or into pieces which bore fo high a one as ten shillings and fourpence, it would in the one case have hurt the revenue of the proprietor, in the other that of the fovereign.

In circumstances, therefore, somewhat different from those which have actually taken place, this constancy of valuation might have been a very great inconveniency, either to the contributors, or to the commonwealth. In the course of ages such circumstances, however, must, at some time or other, happen. But though empires, like all the other works of men, have all hitherto proved mortal, yet every empire aims at immortality. Every constitution, therefore, which it is meant should be as permanent as the empire itself, ought to be convenient, not in certain circumstances only,

A TAX upon the rent of land which varies with every variation of the rent, or which rifes and falls according to the improvement or neglect of cultivation, is recommended by that fect of men of letters in France, who call themselves, the oeconomists, as the most equitable of all taxes. All taxes, they pretend, fall ultimately upon the rent of land, and ought therefore to be imposed equally upon the fund which must finally pay them. That all taxes ought to fall as equally as possible upon the fund which must finally pay them, is certainly true. But without entering into the difagreeable discussion of the metaphysical arguments by which they support their very ingenious theory, it will fufficiently appear from the following review, what are the taxes which fall finally upon the rent of the land, and what are those which fall finally upon some other fund.

In the Venetian territory all the arable lands which are given in lease to farmers are taxed at a tenth of the rent \*. The leases ar recorded in a public register which is kept by the officers of revenue in each province or district. When the proprietor cultivates his own lands, they are valued according to an equitable estimation and he is allowed a deduction of one-fifth of the tax, fo that for fuch lands he pays only eight instead of ten per cent. of the fupposed rent.

A LAND-TAX of this kind is certainly more equal than the land-tax of England. It might not perhaps be altogether fo certain, and the affeffment of the tax might frequently occasion a

\* Memoires concernant les Droits. p. 240, 241.

BOOK good deal more trouble to the landlord. It might too be a good deal more expensive in the levying.

> Such a fystem of administration, however, might perhaps be contrived as would in a great measure both prevent this uncertainty and moderate this expence.

> THE landlord and tenant, for example, might jointly be obliged to record their lease in a public register. Proper penalties might be enacted against concealing or misrepresenting any of the conditions; and if part of those penalties was to be paid to either of the two parties who informed against and convicted the other of such concealment or misrepresentation, it would effectually deter them from combining together in order to defraud the public revenue. All the conditions of the lease might be sufficiently known from fuch a record.

> Some landlords, instead of raising the rent, take a fine for the renewal of the leafe. This practice is in most cases the expedient of a spendthrift, who for a sum of ready money sells a future revenue of much greater value. It is in most cases, therefore, hurtful to the landlord. It is frequently hurtful to the tenant, and it is always hurtful to the community. It frequently takes from the tenant fo great a part of his capital, and thereby diminishes fo much his ability to cultivate the land, that he finds it more difficult to pay a small rent than it would otherwise have been to pay a great one. Whatever diminishes his ability to cultivate, necessarily keeps down below what it would otherwise have been the most important part of the revenue of the community. By rendering the tax upon such fines a good deal heavier than upon the ordinary rent, this hurtful practice might be discouraged to the no small advantage

of all the different parties concerned, of the landlord, of the CHAP. tenant, of the fovereign, and of the whole community.

Some leafes prescribe to the tenant a certain mode of cultivation. and a certain fuccession of crops during the whole continuance of the leafe. This condition (which is generally the effect of the landlords conceit of his own fuperior knowledge, a conceit in most cases very ill founded) ought always to be considered as an additional rent; as a rent in fervice instead of a rent in money. In order to discourage the practice, which is generally a foolish one, this frecies of rent might be valued rather high, and confequently taxed fomewhat higher than common money rents.

Some landlords, instead of a rent in money, require a rent in kind, in corn, cattle, poultry, wine, oil, &c. others again require a rent in service. Such rents are always more hurtful to the tenant than beneficial to the landlord. They either take more or keep more out of the pocket of the former than they put into that of the latter. In every country where they take place, the tenants are poor and beggarly, pretty much according to the degree in which they take place. By valuing, in the same manner, such rents rather high, and consequently taxing them somewhat higher than common money-rents, a practice which is hurtful to the whole: community might perhaps be fufficiently discouraged.

When the landlord chose to occupy himself a part of his own: lands, the rent might be valued according to an equitable arbitration of the farmers and landlords in the neighbourhood, and as moderate abatement of the tax might be granted to him in the famemanner as in the Venetian territory; provided the rent of the lands. which he occupied did not exceed a certain fum. It is of importance that the landlord should be encouraged to cultivate a part of

BOOK his own land. His capital is generally greater than that of the V. tenant, and with less skill he can frequently raise a greater produce. The landlord can afford to try experiments, and is generally disposed to do so. His unsuccessful experiments occasion only a moderate loss to himself. His successful ones contribute to the improvement and better cultivation of the whole country. It might be of importance however, that the abatement of the tax should encourage him to cultivate to a certain extent only. If the landlords should the greater part of them be tempted to farm the whole of their own lands, the country, (instead of sober and industrious tenants, who are bound by their own interest to cultivate as well as their capital and skill will allow them) would be filled with idle and profligate bailiffs, whose abusive management would foon degrade the cultivation and reduce the annual produce of the land, to the diminution, not only of the revenue of their masters, but of the most important part of that of the whole fociety.

> Such a fystem of administration might perhaps free a tax of this kind from any degree of uncertainty which could occasion either oppression or inconveniency to the contributor; and might at the same time serve to introduce into the common management of land, fuch a plan or policy as might contribute a good deal to the general improvement and good cultivation of the country.

> THE expence of levying a land-tax, which varied with every variation of the rent, would no doubt be fomewhat greater than that of levying one which was always rated according to a fixed valuation. Some additional expence would necessarily be incurred both by the different register offices which it would be proper to establish in the different districts of the country, and by the different valuations which might occasionally be made of the

the lands which the proprietor chose to occupy himself. The CH-A-P. expence of all this, however, might be very moderate, and much below what is incurred in the levying of many other taxes which afford a very inconfiderable revenue in comparison of what might easily be drawn from a tax of this kind.

THE discouragement which a variable land-tax of this kind might give to the improvement of land feems to be the most important objection which can be made to it. The landlord would certainly be less disposed to improve when the sovereign, who contributed nothing to the expence, was to share in the profit of the improvement. Even this objection might perhaps be obviated by allowing the landlord, before he began his improvement, to ascertain, in conjunction with the officers of revenue, the actual value of his lands according to the equitable arbitration of a certain number of landlords and farmers in the neighbourhood equally chosen by both parties; and by rating him according to this valuation for fuch a number of years, as might be fully fufficient for his complete indemnification. To draw the attention of the fovereign towards the improvement of the land, from a regard to the increase of his own revenue, is one of the principal advantages proposed by this species of land-tax. The term, therefore, allowed for the indemnification of the landlord ought not to be a great deal longer than what was necessary for that purpose; lest the remoteness of the interest should discourage too much this attention. It had better, however, be fomewhat too long than in any respect too short. No encitement to the attention of the fovereign can ever counter-balance the smallest discouragement to that of the landlord. The attention of the fovereign can be at best but a very general and vague consideration of what is likely to contribute to the better cultivation of the greater part of his dominions. The attention of the landlord is a particular and minute confideration of what is likely to be the most advan-Kkk Vol. II.

BOOK tageous application of every inch of ground upon his estate. The principal attention of the fovereign ought to be to encourage, by every means in his power, the attention both of the landlord and of the farmer; by allowing both to purfue their own interest in their own way, and according to their own judgement; by giving to both the most perfect security that they shall enjoy the full recompence of their own industry; and by procuring to both the most extensive market for every part of their produce in consequence of establishing the easiest and safest communications both by land and by water, through every part of his own dominions, as well as the most unbounded freedom of exportation to the dominions of all other princes: with the many of the three or part the by allowinger's landlord, barber he began has happying out, in

In by fuch a fystem of administration a tax of this kind could. be so managed as to give, not only no discouragement, but, on, the contrary, fome encouragement to the improvement of land, it does not appear likely to occasion any other inconveniency to the landlord, except always the unavoidable one of being obliged: to pay the taxing a sife an similinum decimal garage and with the influid

In all the variations of the state of the society, in the improvement and in the declenfion of agriculture; in all the variations in the value of filver, and in all those in the standard of the coin. a. tax of this kind would, of its own accord and without any attention of government, readily fuit itself to the actual situation of things, and would be equally just and equitable in all those different changes. If would, therefore, be much more proper to be established as a perpetual and unalterable regulation, or as what is called a fundamental law of the common-wealth, than any tax which was always to be levied according to a certain. valuation.

Some states, instead of the simple and obvious expedient of a register of leases, have had recourse to the laborious and expensive

one of an actual furvey and valuation of all the lands in the CHAP. country. They have fuspected, probably, that the leffor and leffee, in order to defraud the public revenue, might combine to conceal the real terms of the leafe. Doomsday book seems to have been the refult of a very accurate survey of this kind.

 ชั้น ในความแล้ว เล่นสุดท้องนะเล่าส่วนสาราธิรัยย์ใช้เป็นสาราช คำ เสียต์ใช้เราะ In the antient dominions of the king of Prussia the land-tax is affefied according to an actual furvey and valuation, which is reviewed and altered from time to time \*. According to that valuation the lay proprietors pay from twenty to twenty-five per cent. of their revenue. Ecclefiaftics from forty to forty-five per cent. The furvey and valuation of Silefia was made by order of the present king; it is said with great accuracy. According to that valuation the lands belonging to the bishop of Breslaw are taxed at twenty-five per cent. of their rent. The other revenues of the ecclefiaftics of both religions, at fifty per cent. The commanderies of the Teutonic order and of that of Malta, at forty per cent. Lands held by a noble tenure, at thirty-eight and one-third per cent. Lands held by a base tenure, at thirty-five and one-third per cent.: I history manifest to the transfer

THE furvey and valuation of Bohemia is faid to have been the work of more than a hundred years. It was not perfected till after the peace of 1748, by the orders of the present empress queen +. The furvey of the dutchy of Milan, which was begun in the time of Charles VI. was not perfected till after 1760. It is esteemed one of the most accurate that has ever been made. The furvey of Savoy and Piemont was executed under the orders of the late king of Sardinia 1.

H. Charles and the second of the second of

K.k k 2

<sup>\*</sup> Memoires concernant les Drois, &c. tome i. p. 114, 115, 116, &c. 4 ld. p. 83, 84. ‡ Id. p. 280, &c. also p. 287, &c. t) 316.

BOOK V.

436

In the dominions of the king of Prussa the revenue of the church is taxed much higher than that of lay proprietors. The revenue of the church is, the greater part of it, a burden upon the rent of land. It seldom happens that any part of it is applied towards the improvement of land; for is so employed as to contribute in any respect towards increasing the revenue of the great body of the people. His Prussan majesty had probably, upon that account, thought it reasonable that it should contribute a good deal more towards relieving the exigencies of the state. In some countries the lands of the church are exempted from all taxes. In others they are taxed more lightly than other lands. In the dutchy of Milan, the lands which the church possessing the taxes at a third only of their value.

In Silefia lands held by a noble tenure are taxed three per cent. higher than those held by a base tenure. The honours and privileges of different kinds annexed to the former, his Prussian majesty had probably imagined, would sufficiently compensate to the proprietor a small aggravation of the tax; while at the same time the humiliating inferiority of the latter would be in some measure alleviated by being taxed somewhat more lightly. In other countries the system of taxation, instead of alleviating, aggravates this inequality. In the dominions of the king of Sardinia, and in those provinces of France which are subject to what is called the Real or predial taille, the tax falls altogether upon the lands held by a base tenure. Those held by a noble one are exempted.

A LAND-TAX affested according to a general survey and valuation, how equal soever it may be at first, must, in the course of a very moderate period of time, become unequal. To prevent its becoming so would require the continual and painful attention of government to all the variations in the state and produce of

every different farm in the country. The governments of Prussia, of Bohemia, of Sardinia, and of the dutchy of Milan, actually exert an attention of this kind, an attention so unsuitable to the nature of government, that it is not likely to be of long continuance, and which, if it is continued, will probably in the long-run occasion much more trouble and vexation than it can possibly bring relief to the contributors.

In 1666, the generality of Montauban was affeffed to the Real or predial taille according, it is faid, to a very exact furvey and valuation \*. By 1727, this affessment had become altogether unequal. In order to remedy this inconveniency, government has found no better expedient than to impose upon the whole generality an additional tax of a hundred and twenty thousand livres. This additional tax is rated upon all the different districts fubject to the taille according to the old affefiment. But it is levied only upon those which in the actual-state of things are by that affeffment under-taxed, and it is applied to the relief of those which by the same assessment are over-taxed. Two districts. for example, one of which ought in the actual state of things tobe taxed at nine hundred, the other at eleven hundred livres. are by the old affesiment both taxed at a thousand livres. Both these districts are by the additional tax rated at eleven hundred livres each. But this additional tax is levied only upon the diffrict undercharged, and it is applied altogether to the relief of that over-charged, which confequently pays only nine hundred livres. The government neither gains nor loses by the additional tax, which is applied altogether to remedy the inequalities arifing from the old affeliment. The application is pretty much regulated according to the discretion of the intendant of the generality. and must, therefore, be in a great measure arbitrary.

\* Id. tome ii. p. 139, &c.

Taxes

воок

438

Taxes which are proportioned, not to the Rent, but to the produce of Land.

TAXES upon the produce of land are in reality taxes upon the rent; and though they may be originally advanced by the farmer, are finally paid by the landlord. When a certain portion of the produce is to be paid away for a tax, the farmer computes, as well as he can, what the value of this portion is, one year with another, likely to amount to, and he makes a proportionable abatement in the rent which he agrees to pay to the landlord. There is no farmer who does not compute beforehand what the churchtythe, which is a land-tax of this kind, is, one year with another, likely to amount to.

THE tythe, and every other land-tax of this kind, under the appearance of perfect equality, are very unequal taxes; a certain portion of the produce being, in different fituations, equivalent to a very different portion of the rent. In some very rich lands the produce is fo great, that the one half of it is fully fufficient to replace to the farmer his capital employed in cultivation, together with the ordinary profits of farming stock in the neighbourhood. The other half, or, what comes to the same thing, the value of the other half, he could afford to pay as rent to the landlord, if there was no tythe. But if a tenth of the produce is taken from him in the way of tythe, he must require an abatement of the fifth part of this rent, otherwise he cannot get back his capital with the ordinary profit. In this case the rent of the landlord, instead of amounting to a half, or five-tenths of the whole produce, will amount only to four-tenths of it. In poorer lands, on the contrary, the produce is fometimes fo finall, and the expence of cultivation fo great, that it requires four-fifths of the whole produce to replace to the farmer his capital with the ordinary profit. In this cafe,

case, though there was no tythe, the rent of the landlord could amount to no more than one-fifth or two-tenths of the whole produce. But if the farmer pays one-tenth of the produce in the way of tythe, he must require an equal abatement of the rent of the landlord, which will thus be reduced to one-tenth only of the whole produce. Upon the rent of rich lands, the tythe may sometimes be a tax of no more than one-fifth part, or four shillings in the pound; whereas, upon that of poorer lands, it may sometimes be a tax of one-half, or of ten shillings in the pound.

The tythe, as it is frequently a very unequal tax upon the rent; so it is always a great discouragement both to the improvements of the landlord and to the cultivation of the farmer. The one cannot venture to make the most important, which are generally the most expensive improvements; nor the other to raise the most valuable, which are generally too the most expensive crops; when the church, which lays out no part of the expense, is to share so very largely in the profit. The cultivation of madder was for a long time confined by the tythe to the United Provinces, which, being presbyterian countries, and upon that account exempted from this destructive tax, enjoyed a fort of monopoly of that useful dying drug against the rest of Europe. The late attempts to introduce the culture of this plant into England, have been made only in consequence of the statute which enacted that sive shillings an acre should be received in lieu of all manner of tythe upon madder.

As through the greater part of Europe, the church, so in many different countries of Asia the state, is principally supported by a land-tax, proportioned, not to the rent, but to the produce of the land. In China, the principal revenue of the sovereign consists in a tenth part of the produce of all the lands of the empire. This tenthe part, however, is estimated so very moderately, that, in many pro-

BOOK vinces, it is faid not to exceed a thirtieth part of the ordinary produce. The land-tax or land rent which used to be paid to the Mahometan government of Bengal, before that country fell into the hands of the English East India company, is faid to have amounted to about a fifth part of the produce. The land-tax of antient Egypt is faid likewise to have amounted to a fifth part.

> In Afia, this fort of land-tax is faid to interest the sovereign in the improvement and cultivation of land. The fovereigns of China, those of Bengal while under the Mahometan government, and those of antient Egypt, are faid accordingly to have been extremely attentive to the making and maintaining of good roads and navigable canals, in order to increase, as much as possible, both the quantity and value of every part of the produce of the land, by procuring to every part of it the most extensive market which their own dominions could afford. The tythe of the church is divided into fuch small portions, that no one of its proprietors can have any interest of this kind. The parson of a parish could never find his account in making a road or canal to a distant part of the country, in order to extend the market for the produce of his own particular parish. Such taxes, when destined for the maintenance of the state, have some advantages which may serve in fome measure to balance their inconveniency. When destined for the maintenance of the church, they are attended with nothing but inconveniency.

Taxes upon the produce of land may be levied, either in kind; or, according to a certain valuation, in money.

THE parson of a parish, or a gentleman of small fortune who lives upon his estate, may sometimes, perhaps, find some advantage in receiving, the one his tythe, and the other his rent, in kind. The

The quantity to be collected, and the district within which it is to CHAP. be collected, are fo finall, that they both can overfee, with their own eyes, the collection and disposal of every part of what is due to them. A gentleman of great fortune, who lived in the capital, would be in danger of fuffering much by the neglect, and more by the fraud of his factors and agents, if the rents of an estate in a diffant province were to be paid to him in this manner. The lofs of the fovereign, from the abuse and depredation of his tax-gatherers, would necessarily be much greater. The fervants of the most careless private person are, perhaps, more under the eye of their mafter than those of the most careful prince; and a public revenue, which was paid in kind, would fuffer fo much from the mifmanagement of the collectors, that a very small part of what was levied upon the people would ever arrive at the treasury of the prince. Some part of the public revenue of China, however, is faid to be paid in this manner. The Mandarins and other taxgatherers will, no doubt, find their advantage in continuing the practice of a payment which is fo much more liable to abuse than any payment in money.

A Tax upon the produce of land which is levied in money, may be levied either according to a valuation which varies with all the variations of the market price; or according to a fixed valuation, a bushel of wheat, for example, being always valued at one and the same money price, whatever may be the state of the market. The produce of a tax levied in the former way, will vary only according to the variations in the real produce of the land, according to the improvement or neglect of cultivation. The produce of a tax levied in the latter way will vary, not only according to the variations in the produce of the land, but according to both those in the value of the precious metals, and those in the quantity of those metals which is at different times contained in

Vol. II. L 1 1 BOOK coin of the fame denomination. The produce of the former will always bear the fame proportion to the value of the real produce of the land. The produce of the latter may, at different times, bear very different proportions to that value; in large A and of the

WHEN, instead either of a certain portion of the produce of land, or of the price of a certain portion, a certain fum of money is to be paid in full compensation for all tax or tythe; the tax becomes, in this case, exactly of the same nature with the land-tax of England. It neither rifes nor falls with the rent of the land. It neither encourages nor discourages improvement. The tythe in the greater part of those parishes which pay what is called a Modus in lieu of all other tythe, is a tax of this kind. During the Malaometan government of Bengal, instead of the payment in kind of a fifth part of the produce, a modus, and, it is faid, a very moderate one, was established in the greater part of the districts or zemindaries of the country. Some of the fervants of the East India company, under pretence of restoring the public revenue to its proper value, have, in some provinces, exchanged this modus for a payment in kind. Under their management this change is likely both to discourage cultivation, and to give new opportunities for abuse in the collection of the public revenue, which has fallen very much below what it was faid to have been when it first fell under the management of the company. The fervants of the company may, perhaps, have profited by this change, but at the expence, it is probable, both of their mafters and of the country. ember, are estari messelism til

## Taxes upon the Rent of Houses.

THE rent of a house may be distinguished into two parts, of which the one may very properly be called the Building rent; the other is commonly called the Ground rent.

THE building rent is the interest or profit of the capital expended CHAP. in building the house. In order to put the trade of a builder upon a level with other trades, it is necessary that this rent should be sufficient, first, to pay him the same interest which he would have got for his capital if he had lent it upon good fecurity; and, fecondly, to keep the house in constant repair, or, what comes to the same thing, to replace, within a certain term of years, the capital which had been employed in building it. The building rent, or the ordinary profit of building, is, therefore, every where regulated by the ordinary interest of money. Where the market rate of interest is four per cent. the rent of a house which, over and above paying the ground rent, affords fix, or fix and a half per cent. upon the whole expence of building, may perhaps afford a fufficient profit to the builder. Where the market rate of interest is five per cent. it may perhaps require feven or feven and a half per cent. If, in proportion to the interest of money, the trade of the builder affords at any time a much greater profit than this, it will foon draw fo much capital from other trades as will reduce the profit to its proper level. If it affords at any time much less than this, other trades will foon draw fo much capital from it as will again raise that profit.

Whatever part of the whole rent of a house is over and above what is sufficient for affording this reasonable profit, naturally goes to the ground-rent; and where the owner of the ground and the owner of the building are two different persons, is, in most cases, completely paid to the former. This surplus rent is the price which the inhabitant of the house pays for some real or supposed advantage of the situation. In country houses, at a distance from any great town, where there is plenty of ground to chuse upon, the ground rent is scarce any thing, or no more than what the ground which the house stands upon would pay if employed in L 11 2

444

BOOK agriculture. In country villas in the neighbourhood of some great town, it is fometimes a good deal higher; and the peculiar conveniency or beauty of fituation is there frequently very well paid for. Ground rents are generally highest in the capital, and in those particular parts of it where there happens to be the greatest demand for houses, whatever be the reason of that demand, whether for trade and bufiness, for pleasure and society, or for mere vanity and fathion: This winder it gainlind in bevolumn mad and wind cultury profit of building, is, thanking over the profits of the

A TAX upon house-rent, payable by the tenant and proportioned to the whole rent of each house, could not, for any confiderable time at least, affect the building rent. If the builder did not get his reasonable profit, he would be obliged to quit the trade; which, by railing the demand for building; would in a fhort time bring back his profit to its proper level with that of other trades. Neither would such a tax fall altogether upon the ground rent; but it would divide itself in such a manner as to fall, partly upon the inhabitant of the house, and partly upon the owner of the ground. The last of the contract of th

LET us suppose, for example, that a particular person judges that he can afford for house-rent an expence of fixty pounds a year; and let us suppose too that a tax of four shillings in the pound, or of one-fifth, payable by the inhabitant, is laid upon house-rent. A house of fixty pounds rent will in this case cost him feventy-two pounds a year, which is twelve pounds more than he thinks he can afford. He will, therefore, content himself with a worse house, or a house of fifty pounds rent, which, with the additional ten pounds that he must pay for the tax, will make up the fum of fixty pounds a year, the expence which he judges he can afford; and in order to pay the tax he will give up a part of the additional conveniency which he might have had from a house of ten pounds a year more rent. He will give up, I fay, a part of

this additional conveniency; for he will feldom be obliged to give CHAP. up the whole, but will, in confequence of the tax, get a better house for fifty pounds a year, than he could have got if there had been no tax. For as a tax of this kind, by taking away this particular competitor, must diminish the competition for houses of fixty pounds rent, so it must likewise diminish it for those of fifty pounds rent, and in the fame manner for those of all other rents, except the lowest rent, for which it would for some time increase the competition. But the rents of every class of houses for which the competition was diminished, would necessarily be more or less reduced. As no part of this reduction, however, could, for any confiderable time at least, affect the building rent; the whole of it must in the long-run necessarily fall upon the ground-rent. The final payment of this tax, therefore, would fall, partly upon the inhabitant of the house, who, in order to pay his share, would be obliged to give up a part of his conveniency; and partly upon the owner of the ground, who, in order to pay his share, would be obliged to give up a part of his revenue. In what proportion this final payment would be divided between them, it is not perhaps very easy to ascertain. The division would probably be very different in different circumstances, and a tax of this kind might, according to those different circumstances, affect very unequally both the inhabitant of the house and the owner of the ground.

THE inequality with which a tax of this kind might fall upon the owners of different ground rents, would arise altogether from the accidental inequality of this division. But the inequality with which it might fall upon the inhabitants of different houses would arise, not only from this, but from another cause. The proportion of the expence of house-rent to the whole expence of living, is different in the different degrees of fortune. It is perhaps highest in the highest degree, and it diminishes gradually through the infe-8:

воок

rior degrees, fo as in general to be lowest in the lowest degree. The necessaries of life occasion the great expence of the poor. They find it difficult to get food, and the greater part of their little revenue is spent in getting it. The luxuries and vanities of life occasion the principal expence of the rich; and a magnificent house embellishes and sets off to the best advantage all the other luxuries and vanities which they possess. A tax upon house-rents, therefore, would in general fall heaviest upon the rich; and in this fort of inequality there would not, perhaps, be any thing very unreasonable. It is not very unreasonable that the rich should contribute to the public expence, not only in proportion to their revenue, but something more than in that proportion.

THE rent of houses, though it in some respects resembles the rent of land, is in one respect essentially different from it. The rent of land is paid for the use of a productive subject. The land which pays it produces it. The rent of houses is paid for the use of an unproductive subject. Neither the house nor the ground which it stands upon produce any thing. The person who pays the rent, therefore, must draw it from some other source of revenue. distinct from, and independent of, this subject. A tax upon the rent of houses, so far as it falls upon the inhabitants, must be drawn from the same source as the rent itself, and must be paid from their revenue, whether derived from the wages of labour, the profits of stock, or the rent of land. So far as it falls upon the inhabitants, it is one of those taxes which fall, not upon one only, but indifferently upon all the three different fources of revenue; and is in every respect of the same nature as a tax upon any other fort of confumable commodities. In general there is not, perhaps, any one article of expence or confumption by which the liberality or narrowness of a man's whole expence can be better judged of, than by his house-rent. A proportional tax upon

this particular article of expence might, perhaps, produce a more confiderable revenue than any which has hitherto been drawn from it in any part of Europe. If the tax indeed was very high, the greater part of people would endeavour to evade it as much as they could, by contenting themselves with smaller houses, and by turning the greater part of their expence into some other channel.

THE rent of houses might easily be ascertained with sufficient accuracy, by a policy of the fame kind with that which would be necessary for ascertaining the ordinary rent of land. Houses not inhabited ought to pay no tax. A tax upon them would fall altogether upon the proprietor, who would thus be taxed for a subject which afforded him neither conveniency nor revenue. Houses inhabited by the proprietor ought to be rated, not according to the expence which they might have cost in building, but according to the rent which an equitable arbitration might judge them likely to bring, if leafed to a tenant. If rated according to the expence which they may have cost in building, a tax of three or four shillings in the pound, joined with other taxes, would ruin almost all the rich and great families of this, and, I believe, of every other civilized country. Whoever will examine, with attention, the different town and country houses of some of the richest and greatest families in this country, will find that, at the rate of only fix and a half, or feven per cent, upon the original expence of building, their house-rent is nearly equal to the whole neat rent of their effates. It is the accumulated expence of feveral fuccessive generations, laid out upon objects of great beauty and magnificence, indeed; but, in proportion to what they cost, of very small exchangeable value.

GROUND-RENTS are a still more proper subject of taxation than the rent of houses. A tax upon ground-rents would not raise

sa kodyfeli eng e polijesty o espansima i e

BOOK raise the rents of houses. It would fall altogether upon the owner of the ground-rent, who acts always as a monopolist, and exacts the greatest rent which can be got for the use of his ground. More or less can be got for it according as the competitors happen to be richer or poorer, or can afford to gratify their fancy for a particular spot of ground at a greater or smaller expence. In every country the greatest number of rich competitors is in the capital, and it is there accordingly that the highest ground-rents are always to be found. As the wealth of those competitors would in no respect be increased by a tax upon ground-rents, they would not probably be disposed to pay more for the use of the ground. Whether the tax was to be advanced by the inhabitant or by the owner of the ground, would be of little importance. The more the inhabitant was obliged to pay for the tax, the less he would incline to pay for the ground; fo that the final payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the owner of the ground-rent. The groundrents of uninhabited houses ought to pay no tax.

> BOTH ground-rents and the ordinary rent of land are a species of revenue which the owner, in many cases, enjoys without any care or attention of his own. Though a part of this revenue should be taken from him in order to defray the expences of the state, no discouragement will thereby be given to any fort of induftry. The annual produce of the land and labour of the fociety, the real wealth and revenue of the great body of the people, might be the fame after fuch a tax as before. Ground-rents, and the ordinary rent of land, are therefore, perhaps, the species of revenue which can best bear to have a peculiar tax imposed upon them.

> GROUND-RENTS seem, in this respect, a more proper subject of peculiar taxation than even the ordinary rent of land. The ordinary rent of land is, in many cases, owing partly at least to the attention

attention and good management of the landlord. A very heavy CHAP. tax might discourage too much this attention and good management. Ground-rents, fo far as they exceed the ordinary rent of land, are altogether owing to the good government of the fovereign, which, by protecting the industry either of the whole people, or of the inhabitants of some particular place, enables them to pay so much more than its real value for the ground which they build their houses upon; or to make to its owner so much more than compensation for the loss which he might sustain by this use of it. Nothing can be more reasonable than that a fund which owes its existence to the good government of the state, should be taxed peculiarly, or should contribute something more than the greater part of other funds towards the support of that government. - ( all the rest of annual enter of the bright the enter the rest.

Though, in many different countries of Europe, taxes have been imposed upon the rent of houses, I do not know of any in which ground-rents have been confidered as a feparate fubject of taxation. The contrivers of taxes have, probably, found fome difficulty in afcertaining what part of the rent ought to be confidered as ground-rent, and what part ought to be confidered as building rent. It should not, however, seem very difficult to distinguish those two parts of the rent from one another.

In Great Britain the rent of houses is supposed to be taxed in the fame proportion as the rent of land, by what is called the annual land tax. The valuation, according to which each different parifly and district is affested to this tax, is always the same. It was originally extremely unequal, and it still continues to be fo. Through the greater part of the kingdom this tax falls still more lightly upon the rent of houses than upon that of land. In some few diffricts only, which were originally rated high, and in which the Vol. II. Mmm

BOOK rents of houses have fallen considerably, the land tax of three or four shillings in the pound, is said to amount to an equal proportion of the real rent of the houses. Untenanted houses, though by law subject to the tax, are, in most districts, exempted from it by the favour of the affestors; and this exemption sometimes occasions fome little variation in the rate of particular houses, though that of the diffrict is always the fame. olom deber of receive alors of an area of the relation of

In the province of Holland \* every house is taxed at two and a half per cent, of its value, without any regard either to the rent which it actually pays, or to the circumstance of its being tenanted or untenanted. There feems to be a hardship in obliging the proprietor to pay a tax for an untenanted house, from which he can derive no revenue; especially so very heavy a tax. In Holland, where the market rate of interest does not exceed three per cent. two and a half per cent. upon the whole value of the house, must, in most cases, amount to more than a third of the building-rent, perhaps of the whole rent. The valuation, indeed, according to which the houses are rated, though very unequal, is said to be always below the real value. When a house is rebuilt, improved, or enlarged, there is a new valuation, and the tax is rated accordingly.

THE contrivers of the feveral taxes which in England have, at different times, been imposed upon houses, seem to have imagined that there was some great difficulty in ascertaining, with tolerable exactness, what was the real rent of every house. They have regulated their taxes, therefore, according to some more obvious circumstance, such as they had probably imagined would, in most cases, bear some proportion to the rent.

THE first tax of this kind was hearth-money; or a tax of twoshillings upon every hearth. In order to ascertain how many hearths

\* Memoires con c ernant les Droits, &c. p. 223.

hearths were in the house, it was necessary that the tax-gatherer CHAP. should enter every room in it. This odious visit rendered the tax odious. Soon after the revolution, therefore, it was abolished as a badge of flavery. istaba kabuma bayar agarama

THE next tax of this kind was, a tax of two shillings upon every dwelling house inhabited. A house with ten windows to pay four shillings more. A house with twenty windows and upwards to pay eight shillings. This tax was afterwards so far altered, that houses with twenty windows, and with less than thirty, were ordered to pay ten shillings, and those with thirty windows and upwards to pay twenty shillings. The number of windows can, in most cases, be counted from the outside, and, in all cases, without entering every room in the house. The visit of the tax-gatherer, therefore, was less offensive in this tax than in the hearth-money.

THIS tax was afterwards repealed, and in the room of it was established the window tax, which has undergone too several alterations and augmentations. The window tax, as it stands at present, (January, 1775) over and above the duty of three shillings upon every house in England, and of one shilling upon every house in Scotland, lays a duty upon every window, which, in England, augments gradually from two-pence, the lowest rate, upon houses with not more than feven windows; to two shillings, the highest rate, upon houses with twenty-five windows and upwards.

THE principal objection to all fuch taxes is their inequality, an inequality of the worst kind, as they must frequently fall much heavier upon the poor than upon the rich. A house of ten pounds rent in a country town may fometimes have more windows than a house of five hundred pounds rent in London; and though the inhabitant of the former is likely to be a much poorer man than that of the latter, yet fo far as his contribution is regulated by the window Mmm 2

12-14- February

BOOK window-tax, he must contribute more to the support of the fate. Such taxes are, therefore, directly contrary to the first of the four maxims above mentioned. They do not seem to offend much against any of the other three.

THE natural tendency of the window-tax, and of all other taxes upon houses, is to lower rents. The more a man pays for the tax, the less, it is evident, he can afford to pay for the rent. Since the imposition of the window-tax, however, the rents of houses have upon the whole risen, more or less, in almost every town and village of Great Britain with which I am acquainted. Such has been almost every where the increase of the demand for houses, that it has raised the rents more than the window-tax could fink them; one of the many proofs of the great prosperity of the country, and of the increasing revenue of its inhabitants. Had it not been for the tax, rents would probably have risen still higher.

#### galicinafia cran A riticie H. distrac

Taxes upon Profit, or upon the Revenue arifing from Stock.

THE revenue or profit arising from stock naturally divides itself into two parts; that which pays the interest, and which belongs to the owner of the stock; and that surplus part which is over and above what is necessary for paying the interest.

This latter part of profit is evidently a fubject not taxable directly. It is the compensation, and in most cases it is no more than a very moderate compensation, for the risk and trouble of employing the stock. The employer must have this compensation, otherwise he cannot, consistently with his own interest, continue the employment. If he was taxed directly, therefore, in proportion to the whole profit, he would be obliged either to raise

raise the rate of his profit, or to charge the tax upon the interest CHAP. of money; that is, to pay less interest. If he raised the rate of his profit in proportion to the tax, the whole tax, though it might be advanced by him, would be finally paid by one or other of two different fets of people, according to the different ways in which he might employ the flock of which he had the management. If he employed it as a farming stock in the cultivation of land, he could raise the rate of his profit only by retaining a greater portion, or, what comes to the same thing, the price of a greater portion of the produce of the land; and as this could be done only by a reduction of rent, the final payment of the tax would fall upon the landlord. If he employed it as a mercantile or manufacturing flock, he could raise the rate of his profit only by raifing the price of his goods; in which case the final payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the confumers of those goods. If he did not raise the rate of his profit, he would be obliged to charge the whole tax upon that part of it which was allotted for the interest of money. He could afford less interest for whatever stock he borrowed, and the whole weight of the tax would in this case fall ultimately upon the interest of money. So far as he could not relieve himself from the tax in the one way, he would be obliged to relieve himself in the other.

The interest of money seems at first sight a subject equally capable of being taxed directly as the rent of land. Like the rent of land it is a neat produce which remains after completely compensating the whole risk and trouble of employing the stock. As a tax upon the rent of land cannot raise rents; because the neat produce which remains after replacing the stock of the farmer, together with his reasonable profit, cannot be greater after the tax than before it: so, for the same reason, a tax upon

BOOK the interest of money could not raise the rate of interest; the quantity of stock or money in the country, like the quantity of land, being supposed to remain the same after the tax as before it. The ordinary rate of profit, it has been shewn in the first book. is every where regulated by the quantity of flock to be employed in proportion to the quantity of the employment, or of the business which must be done by it. But the quantity of the employment, or of the business to be done by stock, could neither be increased nor diminished by any tax upon the interest of money. If the quantity of the stock to be employed, therefore. was neither increased nor diminished by it, the ordinary rate of profit would necessarily remain the same. But the portion of this profit necessary for compensating the risk and trouble of the employer, would likewise remain the same; that risk and trouble being in no respect altered. The residue, therefore, that portion which belongs to the owner of the flock, and which pays the interest of money, would necessarily remain the same too. At first fight, therefore, the interest of money seems to be a subject as fit to be taxed directly as the rent of land.

> THERE are, however, two different circumstances which render the interest of money a much less proper subject of direct taxation than the rent of land.

> FIRST, the quantity and value of the land which any man possesses can never be a secret, and can always be ascertained with great exactness. But the whole amount of the capital flock which he possesses is almost always a secret, and can scarce ever be afcertained with tolerable exactness. It is liable, besides, to almost continual variations. A year feldom passes away, frequently not a month, fometimes scarce a fingle day, in which it does not rise or fall more or less. An inquisition into every man's private circumstances, and an inquisition which, in order to accommodate

accommodate the tax to them, watched over all the fluctuations CHAP. of his fortune, would be a fource of fuch continual and endless vexation as no people could support.

THE WEALTHAOF NATIONS.

SECONDLY, land is a subject which cannot be removed; whereas flock easily may. The proprietor of land is necessarily a citizen of the particular country in which his estate lies. The proprietor of stock is properly a citizen of the world, and is not necessarily attached to any particular country. He would be apt to abandon the country in which he was exposed to a vexatious inquisition. in order to be affessed to a burdensome tax, and would remove his flock to some other country where he could either carry on his business or enjoy his fortune more at his ease. By removing his stock he would put an end to all the industry which it had maintained in the country which he left. Stock cultivates land ; flock employs labour. A tax which tended to drive away flock. from any particular country, would fo far tend to dry up every fource of revenue, both to the fovereign and to the fociety. Not only the profits of flock, but the rent of land and the wages of labour, would necessarily be more or less diminished by its removal.

THE nations, accordingly, who have attempted to tax the revenue arifing from stock, instead of any severe inquisition of this kind, have been obliged to content themselves with some very loofe, and therefore more or less arbitrary estimation. The extreme inequality and uncertainty of a tax affeffed in this manner, can be compensated only by its extreme moderation, in consequence of which every man finds himself rated so very much below his real revenue, that he gives himself little disturbance though his neighbour should be rated somewhat lower.

By what is called the land-tax in England, it was intended that flock should be taxed in the same proportion as land. BOOK When the tax upon land was at four shillings in the pound, or at one-fifth of the supposed rent, it was intended that stock should be taxed at one-fifth of the supposed interest. When the present annual land-tax was first imposed, the legal rate of interest was fix per cent. Every hundred pounds stock, accordingly, was supposed to be taxed at twenty-four shillings, the fifth part of fix pounds. Since the legal rate of interest has been reduced to five per cent. every hundred pounds stock is supposed to be taxed at twenty shillings only. The sum to be raised, by what is called the land-tax, was divided between the country and the principal towns. The greater part of it was laid upon the country; and of what was laid upon the towns, the greater part was affeffed upon the houses. What remained to be affeffed upon the stock or trade of the towns (for the stock upon the land was not meant to be taxed) was very much below the real value of that stock or trade. Whatever inequalities, therefore, there might be in the original affefiment, gave little diffurbance. Every parish and district still continues to be rated for its land, its houses, and its stock, according to the original affesiment; and the almost universal prosperity of the country, which in most places has raifed very much the value of all these, has rendered those inequalities of still less importance now. The rate too upon each district continuing always the same, the uncertainty of this tax, fo far as it might be affested upon the stock of any individual, has been very much diminished, as well as rendered of much less consequence. If the greater part of the lands of England are not rated to the land-tax at half their actual value, the greater part of the stock of England is perhaps scarce rated at the fiftieth part of its actual value. In some towns the whole land-tax is affested upon houses; as in Westminster, where stock and trade are free. It is otherwise in London.

In all countries a fevere inquifition into the circumstances of CHAP. private persons has been carefully avoided.

AT Hamburgh \* every inhabitant is obliged to pay to the state, one-fourth per cent. of all that he possesses; and as the wealth of the people of Hamburgh consists principally in stock, this tax may be considered as a tax upon stock. Every man assesses himself, and, in the presence of the magistrate, puts annually into the public coffer a certain sum of money, which he declares upon oath to be one-fourth per cent. of all that he possesses, but without declaring what it amounts to, or being liable to any examination upon that subject. This tax is generally supposed to be paid with great sidelity. In a small republic, where the people have entire considence in their magistrates, are convinced of the necessity of the tax for the support of the state, and believe that it will be saithfully applied to that purpose, such conscientious and voluntary payment may sometimes be expected. It is not peculiar to the people of Hamburgh.

The canton of Underwold in Switzerland is frequently ravaged by storms and inundations, and is thereby exposed to extraordinary expences. Upon such occasions the people assemble, and every one is said to declare with the greatest frankness what he is worth, in order to be taxed accordingly. At Zurich the law orders that in cases of necessity every one should be taxed in proportion to his revenue; the amount of which he is obliged to declare upon oath. They have no suspicion, it is said, that any of their fellow citizens will deceive them. At Basil the principal revenue of the state arises from a small custom upon goods exported. All the citizens make oath that they will pay every three months all the taxes imposed by the law. All merchants and even all inn-keepers are trusted with keeping themselves the

. . \* Memoires concernant les Droits, tome i. p. 74.

Vol. II.

Nnn

account

 $B \overset{O}{\overset{}{\overset{}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}}}$  account of the goods which they fell either within or without the territory. At the end of every three months they fend this account to the treasurer, with the amount of the tax computed at the bottom of it. It is not suspected that the revenue suffers by this considence \*.

> To oblige every citizen to declare publickly upon oath the amount of his fortune, must not, it seems, in those Swiss cantons. be reckoned a hardship. At Hamburgh it would be reckoned the greatest. Merchants engaged in the hazardous projects of trade, all tremble at the thoughts of being obliged at all times to expose the real state of their circumstances. The ruin of their credit and the miscarriage of their projects, they foresee, would too often be the confequence. A fober and parfimonious people, who are strangers to all fuch projects, do not feel that they have occasion for any fuch concealment. eli varri pester da variantev lancanolizoidano.

The first of the constant militaries of a In Holland, foon after the exaltation of the late prince of Orange to the stadtholdership, a tax of two per cent. or the fiftieth penny, as it was called, was imposed upon the whole substance of every citizen. Every citizen affessed himself and paid his tax in the fame manner as at Hamburgh; and it was in general supposed to have been paid with great fidelity. The people had at that time the greatest affection for their new government, which they had just established by a general insurrection. The tax was to be paid but once; in order to relieve the state in a particular exigency. It was, indeed, too heavy to be permanent. In a country where the market rate of interest feldom exceeds three per cent. a tax of two per cent. amounts to thirteen shillings and fourpence in the pound upon the highest neat revenue which is commonly drawn from stock. It is a tax

\* Id. tome i. p. 163, 166, 171.

which:

which very few people could pay without encroaching more or lefs CHAP. upon their capitals. In a particular exigency the people may, from great public zeal, make a great effort, and give up even a part of their capital in order to relieve the state. But it is impossible that they should continue to do so for any considerable time; and if they did, the tax would foon ruin them fo completely as to render them altogether incapable of supporting the state.

THEtaxupon stock imposed by the land-tax bill in England, tho it is proportioned to the capital, is not intended to diminish or take away any part of that capital. It is meant only to be a tax upon the interest of money proportioned to that upon the rent of land: fo that when the latter is at four shillings in the pound, the former may be at four shillings in the pound too. The tax at Hamburgh, and the still more moderate taxes of Underwold and Zurich, are meant, in the fame manner, to be taxes, not upon the capital, but upon the interest or neat revenue of stock. That of Holland was meant to be a tax upon the capital. white means the Object of the Render

were the street one is an entire and the Taxes upon the Profit of particular Employments.

IN some countries extraordinary taxes are imposed upon the profits of flock; fometimes when employed in particular branches of trade, and sometimes when employed in agriculture.

Or the former kind are in England the tax upon hawkers and pedlars, that upon hackney coaches and chairs, and that which the keepers of ale-houses pay for a licence to retail ale and spirituous liquors. During the late war, another tax of the fame kind was proposed upon shops. The war having been undertaken, it was faid, in defence of the trade of the country, the merchants, Nnn2

BOOK who were to profit by it, ought to contribute towards the support

A TAX, however, upon the profits of flock employed in any particular branch of trade, can never fall finally upon the dealers (who must in all ordinary cases have their reasonable profit, and, where the competition is free, can seldom have more than that profit) but always upon the consumers, who must be obliged to pay in the price of the goods the tax which the dealer advances; and generally with some over-charge.

A TAX of this kind, when it is proportioned to the trade of the dealer, is finally paid by the confumer, and occasions no oppression. to the dealer. When it is not fo proportioned, but is the same: upon all dealers, though in this case too it is finally paid by the confumer, yet it favours the great, and occasions some oppression to the fmall dealer. The tax of five shillings a week upon every hackney coach, and that of ten shillings a year upon every hackney chair, fo far as it is advanced by the different keepers of fuch coaches and chairs, is exactly enough proportioned to the extent of their respective dealings. It neither favours the great, nor oppresses the fmaller dealer. The tax of twenty shillings a year for a licence. to fell ale; of forty shillings for a licence to sell spirituous liquors; and of forty shillings more for a licence to sell wine; being the same upon all retailers, must necessarily give some advantage to the great, and occasion some oppression to the small dealers. The former must find it more easy to get back the tax in the price of their goods than the latter. The moderation of the tax, however, renders this; inequality of less importance, and it may to many people appear not. improper to give some discouragement to the multiplication of littleale-houses. The tax upon shops, it was intended, should be the fame upon all shops. It could not well have been otherwise. It would have been impossible to proportion with tolerable exactness the tax upon a shop to the extent of the trade carried on in its without such an inquisition, as would have been altogether insupportable in a free country. If the tax had been considerable, it would have oppressed the small, and forced almost the whole retail trade into the hands of the great dealers. The competition of the former being taken away, the latter would have enjoyed a monopoly of the trade; and like all other monopolists would soon have combined to raise their profits much beyond what was necessary for the payment of the tax. The final payment, instead of falling upon the shopkeeper, would have fallen upon the consumer, with a considerable over-charge to the profit of the shopkeeper. For these reasons, the project of a tax upon shops was laid aside, and in the room of it was substituted the subsidy 1759.

WHAT in France is called the personal taille is, perhaps, the most important tax upon the profits of stock employed in agriculture that is levied in any part of Europe.

In the diforderly state of Europe during the prevalence of the feudal government, the sovereign was obliged to content himself with taxing those who were too weak to refuse to pay taxes. The great lords, though willing to affish him upon particular emergencies, refused to subject themselves to any constant tax, and he was not strong enough to force them. The occupiers of land, all over Europe, were the greater part of them originally bond-men. Through the greater part of Europe they were gradually emancipated. Some of them acquired the property of landed estates which they held by some base or ignoble tenure, sometimes under the king, and sometimes under some other great lord, like the antient copy-holders of England. Others, without acquiring the property, obtained leases for terms of years of the lands which they occupied.

воок

pied under their lord, and thus became less dependent upon him. The great lords feem to have beheld the degree of prosperity and independency which this inferior order of men had thus come to enjoy, with malignant and contemptuous indignation, and willingly confented that the fovereign should tax them. In some countries this tax was confined to the lands which were held in property by an ignoble tenure; and, in this case, the taille was said to be real. The land-tax established by the late king of Sardinia, and the taille in the provinces of Languedoc, Provence, Dauphiné, and Brittany; in the generality of Montauban, and in the elections of Agen and Condom, as well as in some other districts of France. are taxes upon lands held in property by an ignoble tenure. In other countries the tax was laid upon the supposed profits of all those who held in farm or lease lands belonging to other people. whatever might be the tenure by which the proprietor held them: and in this case the taille was said to be personal. In the greater part of those provinces of France, which are called the Countries of Elections, the taille is of this kind. The real taille, as it is imposed only upon a part of the lands of the country, is necessiarily an unequal, but it is not always an arbitrary tax, though it is fo upon some occasions. The personal taille, as it is intended to be proportioned to the profits of a certain class of people, which can only be gueffed at, is necessarily both arbitrary and unequal. on artist line are in the rounce with the light of this

In France the personal taille at present, (1775,) annually imposed upon the twenty generalities, called the Countries of Elections, amounts to 40,107,239 livres, 16 sous \*. The proportion in which this sum is assessed upon those different provinces, varies from year to year, according to the reports which are made to the king's council concerning the goodness or badness of the crop, as well as other circumstances which may either increase or diminish their respectives.

\* Memoires concernant les Droits, &c. tome ii. p. 17.

respective abilities to pay. Each generality is divided into a cer- CHAP. tain number of elections, and the proportion in which the fum impoled upon the whole generality is divided among those different elections, varies likewife from year to year, according to the reports made to the council concerning their respective abilities. It seems impossible that the council, with the best intentions, can ever proportion with tolerable exactness, either of those two affessiments to the real abilities of the province or diffrict upon which they are respectively laid. Ignorance and misinformation must always, more or less, mislead the most upright council. The proportion which each parish ought to support of what is affested upon the whole: election, and that which each individual ought to support of what is affeffed upon his particular parish, are both in the same manner varied, from year to year, according as circumstances are supposed to require. These circumstances are judged of, in the one case, by the officers of the election; in the other by those of the parish; and both the one and the other are, more or less, under the direction and influence of the intendant. Not only ignorance and mifinformation, but friendship, party animosity, and private resentment, are faid frequently to mislead such assessors. No man subject to fuch a tax, it is evident, can ever be certain, before he is affeffed, of what he is to pay. He cannot even be certain after he is affelfed. If any person has been taxed who ought to have been . exempted; or if any person has been taxed beyond his proportion, though both must pay in the mean time, yet if they complain and make good their complaints, the whole parish is reimposed next year in order to reimburfe them. If any of the contributors become bankrupt or infolvent, the collector is obliged to advance his tax, and the whole parish is reimposed next year in order to reimburse the collector. If the collector himself should become bankrupt, the parish which elects him must answer for his conduct to the receivergeneral of the election. But, as it might be troublesome for the

BOOK receiver to profecute the whole parish, he takes at his choice five or fix of the richest contributors, and obliges them to make good what had been lost by the insolvency of the collector. The parish is afterwards reimposed in order to reimburse those five or fix. Such reimpositions are always over and above the taille of the particular year in which they are laid on.

> or the ablance our florit to refer the dank ablance of the entry of WHEN a tax is imposed upon the profits of stock in a particular branch of trade, the traders are all careful to bring no more goods to market than what they can fell at a price fufficient to reimburfe them for advancing the tax. Some of them withdraw a part of their stocks from the trade, and the market is more sparingly supplied than before. The price of the goods rifes, and the final payment of the tax falls upon the confumer. But when a tax is imposed upon the profits of stock employed in agriculture, it is not the interest of the farmers to withdraw any part of their stock from that employment. Each farmer occupies a certain quantity of land, for which he pays rent. For the proper cultivation of this land a certain quantity of flock is necessary; and by withdrawing any part of this necessary quantity, the farmer is not likely to be more able to pay either the rent or the tax. In order to pay the tax, it can never be his interest to diminish the quantity of his produce, nor confequently to fupply the market more sparingly than before. The tax, therefore, will never enable him to raise the price of his produce, nor to reimburse himself: by throwing the final payment upon the confumer. The farmer, however, must have his reasonable profit as well as every other dealer, otherwise he must give up the trade. After the imposition of a tax of this kind, he can get this reasonable profit only by paying less rent to the landlord. The more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the less he can afford to pay in the way of rent. A tax of this kind imposed during the currency of a leafe may, no doubt, diffress or ruin the farmer.

farmer. Upon the renewal of the lease it must always fall upon CHAP.

In the countries where the personal taille takes place, the farmer is commonly affested in proportion to the stock which he appears to employ in cultivation. He is, upon this account, frequently afraid to have a good team of horses or oxen, but endeavours to cultivate with the meanest and most wretched instruments of husbandry that he can. Such is his distrust in the justice of his affesfors, that he counterfeits poverty, and wishes to appear scarce able to pay any thing for fear of being obliged to pay too much. By this miserable policy he does not, perhaps, always confult his own interest in the most effectual manner; and he probably loses more by the diminution of his produce than he faves by that of his tax. Though, in consequence of this wretched cultivation the market is. no doubt, somewhat worse supplied; yet the small rise of price which this may occasion, as it is not likely even to indemnify the farmer for the diminution of his produce, it is still less likely to enable him to pay more rent to the landlord. The public, the farmer, the landlord, all suffer more or less by this degraded cultivation. That the personal taille tends, in many different ways, to discourage cultivation, and consequently to dry up the principal fource of the wealth of every great country, I have already had occasion to observe in the third book of this inquiry.

WHAT are called poll-taxes in the fouthern provinces of North America, and in the West India islands, annual taxes of so much a head upon every negro, are properly taxes upon the profits of a certain species of stock employed in agriculture. As the planters are, the greater part of them, both farmers and landlords, the final payment of the tax falls upon them in their quality of landlords without any retribution.

Vol. II.

TAXES

BOOK

TAXES of fo much a head upon the bondmen employed in cultivation, feem antiently to have been common all over Europe. There subsists at present a tax of this kind in the empire of Russia. It is probably upon this account that poll-taxes of all kinds have often been represented as badges of slavely. Every tax, however. is to the person who pays it a badge, not of slavery, but of liberty. It denotes that he is subject to government, indeed, but that, as he has some property, he cannot himself be the property of a master. A poll-tax upon flaves is altogether different from a poll-tax upon freemen. The latter is paid by the persons upon whom it is imposed; the former by a different set of persons. The latter is either altogether arbitrary or altogether unequal, and in most cases is both the one and the other; the former, though in some respects unequal, different flaves being of different values, is in no respect arbitrary. Every mafter who knows the number of his own flaves. knows exactly what he has to pay. Those different taxes, however. being called by the fame name, have been confidered as of the which this may occation, to it is not likely even fame nature. tarmer for the diminution of his product, it is till firs many

enable him to pay more rent to the landlard. The public, the fur-Taxes upon the profits of stock in particular employments can never affect the interest of money. Nobody will lend his money for less interest to those who exercise the taxed, than to those who exercife the untaxed employments. Taxes upon the revenue arising from flock in all employments, where the government attempts to levy them with any degree of exactness, will, in many cases, fall upon the interest of money. The Vingtieme or twentieth-penny in France, is a tax of the fame kind with what is called the land-tax in England, and is affelled, in the fame manner, upon the revenue ariling from land, houses, and stock. So far as it affects stock, it is affeffed, though not with great rigour, yet with much more exactness than that part of the land-tax of England which is imposed upon the fame fund? It, in many cales, falls altogether upon the intefight wetaout my recubution. D 0 0 Al . 306 \$382.T

rest of money. Money is frequently sunk in France upon what are called Contracts for the constitution of a rent, that is, perpetual animities redeemable at any time by the debtor upon repayment of the sum originally advanced, but of which this redemption is not exigible by the creditor except in particular cases. The vingtiems from to have raised the rate of those annuities, though it is exactly levied upon them all:

## APPENDIX to ARTICLES I. and II.

and Taxes upon the capital Value of Land, Houses, and Stockers and

WHILE property remains in the possession of the same person, whatever permanent taxes may have been imposed upon it, they have never been intended to diminish or take away any part of its capital value, but only some part of the revenue arising from it. But when property changes hands, when it is transmitted either from the dead to the living, or from the living to the living, such taxes have frequently been imposed upon it as necessarily take away some part of its capital value.

The transference of all forts of property from the dead to the living, and that of immoveable property, of land and houses, from the living to the living, are transactions which are in their nature either public and notorious, or such as cannot be long concealed. Such transactions, therefore, may be taxed directly. The transference of stock or immoveable property from the living to the living by the lending of money, is frequently a fecret transaction, and may always be made so. It cannot easily, therefore, be taxed directly. It has been taxed indirectly in two different ways; first, by requiring that the deed, containing the obligation to repay, should be written upon paper or parchment, which had paid a

BOOK certain stamp-duty, otherwise not to be valid; secondly, by requiring, under the like penalty of invalidity, that it should be recorded either in a public or fecret register, and by imposing certain duties upon fuch registration. Stamp-duties and duties of

registration have frequently been imposed likewise upon the deeds transferring property of all kinds from the dead to the living, and

upon those transferring immoveable property from the living to the living, transactions which might easily have been taxed directly.

THE Vicefima Hereditatum, the twentieth penny of inheritances. imposed by Augustus upon the antient Romans, was a tax upon the transference of property from the dead to the living. Dion Cassius+, the author who writes concerning it the least indistinctly. favs, that it was imposed upon all successions, legacies and donations, in case of death, except upon those to the nearest relations, and to the poor. The shall be a failed to the poor of the shall be a failed to the poor.

OF the same kind is the Dutch tax upon successions \*. Collateral successions are taxed, according to the degree of relation, from five to thirty per cent. upon the whole value of the fucceffion. Testamentary donations or legacies to collaterals, are subject to the like duties. Those from husband to wife, or from wife to husband, to the fiftieth penny. The Luctuosa Hereditas, the mournful fuccession of ascendents to descendents, to the twentieth penny only. Direct fuccessions, or those of descendents to ascendents. pay no tax. The death of a father, to fuch of his children as live in the same house with him, is seldom attended with any increase, and frequently with a confiderable diminution of revenue; by the lofs of his industry, of his office, or of some life-rent estate. of which he may have been in possession. That tax would be cruel and oppressive which aggravated their loss by taking from them any part กรรษ (Self Bread โดย (ประมาณ)

of his fuccession. It may, however, sometimes be otherwise with CHAP. those children who, in the language of the Roman law, are faid to be emancipated; in that of the Scotch law, to be foris-familiated; that is, who have received their portion, have got families of their own, and are supported by funds separate and independent of those of their father. Whatever part of his succesfion might come to fuch children, would be a real addition to their fortune, and might, therefore, perhaps, without more inconveniency than what attends all duties of this kind, be liable to some

THE cafualties of the feudal law were taxes upon the transference of land, both from the dead to the living, and from the living to the living. In antient times they constituted in every, part of Europe one of the principal branches of the revenue of the crown.

THE heir of every immediate valial of the crown paid a certain duty, generally a year's rent, upon receiving the investiture of the estate. If the heir was a minor, the whole rents of the estate, during the continuance of the minority, devolved to the fuperior without any other charge, besides the maintenance of the minor, and the payment of the widow's dower, when there happened to be a dowager, upon the land. When the minor came to be of age, another tax, called Relief, was still due to the superior, which generally amounted likewise to a year's rent. A long minority, which in the present times so frequently disburdens a great estate of all its incumbrances, and reftores the family to their antient splendor, could in those times have no such effect. The waste, and not the disincumbrance of the estate, was the common effect of a long minority.

By the feudal law the vaffal could not allenate without the consent of his superior, who generally extorted a fine or compolition for granting it. This fine, which was at first arbitrary, came in many countries to be regulated at a certain portion

<sup>+</sup> Lib. 55. Sce also Burman de Vectigalibus pop. Rom. cap. xi. and Bouchaud de l'impôt du vingtieme fur les fuccessions.

<sup>\*</sup> Memoires concernant les Droits, &c. tom. i. p. 225.

BOOK of the price of the land. In fome countries, where the greater part of the other feudal customs have gone into disuse, this tax upon the alienation of land fill continues to make a confiderable upon the first indicate the land fill continues to make a confiderable upon the land fill continues to make a confiderable upon the land fill continues to make a confiderable upon the land fill continue to the la it is so high as a fixth part of the price of all, noble fiefs; and a tenth part of that of all ignoble ones \*. In the canton of Lucerne the tax upon the fale of lands is not universal, and takes place only in certain diffricts. But if any person fells his land, in order to remove out of the territory, he pays ten per cent. upon the whole price of the fale +. Taxes of the fame kind upon the fale either of all lands, for of lands held by certain tenures take place in many other countries, and make a more orders confiderable branch of the revenue of the fovereign. users of Europe one of the trincipal branches of the revenue of

Such transactions may be taxed indirectly by means either of stamp-duties, or of duties upon registration; and those duties either may or may not be proportioned to the value of the subject which is transferred viscon near, upon receiving menerally which the titure. If the lair was a minut, the whole tents of the

of In Great Britain the stamp-duties are shigher for lower, not fo much according to the Svalue of ather property transferred, (an eighteen penny or half crown stamp being sufficient upon a bond for the largest sum of money) as according to the nature of the deed... The highest do not exceed fix pounds upon every sheet of paper, or skin of parchment; and these high! duties fall chiefly upon grants from the crown, and upon certain law proceedings; without any regard to the value of the subject. There are in Great Britain no duties on the registration of deeds or writings, except the fees of the officers, who keep the register; and these are feldom more than a reasonable recompence for their labour. The crown derives no revenue from them.

Memoires concernant les Droits, &c. tom. T. p. 154. 2000 + Id. p. 157. military nierosa e el completa el estrebel quen el angular

IN Holland \* there are both stamp-duties and duties upon regi- CHAP. fration; which in some cases are, and in some are not proportioned to the value of the property transferred. All testaments must be written upon stampt-paper, of which the price is proportioned to the property disposed of, so that there are stamps which cost from threepence, or three stivers a sheet, to three hundred florins, equal to about twenty-feven pound ten shillings of our money to If the stramp is of an inferior price to what the testator ought to have made use of his flugcession is confiscated on This is lover; and above hall their tother taxes on a fuccession of Except bills Tof exchange, and fome other mercantile bills; call other deeds; bonds and contracts. are fubject to a ftamp-duty! !This duty;! however; does not rife in proportion to the value of the fullieft All fales of land and of houses, and all mortgages dupon either, must be registered, and. upon registration, spayilanduty toothe statelof two and a half sper cent. upon the amount of the price price price mortgage to This duty is extended to the fale of all ships and vessels rofemore than two tons burthen; whether decked or undecked . Thefe; it feem's are confidered as a fort of houses upon the water. The fale of moveables, when it is ordered by a gourt of juffice, is fubject to the like duty of two and a half need centary and of si years villar

In France there are both ftamp-duties and duties upon regifiration. The former are confidered as a branch of the aides or excise, and in the provinces where those duties take place, are levied by the excise officers. The latter are confidered as a branch of the domaine of the crown, and are levied by a different fet. of officers. of old houses which happen at any time to come to market is

THOSE modes of taxation, by stamp-duties and by duties upon registration, are of very modern invention. In the course of little more than as century, however, flamp-duties have, in. Europe, become almost universal, and duties upon registration olodi an \* Id. tom. i.p. 223, 224, 225, 191 off more redisposts list canar

472

BOOK extremely common. There is no art which one government fooner learns of another than that of draining money from the pockets of the people.

TAXES upon the transference of property from the dead to the living, fall finally as well as immediately upon the person to whom the property is transferred. Taxes upon the fale of land fall altogether upon the feller. The feller is almost always under the necessity of selling, and must, therefore, take such a price as he can get. The buyer is fcarce ever under the necessity of buying, and will, therefore, give only fuch a price as he likes. He confiders what the land will cost him in tax and price together. The more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the less he will be disposed to give in the way of price. Such taxes. therefore, fall almost always upon a necessitous person, and must. therefore, be frequently very cruel and oppreffive. Taxes upon the fale of new-built houses, where the building is fold without the ground, fall generally upon the buyer, because the builder must generally have his profit; otherwise he must give up the trade. If he advances the tax, therefore, the buyer must generally repay it to him. Taxes upon the fale of old houses, for the fame reason as those upon the sale of land, fall generally upon the feller; whom in most cases either conveniency or necessity obliges to fell. The number of new built houses that are annually brought to market, is more or less regulated by the demand. Unless the demand is such as to afford the builder his profit, after paying all expences, he will build no more houses. The number of old houses which happen at any time to come to market is regulated by accidents of which the greater part have no relation to the demand. Two or three great bankruptcies in a mercantile town, will bring many houses to fale, which must be fold for what can be got for them. Taxes upon the fale of groundrents fall altogether upon the feller; for the same reason as those

upon the fale of land. Stamp duties, and duties upon the regi- CHAP. stration of bonds and contracts for borrowed money, fall altogether upon the borrower, and, in fact, are always paid by him. Duties of the same kind upon law proceedings fall upon the fuitors. They reduce to both the capital value of the subject in dispute. The more it costs to acquire any property, the less must be the value of it when acquired. Some of non-thing there doors

All taxes upon the transference of property of every kind, fo far as they diminish the capital value of that property, tend to diminish the funds destined for the maintenance of productive labour. They are all more or less unthrifty taxes that increase the revenue of the fovereign, which feldom maintains any but unproductive labourers, at the expence of the capital of the people which maintains none but productive.

special of deliver white the cities of or agreed Such taxes, even when they are proportioned to the value of the property transferred, are still unequal; the frequency of transference not being always equal in property of equal value. When they are not proportioned to this value, which is the case with the greater part of the stamp-duties, and duties of registration. they are still more so. They are in no respect arbitrary, but are or may be in all cases perfectly clear and certain. Though they fometimes fall upon the person who is not very able to pay: the time of payment is in most cases sufficiently convenient for him. When the payment becomes due, he must in most cases have the money to pay. They are levied at very little expence, and in general fubject the contributors to no other inconveniency befides always the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

In France the stamp-duties are not much complained of. Those of registration, which they call the Contrôle, are. They give occasion, it is pretended, to much extortion in the officers of the Vol. II. Ppp farmers

BOOK farmers general who collect the tax, which is in a great measure arbitrary and uncertain. In the greater part of the libels which have been written against the present system of finances in France. the abuses of the contrôle make a principal article. Uncertainty, however, does not feem to be necessarily inherent in the nature of fuch taxes: If the popular complaints are well founded, the abuse must arise, not so much from the nature of the tax, as from the want of precision and distinctness in the words of the edicts or laws which impose it.

> THE registration of mortgages, and in general of all rights upon immoveable property; as it gives great fecurity both to creditors and purchasers, is extremely advantageous to the publie. That of the greater part of deeds of other kinds is frequently inconvenient and even dangerous to individuals, without any advantage to the public. All registers which, it is acknowledged, ought to be kept fecret, ought certainly never to exist The credit of individuals ought certainly never to depend upon fo very flender a fecurity as the probity and religion of the inferior officers of revenue. But where the fees of registration have been made a fource of revenue to the fovereign, register offices have commonly been multiplied without end, both for the deeds which ought to be registered, and for those which ought not. In France there are several different forts of secret registers. This abuse, though not perhaps a necessary, it must be acknowledged, is a very natural effect of fuch taxes.

Such stamp-duties as those in England upon cards and dices upon news-papers and periodical pamphlets, &c. are properly taxes upon confumption; the final payment falls upon the perfons who use or consume such commodities. Such stamp-duties as those upon licences to retail ale, wine and spirituous liquors, though intended perhaps to fall upon the profits of the retailers, are. likewise finally paid by the consumers of those liquors. Such CHAR. taxes, though called by the fame name, and levied by the fame officers and in the same manner with the stamp-duties above mentioned upon the transference of property, are however of a quite different nature, and fall upon quite different funds.

#### ARTICLE III.

#### Taxes upon the Wages of Labour.

THE wages of the inferior classes of workmen, I have endeayoured to show in the first book, are every where necessarily regulated by two different circumstances; the demand for labour, and the ordinary or average price of provisions. The demand for labour, according as it happens to be either increasing, stationary, or declining; or to require an increasing, stationary, or declining population, regulates the fubfiftence of the labourer, and determines in what degree it shall be, either liberal, moderate, or scanty. The ordinary or average price of provisions determines the quantity of money which must be paid to the workman in order to enable him, one year with another, to purchase this liberal, moderate, or fcanty subsistence. While the demand for labour and the price of provisions, therefore, remain the same, a direct tax upon the wages of labour can have no other effect than to raise them somewhat higher than the tax. Let us suppose, for example, that in a particular place the demand for labour and the price of provifions were fuch as to render ten shillings a week the ordinary wages of labour; and that a tax of one-fifth, or four shillings in the pound, was imposed upon wages. If the demand for labour and the price of provisions remained the same, it would still be necessary that the labourer should in that place earn such a subsist: ence as could be bought only for ten shillings a week, or that after

Ppp2

paying

BOOK paying the tax he should have ten shillings a week free wages. But in order to leave him fuch free wages after paying fuch a tax, the price of labour must in that place soon rise, not to twelve shillings a week only, but to twelve and fixpence; that is, in order to enable him to pay a tax of one-fifth, his wages must necessarily foon rife, not one-fifth part only, but one-fourth. Whatever was the proportion of the tax, the wages of labour must in all cases rise, not only in that proportion, but in a higher proportion. If the tax, for example, was one-tenth, the wages of labour must necessarily foon rife, not one-tenth part only, but one-eighth.

> A DIRECT tax upon the wages of labour, therefore, though the labourer might perhaps pay it out of his hand, could not properly be faid to be even advanced by him; at least if the demand for labour and the average price of provisions remained the same after the tax as before it. In all fuch cases, not only the tax, but fomething more than the tax, would in reality be advanced by the person who immediately employed him. The final payment would in different cases fall upon different persons. The rise which fuch a tax might occasion in the wages of manufacturing labour would be advanced by the master manufacturer, who would both be entitled and obliged to charge it, with a profit, upon the price of his goods. The final payment of this rife of wages therefore, together with the additional profit of the master manufacturer, would fall upon the confumer. The rife which fuch a tax might occasion in the wages of country labour would be advanced by the farmer, who in order to maintain the fame number of labourers as before would be obliged to employ a greater capital. In order to get back this greater capital, together with the ordinary profits of flock, it would be necessary that he should retain a larger portion, or what comes to the fame thing, the price of a larger portion, of the produce of the land, and confequently that he ar 1 1 6 5 14 1

should pay less rent to the landlord. The final payment of this CHAP. rife of wages, therefore, would in this case fall upon the landlord, together with the additional profit of the farmer who had advanced it. In all cases a direct tax upon the wages of labour must. in the long run, occasion both a greater reduction in the rent of land, and a greater rife in the price of manufactured goods, than would have followed from the proper affeffment of a fum equal to the produce of the tax, partly upon the rent of land, and partly upon confumable commodities.

IF direct taxes upon the wages of labour have not always occafioned a proportionable rife in those wages, it is because they have generally occasioned a confiderable fall in the demand for labour. The declenfion of industry, the decrease of employment for the poor, the diminution of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, have generally been the effects of fuch taxes. In consequence of them, however, the price of labour must always be higher than it otherwise would have been in the actual state of the demand: and this enhancement of price, together with the profit of those who advance it, must always be finally paid by the landlords and confumers.

A TAX upon the wages of country labour does not raise the price of the rude produce of land; for the same reason that a tax upon the farmers profit does not raise that price.

ABSURD and destructive as such taxes are, however, they take place in many countries. In France that part of the taille which is charged upon the industry of workmen and day-labourers in country villages, is properly a tax of this kind. Their wages are computed according to the common rate of the diffrict in which they refide, and that they may be as little liable as possible to any over-charge, their yearly gains are estimated at no more than two hundredi florins +.

book hundred working days in the year \*. The tax of each individual is varied from year to year according to different circumstances, of which the collector or the commission, whom the intendant appoints to affist him, are the judges. In Bohemia, in consequence of the alteration in the system of finances which was begun in 1748, a very heavy tax is imposed upon the industry of artificers. They are divided into four classes. The highest class pay a hundred florins a year; which, at two and twenty-pence halfpenny a florin, amounts to 91. 7 s. 6.d. The second class are taxed at seventy; the third at fifty; and the fourth, comprehending artificers in villages, and the lowest class of those in towns, at twenty-five

THE recompence of ingenious artists and of men of liberal professions, I have endeavoured to show in the farst book, necessarily keeps a certain proportion to the emoluments of inferior trades. A tax upon this recompence, therefore, could have no other effect than to raise it somewhat higher than in proportion to the tax. If it did not rise in this manner, the ingenious arts and the liberal professions, being no longer upon a level with other trades, would be so much deserted that they would soon return to that level.

THE emoluments of offices are not, like those of trades and professions, regulated by the free competition of the market, and do not, therefore, always bear a just proportion to what the nature of the employment requires. They are, perhaps in most countries, higher than it requires; the persons who have the administration of government being generally disposed to reward both themselves and their immediate dependents rather more than enough. The emoluments of offices, therefore, can in most cases very well

• Id. tom. ii. p. 108. + Id. tom. iii. p. 87.

hea

bear to be taxed. The persons, besides, who enjoy public offices, especially the more lucrative, are in all countries the objects of general envy; and a tax upon their emoluments, even though it should be somewhat higher than upon any other fort of revenue, is always a very popular tax. In England, for example, when by the land-tax every other fort of revenue was supposed to be assessed at four shillings in the pound, it was very popular to lay a real tax of five shillings in the pound upon the salaries of offices which exceeded a hundred pounds a year; those of the judges and a few others less obnoxious to envy excepted. There are in England no other direct taxes upon the wages of labour.

#### ARTICLE IV.

Taxes which, it is intended, should fall indifferently upon every different Species of Revenue.

THE taxes which, it is intended, should fall indifferently upon every different species of revenue, are capitation taxes, and taxes upon consumable commodities. These must be paid indifferently from whatever revenue the contributors may posses; from the rent of their land, from the profits of their stock, or from the wages of their labour.

#### Capitation Taxes.

CAPITATION taxes, if it is attempted to proportion them to the fortune or revenue of each contributor, become altogether arbitrary. The state of a man's fortune varies from day to day, and without an inquisition more intolerable than any tax, and renewed at least once every year, can only be guessed at. His affessment, therefore, must in most cases depend upon the good or bad humour of his assessment, and must, therefore, be altogether arbitrary and uncertain.

CAPITATION

BOOK

CAPITATION taxes, if they are proportioned, not to the fupposed fortune, but to the rank of each contributor, become altogether unequal; the degrees of fortune being frequently unequal in the same degree of rank. The required regard to the contributor of t

SUCH taxes, therefore, if it is attempted to render them equal, become altogether arbitrary and uncertain; and if it is attempted to render them certain and not arbitrary, became altogether uncertain. Let the tax be light or heavy, uncertainty is always a great grievance. In a light tax a confiderable degree of inequality may be supported; in a heavy one it is altogether intolerable.

In the different poll-taxes which took place in England, during the reign of William III. the contributors were, the greater part of them, affested according to the degree of their rank; as dukes, marquisses, earls, viscounts, barons, esquires, gentlemen, the eldest and youngest sons of peers, &c. All shopkeepers and tradesmen worth more than three hundred pounds, that is, the better fort of them, were subject to the same affessment; how great soever might be the difference in their fortunes. Their rank was more considered than their fortune. Several of those who in the first poll-tax were rated according to their supposed fortune, were afterwards rated according to their rank. Sergeants, attornies, and proctors at law, who in the first poll-tax were affested at three shillings in the pound of their supposed income, were afterwards affested as gentlemen. In the affestment of a tax, which was not very heavy, a confiderable degree of inequality had been found less insupportable than any degree of uncertainty.

In the capitation which has been levied in France without any interruption fince the beginning of the prefent century, the highest orders of people are rated according to their rank by an invariable tariff;

tarif; the lower orders of people, according to what is supposed to be their fortune; by an affessment which varies from year to year. The officers of the king's court; the judges and other officers in the superior courts of justice, the officers of the troops, &c. are affessed in the first manner. The inferior ranks of people in the provinces are affessed in the second. In France the great easily submit to a considerable degree of inequality in a tax which, so far as it affects them, is not a very heavy one; but could not brook the arbitrary affessment of an intendant. The inferior ranks of people must, in that country, suffer patiently the usage which their superiors think proper to give them.

and the first the relative to the territorial of

In England the different poll-taxes never produced the fum which had been expected from them, or which, it was supposed, they might have produced, had they been exactly levied. In France the capitation always produces the fum expected from it. The mild government of England, when it affested the different ranks of people to the poll-tax, contented itself with what that affeffment happened to produce; and required no compensation for the loss which the state might sustain either by those who could not pay, or by those who would not pay, (for there were many fuch), and who, by the indulgent execution of the law, were not forced to pay. The more fevere government of France affeffes upon each generality a certain fum, which the intendant must find as he can. If any province complains of being affelled too high, it may, in the affeffment of next year, obtain an abatement proportioned to the over-charge of the year before: But it must pay in the meantime. The intendant, in order to be fure of finding the fum affeffed upon his generality, was impowered to affefs it in a larger sum; that the failure or inability of some of the contributors might be compensated by the over-charge of the rest; and till 1765, the fixation of this furplus affeffment, was left altogether Vol. II. Qqq

BOOK to his difcretion. In that year indeed the council affumed this power to itself. In the capitation of the provinces, it is observed by the perfectly-well informed author of the Memoirs upon the impositions in France, the portion which falls upon the nobility. and upon those whose privileges exempt them from the taille, is the least considerable. The largest falls upon those subject to the taille, who are affeffed to the capitation at fo much a pound of what they pay to that other tax. If they as they at the ball of the state of to a language par a constitue a constitue finish

CAPITATION taxes, so far as they are levied upon the lower ranks of people, are direct taxes upon the wages of labour, and are attended with all the inconveniencies of fuch taxes. The first of the second of a section in the first for the

CAPITATION taxes are levied at little expence; and, where they are rigorously exacted, afford a very fure revenue to the state. It is upon this account that in countries where the eafe; comfort, and fecurity of the inferior ranks of people are little attended to, capitation taxes are very common. It is in general, however, but a small part of the public revenue, which, in a great empire, has ever been drawn from such taxes; and the greatest sum which. they have ever afforded, might always have been found in some other way much more convenient to the people,

## Taxes upon consumable Commodities.

சுத்தம் பிருவக்க

THE impossibility of taxing the people, in proportion to their revenue, by any capitation, feems to have given occasion to the invention of taxes upon confumable commodities. The state not knowing how to tax directly and proportionably the revenue of its subjects, endeavours to tax it indirectly by taxing their expence, which, it is fupposed, will in most cases be nearly in proportion to their revenue.

revenue. Their expence is taxed by taxing the confumable com- CHAP. modities upon which it is laid out.

The sales with the office of the sales of the sales of the sales of CONSUMABLE commodities are either necessaries or luxuries. ere del migras l'albérgina copris en son ma trapdel l'all comme les

By necessaries I understand, not only the commodities which are indispensibly necessary for the support of life, but whatever the custom of the country renders it indecent for creditable people, even of the lowest order, to be without. A linen shirt, for example, is, strictly speaking, not a necessary of life. The Greeks and Romans lived, I suppose, very comfortably, though they had no linen. But in the present times, through the greater part of Europe, a creditable day-labourer would be ashamed to appear in public without a linen shirt, the want of which would be supposed to denote that difgraceful degree of poverty, which, it is prefumed, no body can well fall into without extreme bad conduct. Custom, in the same manner, has rendered leather shoes a necessary of life in England. The poorest creditable person of either sex would be ashamed to appear in public without them. In Scotland, custom has rendered them a necessary of life to the lowest order of men; but not to the fame order of women, who may, without any discredit, walk about bare-footed. In France, they are necessaries neither to men nor to women; the lowest rank of both sexes appearing there publicly, without any discredit, sometimes in wooden shoes, and sometimes bare-footed. Under necessaries. therefore, I comprehend, not only those things which nature, but those things which the established rules of decency have rendered necessary to the lowest rank of people. All other things, I call luxuries; without meaning by this appellation, to throw the fmallest degree of reproach upon the temperate use of them. Beer and ale, for example, in Great Britain, and wine, even in the wine countries, I call luxuries. A man of any rank may, without Qqq2

godinari sa minilisoon wiidi waxadiboannon arazuttariogi

BOOK any reproach, abstain totally from tasting such liquors. Nature does not render them necessary for the support of life; and custom no where renders it indecent to live without them.

As the wages of labour are every where regulated partly by the demand for it, and partly by the average price of the necessary articles of subsistence; whatever raises this average price must necessarily raise those wages, so that the labourer may still be able to purchase that quantity of those necessary articles which the state of the demand for labour, whether increasing, stationary, for declining, requires that he should have the A tax upon those articles necessarily raises their price somewhat higher than the amount of the tax, because the dealer, who advances the tax, must generally get it back with a profitmus such a tax must, therefore, occasion a rise in the wages of labour proportionable to this rise of price.

It is thus that a tax upon the necessaries of life, operates exactly in the same manner as a direct tax upon the wages of labour. The labourer, though he may pay it out of his hand; cannot, for any considerable time at least, be properly said even to advance it. It must always in the long-run be advanced to him by his immediate employer in the advanced rate of his wages. His employer, if he is a manufacturer, will charge upon the price of his goods this rise of wages, together with a profit; so that the final payment of the tax, together with this over-charge, will fall upon the consumer. If his employer is a farmer, the final payment, together with a like over-charge, will fall upon the rent of the sandlord.

It is otherwise with taxes upon what I call luxuries; even upon those of the poor. The rise in the price of the taxed commodities.

- **4** 2

will.

will not necessarily occasion any rife in the wages of labour. A. CHAP. tax upon tobacco, for example, though a luxury of the poor as well as of the rich, will not raise wages. Though it is taxed in England at three times, and in France at fifteen times its original price, those high duties feem to have no effect upon the wages of labour. The fame thing may be faid of the taxes upon tea and fugar; which in England and Holland have become luxuries of the lowest ranks of people; and of those upon chocolate, which in Spain is faid to have become fo. The different taxes which in Great Britain have in the course of the present century been imposed upon spirituous liquors, are not supposed to have had any effect upon the wages of labour. The rife in the price of porter, occasioned by an additional tax of three shillings upon the barrel: of strong beer, has not raised the wages of common labour in London. These were about eighteen-pence and twenty-pence a day before the tax, and they are not more now.

The high price of fuch commodities does not necessarily diminish the ability of the inserior ranks of people to bring upfamilies. Upon the sober and industrious poor, taxes upon such commodities act as sumptuary laws, and dispose them either to moderate, or to refrain altogether from the use of superfluities which they can no longer easily afford. Their ability to bring upfamilies, in consequence of this forced frugality, instead of being diminished, is frequently, perhaps, increased by the tax. It is the sober and industrious poor who generally bring up the most numerous families, and who principally supply the demand for useful labour. All the poor indeed are not sober and industrious, and the dissolute and disorderly might continue to induse themselves in the use of such commodities after this rise of price in the same manner as before; without regarding the distress which this indulgence might bring upon their families. Such disorderly per-

BOOK fons, however, feldom rear up numerous families; their children v. generally perishing from neglect, mismanagement, and the scantines or unwholesomeness of their food. If by the strength of their constitution they survive the hardships to which the bad conduct of their parents exposes them; yet the example of that bad conduct commonly corrupts their morals; so that, instead of being useful to society by their industry, they become public nuisances by their vices and disorders. Though the advanced price of the luxuries of the poor, therefore, might increase somewhat the distress of such disorderly families, and thereby diminish somewhat their ability to bring up children; it would not probably diminish much the useful population of the country.

ANY rise in the average price of necessaries, unless it is compensated by a proportionable rise in the wages of labour, must necessarily diminish more or less the ability of the poor to bring up numerous families, and consequently to supply the demand for useful labour; whatever may be the state of that demand, whether increasing, stationary, or declining; or such as requires an increasing, stationary, or declining, population.

Taxes upon luxuries have no tendency to raife the price of any other commodities except that of the commodities taxed. Taxes upon necessaries, by raising the wages of labour, necessarily tend to raise the price of all manufactures, and consequently to diminish the extent of their sale and consumption. Taxes upon luxuries are finally paid by the consumers of the commodities taxed, without any retribution. They fall indifferently upon every species of revenue, the wages of labour, the profits of stock, and the rent of land. Taxes upon necessaries, so far as they affect the labouring poor, are finally paid, partly by landlords in the diminished rent of their lands, and partly by rich consumers, whether

fandlords or others, in the advanced price of manufactured goods; CHAP. and always with a confiderable over-charge. The advanced price of fuch manufactures as are real necessaries of life, and are destined. for the confumption of the poor, of coarse woollens, for example, must be compensated to the poor by a farther advancement of their. wages. The middling and fuperior ranks of people, if they underflood their own interest, ought always to oppose all taxes upon the necessaries of life, as well as all direct taxes upon the wages of: labour. The final payment of both the one and the other falls. altogether upon themselves, and always with a considerable overcharge. They fall heaviest upon the landlords, who always pay in. a double capacity; in that of landlords, by the reduction of their rent; and in that of rich consumers, by the increase of their expence, The observation of Sir Mathew Decker, that certain taxes are in the price of certain goods sometimes repeated and accumulated four or five times, is perfectly just with regard to taxes upon the necessaries of life. In the price of leather, for example, you must pay, not only for the tax upon the leather of your own shoes, but for a part of that upon those of the shoe-maker and the tanner. You must pay too for the tax upon the falt, upon the soap, and upon the candles which those workmen consume while employed in your fervice, and for the tax upon the leather, which the faltmaker, the foap-maker, and the candle-maker confume while employed in their fervice.

In Great Britain, the principal taxes upon the necessaries of life are those upon the four commodities just now mentioned, falt, leather, soap, and candles.

SALT is a very antient and a very universal subject of taxation. It was taxed among the Romans, and it is so at present in, I believe, every part of Europe. The quantity annually consumed by

BOOK any individual is fo fmall, and may be purchased so gradually, that nobody, it feems to have been thought, could feel very fenfibly even a pretty heavy tax upon it. It is in England taxed at three shillings a bushel; about three times the original price of the commodity. In some other countries the tax is still higher. Leather is a real necessary of life. The use of linen renders soap such. In countries where the winter nights are long, candles are a necessary instrument of trade. Leather and soap are in Great Britain taxed at three halfpence a pound; candles at a penny; taxes which, upon the original price of leather may amount to about eight or ten per cent; upon that of foap to about twenty or five and twenty per cent; and upon that of candles to about fourteen or fifteen per cent; taxes which, though lighter than that upon falt, are still very heavy. As all those four commodities are real necessaries of life, fuch heavy taxes upon them must increase somewhat the expence of the fober and industrious poor, and must consequently raise more or less the wages of their labour.

In a country where the winters are so cold as in Great Britain, fuel is, during that season, in the strictest sense of the word, a necessary of life, not only for the purpose of dressing victuals, but for the comfortable substitutions, and coals are the cheapest of all such The price of such has so important an influence upon that of labour, that all over Great Britain manufactures have confined themselves principally to the coal countries; other parts of the country, on account of the high price of this necessary article, not being able to work so cheap. In some manufactures, besides, coal is a necessary instrument of trade; as in those of glass, iron, and all other metals. If a bounty could in any case be reasonable, it might perhaps be so upon the transportation of coals from those parts of the country in which they abound, to those in which they are wanted.

But the legislature, instead of a bounty, has imposed a tax of three thillings and three-pence a ton upon coal carried coastways; which upon most forts of coal is more than fixty per cent, of the original price at the coal-pit. Coals carried either by land or by inland navigation pay no duty. Where they are naturally cheap, they are consumed duty free: Where they are naturally dear, they are loaded with a heavy duty.

Such taxes, though they raise the price of sublistence, and confequently the wages of labour, yet they afford a confiderable revenue to government, which it might not be easy to find in any other way. There may, therefore, be good reasons for continuing them. The bounty upon the exportation of corn, fo far as it tends in the actual state of tillage to raise the price of that necessary article; produces all the like bad effects; and instead of affording any revenue, frequently occasions a very great expence to government. The high duties upon the importation of foreign corn, which in years of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition; and the absolute prohibition of the importation either of live cattle or of falt provisions, which takes place in the ordinary state of the law, and which on account of the fcarcity is at prefent fuspended for a limited time with regard to Ireland and the British plantations, have all the bad effects of taxes upon the necessaries of life, and produce no revenue to government. Nothing feems necessary for the repeal of fuch regulations, but to convince the public of the futility of that system in consequence of which they have been eftablished, on a malant office whom word is bout at your

Taxes upon the necessaries of life are much higher in many other countries than in Great Britain. Duties upon flour and meal when ground at the mill, and upon bread when baked at the oventake place in many countries. In Holland the money price of the bread consumed in towns is supposed to be doubled by means of Vol. II.

Rrr fuch

BOOK fuch taxes. In lieu of a part of them, the people who live in the country pay every year fo much a head, according to the fort of bread they are supposed to consume. Those who consume wheaten bread, pay three gilders fifteen flivers; about fix shillings and nine-pence halfpenny. These, and some other taxes of the same kind, by raifing the price of labour, are faid to have ruined the greater part of the manufactures of Holland \*! Similar taxes, though not quite so heavy, take place in the Milanese, in the states of Genoa, in the dutchy of Modena, in the dutchies of Parma. Placentia, and Guastalla, and in the ecclesiastical state. A French author + of some note has proposed to reform the sinances of his country, by substituting in the room of the greater part of other taxes this most ruinous of all taxes. There is nothing so absurd, fays Cicero, which has not fometimes been afferted by fome philosophers: E starting to the first at Land a short but will be the country.

frquestis occilina a ver gren exense to governoën. Taxes upon butchers meat are still more common than those upon bread. It may indeed be doubted whether butchers meat is any where a necessary of life. Grain and other vegetables, with the help of milk, cheefe, and butter, or oil where butter is not to be had, it is known from experience, can, without any butchers meat, afford the most plentiful, the most wholesome, the most nourishing, and the most invigorating diet. Decency no where requires that any man should eat butchers meat, as it in most places requires that he should wear a linen shirt or a pair of leather shoes.

CONSUMABLE commodities," whether necessaries or luxuries, may be taxed in two different ways. The confumer may either pay an annual fum on account of his using or consuming goods of a certain kind; or the goods may be taxed while they remain in the hands of the dealer, and before they are delivered to the confumer. The confumable goods which last a considerable time before they are confumed altogether, are most properly taxed in the one way.

\* Memoires concernant les Droits, &c. p. 210, 211. + Le reformateur.

Those of which the consumption is either immediate or more speedy. CHAP. in the other. The coach-tax and plate-tax are examples of the former method of imposing: The greater part of the other dutiesof excise and customs, of the latter, you be limited about it is heart which offered as in matter as topical his roll in red in a form being

THE SWEALTH AOF SNATIONS

A COACH may, with good management, last ten or twelve years. It might be taxed, once for all, before it comes out of the hands of the coach-maker. But it is certainly more convenient for the buver: to pay four pounds a year for the privilege of keeping a coach, than to pay all at once forty or forty-eight pounds additional price to the coach-maker; or a fum equivalent to what the tax is likely: to cost him during the time he uses the same coach. A service of plate, in the fame manner, may last more than a century. It is: certainly easier for the consumer to pay five shillings a year for every hundred ounces of plate, near one per cent. of the value, than to redeem this long annuity at five and twenty or thirty years purchase, which would enhance the price at least five and twenty or thirty per cent. The different taxes which affect houses are certainly more conveniently paid by moderate annual payments, than by a heavy tax of equal value upon the first building or fale of the and constructed and appropriate the factor of the formation of the construction of the factor of the

IT was the well known proposal of Sir Mathew Decker that all commodities, even those of which the consumption is either immediate or very speedy, should be taxed in this manner; the dealer advancing nothing, but the confumer paying a certain annual fum for the licence to confume certain goods. The object of his scheme was to promote all the different branches of foreign trade, particularly the carrying trade, by taking away all duties upon importation and exportation, and thereby enabling the merchant to employ his whole capital and credit in the purchase of goods and the freight of flips, no part of either being diverted towards the advancing

Rrr2

BOOK advancing of taxes. The project, however, of taxing, in this manner, goods of immediate or fpeedy confumption, feems liable to the four following very important objections. First, the tax would be more unequal, or not fo well proportioned to the expence and confumption of the different contributors, as in the way in which it is commonly imposed. The taxes upon ale, wine, and spirituous liquors, which are advanced by the dealers, are finally paid by the different confumers exactly in proportion to their respective consumption. But if the tax was to be paid by purchafing a licence to drink those liquors, the sober would, in proportion to his confumption, be taxed much more heavily than the drunken confumer. A family which exercised great hospitality would be taxed much more lightly than one which entertained fewer guests. Secondly, this mode of taxation, by paying for an annual, half-yearly. or quarterly licence to confume certain goods, would diminish very much one of the principal conveniencies of taxes upon goods of freedy confumption; the piece-meal payment. In the price of three-pence halfpenny, which is at prefent paid for a pot of porter. the different taxes upon malt, hops, and beer, together with the extraordinary profit which the brewer charges for having advanced them, may perhaps amount to about three halfpence. If a workman can conveniently spare those three halfpence, he buys a pot of porter. If he cannot, he contents himself with a pint, and, as a penny faved is a penny got, he thus gains a farthing by his temperance. He pays the tax piece-meal, as he can afford to pay it, and when he can afford to pay it; and every act of payment is perfectly voluntary, and what he can avoid if he chuses to do so. Thirdly, such taxes would operate less as fumptuary laws. When the licence was once purchased whether the purchaser drunk much or drunk little, his tax would be the fame. Fourthly, if a workman was to pay all at once, by yearly, half-yearly, or quarterly payments, a tax equal to what he at present pays, with little or no inconveniency, upon all the different pots and pints of porter which he drinks in any fuch period of time, the funt might frequently diffres him very much. This mode of taxation, therefore, it seems evident, could never, without the most grievous oppression, produce a revenue nearly equal to what is derived from the present mode without any oppression. In several countries, however, commodities of an immediate or very speedy consumption are taxed in this manner. In Holland, people pay so much a head for a licence to drink tea. I have already mentioned a tax upon bread, which, so far as it is consumed in farmhouses and country villages, is there levied in the same manner.

galacist ne gyreget sen folkliere dit has d

THE duties of excise are imposed chiefly upon goods of home produce destined for home consumption. They are imposed only upon a few forts of goods of the most general use. There can never be any doubt either concerning the goods which are subject to those duties, or concerning the particular duty which each species of goods is subject to. They fall almost altogether upon what I call luxuries, excepting always the four duties abovementioned, upon salt, soap, leather, candles, and, perhaps, that upon green glass.

reset, lies been continue.

The duties of customs are much more antient than those of excise. They seem to have been called customs, as denoting customary payments which had been in use from time immemorial. They appear to have been originally considered as taxes upon the profits of merchants. During the barbarous times of seudal anarchy, merchants, like all the other inhabitants of burghs, were considered as little better than emancipated bondmen, whose persons were despised, and whose gains were envied. The great hobility, who had consented that the king should tallage the profits of their own tenants, were not unwilling that he should tallage likewise those of an order of men whom it was much less their interest to protect.

BOOK protect. In those ignorant times it was not understood that the profits of merchants are a subject not taxable directly; or that the final payment of all such taxes must fall, with a considerable overcharge, upon the consumers.

THE gains of alien merchants were looked upon more unfavourably than those of English merchants. It was natural, therefore, that those of the former should be taxed more heavily than those of the latter. This distinction between the duties upon aliens and those upon English merchants, which was begun from ignorance, has been continued from the spirit of monopoly, or in order to give our own merchants an advantage both in the home and in the foreign market.

WITH this distinction the antient duties of customs were imposed equally upon all forts of goods, necessaries as well as luxuries, goods exported as well as goods imported. Why should the dealers in one fort of goods, it seems to have been thought, be more favoured than those in another? or why should the merchant exporter be more favoured than the merchant importer?

The antient customs were divided into three branches. The first, and perhaps the most antient of all those duties, was that upon wool and leather. It seems to have been chiefly or altogether an exportation duty. When the woollen manufacture came to be established in England, lest the king should lose any part of his customs upon wool by the exportation of woollen cloths, a like duty was imposed upon them. The other two branches were, first, a duty upon wine, which being imposed at so much a ton, was called a tonnage; and, secondly, a duty upon all other goods, which being imposed at so much a pound of their supposed value, was called a poundage. In the forty-seventh year of Edward III.

a duty of fix-pence in the pound was imposed upon all goods CHAP. exported and imported, except wools, wool-fells, leather, and wines. which were subject to particular duties. In the fourteenth of Richard II. this duty was raifed to one shilling in the pound; but, three years afterwards, it was again reduced to fix-pence. It was raised to eight-pence in the second year of Henry IV.; and in the fourth year of the same prince, to one shilling. From this time to the ninth year of William III. this duty continued at one shilling in the pound. The duties of tonnage and poundage were generally granted to the king by one and the same act of parliament, and were called the Subfidy of Tonnage and Poundage. The fubfidy of poundage having continued for fo long a time at one shilling in the pound, or at five per cent.; a fubfidy came, in the language of the customs, to denote a general duty of this kind of five per cent. This fubfidy, which is now called the Old Subfidy, still continues to be levied according to the book of rates established in the twelfth of Charles II. The method of ascertaining, by a book of rates, the value of goods subject to this duty, is faid to be older than the time of James I. The new subsidy imposed by the ninth and tenth of William III., was an additional five per cent. upon the greater part of goods. The one-third and the two-third fubfidy made up between them another five per cent. of which they were proportionable parts. The fublidy of 1747 made a fourth five per cent. upon the greater part of goods; and that of 1759, a fifth upon some particular forts of goods. Besides those sive subsidies, a great variety of other duties have occasionally been imposed upon particular forts of goods, in order fometimes to relieve the exigencies of the state, and sometimes to regulate the trade of the country, according to the principles of the mercantile fystem.

THAT fystem has come gradually more and more into fashion. The old subsidy was imposed indifferently upon exportation as well:

BOOK as importation. The four subsequent subsidies, as well as the other duties which have fince been occasionally imposed upon particular forts of goods, have, with a few exceptions, been laid altogether upon importation. The greater part of the antient duties which had been imposed upon the exportation of the goods of home produce and manufacture, have either been lightened or taken away altogether. In most cases they have been taken away. Bounties have even been given upon the exportation of some of them. Drawbacks too, fometimes of the whole, and, in most cases, of a part of the duties which are paid upon the importation of foreign goods have been granted upon their exportation. Only half the duties imposed by the old subsidy upon importation are drawn back upon exportation: but the whole of those imposed by the later subsidies and other imposts are, upon the greater part of goods, drawn back in the same manner. This growing favour of exportation, and discouragement of importation, have suffered only a few exceptions, which chiefly concern the materials of some manufactures. These our merchants and manufacturers are willing should come as cheap as possible to themselves, and as dear as possible to their rivals and competitors in other countries. Foreign materials are, upon this account, fometimes allowed to be imported duty free; Spanish wool, for example, flax, and raw linen yarn. The exportation of the materials of home produce, and of those which are the pecu--liar produce of our colonies, has fometimes been prohibited, and fometimes subjected to higher duties. The exportation of English

> THAT the mercantile fystem has not been very favourable to the revenue of the great body of the people, to the annual produce

> wool has been prohibited. That of beaver skins, of beaver wool,

and of gum Senega, has been subjected to higher duties; Great Britain, by the conquest of Canada and Senegal, having got almost

the monopoly of those commodities.

duce of the land and labour of the country, I have endeavoured to shew in the fourth book of this inquiry. It feems not to have been more favourable to the revenue of the sovereign; so far at least as that revenue depends upon the duties of customs.

In confequence of that fystem, the importation of several forts of goods has been prohibited altogether. This prohibition has in some cases entirely prevented, and in others very much diminished the importation of those commodities, by reducing the importers to the necessity of smuggling. It has entirely prevented the importation of foreign woollens; and it has very much diminished that of foreign filks and velvets. In both cases it has entirely annihilated the revenue of customs which might have been levied upon such importation.

The high duties which have been imposed upon the importation of many different sorts of foreign goods, in order to discourage their consumption in Great Britain, have in many cases served only to encourage smuggling; and in all cases have reduced the revenue of the customs below what more moderate duties would have afforded. The saying of Dr. Swift, that in the arithmetic of the customs two and two, instead of making four, make sometimes only one, holds perfectly true with regard to such heavy duties, which never could have been imposed had not the mercantile system taught us in many cases to employ taxation as an instrument, not of revenue, but of monopoly.

THE bounties which are fometimes given upon the exportation of home produce and manufactures, and the drawbacks which are paid upon the re-exportation of the greater part of foreign goods, have given occasion to many frauds, and to a species of smuggling more destructive of the public revenue than Vol. II.

Sff any

BOOK any other. In order to obtain the bounty or drawback, the goods, it is well known, are fometimes shipped and fent to sea: but foon afterwards clandestinely re-landed in some other part of the country. The defalcation of the revenue of customs occafioned by bounties and drawbacks, of which a great part are obtained fraudulently, is very great. The gross produce of the customs in the year which ended on the 5th of January, 1755 amounted to 5,068,0001. The bounties which were paid out of this revenue, though in that year there was no bounty upon corn, amounted to 167,800 l. The drawbacks which were paid upon debentures and certificates to 2,156,8001. Bounties and drawbacks together amounted to 2,324,6001. In confequence of these deductions the revenue of the customs amounted only to 2,743,4001.: from which deducting 287,9001. for the expence of management in falaries and other incidents, the neat revenue of the customs for that year comes out to be 2,455,5001. The expence of management amounts, in this manner, to between five and fix per cent. upon the gross revenue of the customs, and to fomething more than ten per cent. upon what remains of that revenue, after deducting what is paid away in bounties and drawbacks.

> HEAVY duties being imposed upon almost all goods imported, our merchant importers finuggle as much, and make entry of as little as they can. Our merchant exporters, on the contrary, make entry of more than they export; fometimes out of vanity, and to pass for great dealers in goods which pay no duty; and fometimes to gain a bounty or a drawback. Our exports, in consequence of these different frauds, appear upon the customhouse books greatly to overbalance our imports; to the unspeakable comfort of those politicians who measure the national profperity by what they call the balance of trade.

ALL goods imported, unless particularly exempted, and such CHAP. exemptions are not very numerous, are liable to fome duties of customs. If any goods are imported not mentioned in the book of rates, they are taxed at 4 s. 9 d. 2 for every twenty shillings value, according to the oath of the importer, that is, nearly at five subsidies, or five poundage duties. The book of rates is extremely comprehensive, and enumerates a great variety of articles, many of them little used, and therefore not well known. It is upon this account frequently uncertain under what article a particular fort of goods ought to be classed, and consequently what duty they ought to pay. Mistakes with regard to this fometimes ruin the custom-house officer, and frequently occasion much trouble, expence and vexation to the importer. In point of perspicuity, precision and distinctness, therefore, the duties of customs are much inferior to those of excise.

. In order that the greater part of the members of any fociety should contribute to the public revenue in proportion to their respective expence, it does not seem necessary that every single article of that expence should be taxed. The revenue which is levied by the duties of excise is supposed to fall as equally upon the contributors as that which is levied by the duties of customs; and the duties of excise are imposed upon a few articles only of the most general use and consumption. It has been the opinion of many people that, by proper management, the duties of cuftoms might likewife, without any loss to the public revenue, and with great advantage to foreign trade, be confined to a few articles only.

THE foreign articles of the most general use and consumption in Great Britain, feem at prefent to confift chiefly in foreign wines and brandies; in some of the productions of America and the West Indies, sugar, rum, tobacco, cacao-nuts, &c. and in S f f 2

BOOK fome of those of the East Indies, tea, coffee, china-ware, spiceries of all kinds, several forts of piece goods, &c.. These different articles afford perhaps at present the greater part of the revenue which is drawn from the duties of customs. The taxes which at present subsist upon foreign manufactures, if you except those upon the few contained in the foregoing enumeration, have the greater part of them been imposed for the purpose, not of revenue, but of monopoly, or to give our own merchants an advantage in the home market. By removing all prohibitions, and by subjecting all foreign manufactures to such moderate taxes as it was found from experience afforded upon each article the greatest revenue to the public, our own workmen might still have a considerable advantage in the home market, and many articles, some of which at present afford no revenue to government, and others a very inconsiderable one, might afford a very great one.

High taxes, fometimes by diminishing the consumption of the taxed commodities, and sometimes by encouraging smuggling, frequently afford a smaller revenue to government than what might be drawn from more moderate taxes.

WHEN the diminution of revenue is the effect of the diminution of confumption, there can be but one remedy, and that is the lowering of the tax.

When the diminution of revenue is the effect of the encouragement given to finuggling, it may perhaps be remedied in two ways; either by diminifhing the temptation to finuggle, or by increasing the difficulty of sinuggling. The temptation to sinuggle can be diminished only by the lowering of the tax; and the difficulty of sinuggling can be increased only by establishing that system of adminishration which is most proper for preventing it.

THE excise laws, it appears, I believe, from experience, ob-CHAP.

firuct and embarrass the operations of the smuggler much more effectually than those of the customs. By introducing into the customs a system of administration as similar to that of the excise as the nature of the different duties will admit, the difficulty of smuggling might be very much increased. This alteration, it has been supposed by many people, might very easily be brought about.

THE importer of commodities liable to any duties of customs, it has been faid, might at his option be allowed either to carry them to his own private warehouse, or to lodge them in a warehouse provided either at his own expence or at that of the public, but under the key of the customhouse officer, and never to be opened. but in his presence. If the merchant carried them to his own private warehouse, the duties to be immediately paid, and never afterwards to be drawn back; and that warehouse to be at all times subject to the visit and examination of the customhouse officer; in order to ascertain how far the quantity contained in it corresponded with that for which the duty had been paid. If he carried them to the public warehouse, no duty to be paid till they were taken out for home confumption. If taken out for exportation, to be duty-free; proper fecurity being always given that they should be so exported. The dealers in those particular commodities, either by wholefale or retail, to be at all times subject to the visit and examination of the customhouse officer; and to be obliged to justify by proper certificates the payment of the duty upon the whole quantity contained in their shops or warehouses. What are called the excise duties upon rum imported are at present levied in this manner, and the same systems of administration might perhaps be extended to all duties upon goods imported; provided always, that those duties were, like the duties of excise, confined to a few forts of goods of the most

BOOK general use and confumption. If they were extended to almost all forts of goods, as at prefent, public warehouses of sufficient extent could not eafily be provided, and goods of a very delicate nature, or of which the preservation required much care and attention, could not fafely be trufted by the merchant in any warehouse but his own.

> Ir by fuch a fystem of administration smuggling to any confiderable extent could be prevented even under pretty high duties, and if every duty was occasionally either heightened or lowered according as it was most likely, either the one way or the other. to afford the greatest revenue to the state; taxation being always employed as an instrument of revenue and never of monopoly; it feems not improbable that a revenue at least equal to the prefent neat revenue of the customs might be drawn from duties upon the importation of only a few forts of goods of the most general use and confumption; and that the duties of customs might thus be brought to the same degree of simplicity, certainty and precision, as those of excise. What the revenue at present loses by drawbacks upon the re-exportation of foreign goods which are afterwards re-landed and confumed at home, would under this fystem be faved altogether. If to this faving, which would alone be very confiderable, was added the abolition of all bounties upon the exportation of home-produce, in all cases in which those bounties were not in reality drawbacks of some duties of excise which had before been advanced; it cannot well be doubted but that the neat revenue of customs might after an alteration of this kind be fully equal to what it had ever been before.

IF by fuch a change of fystem the public revenue suffered no loss; the trade and manufactures of the country would certainly gain a very confiderable advantage. The trade in the commodities

not taxed, by far the greatest number, would be perfectly free, CHAP. and might be carried on to and from all parts of the world with every possible advantage. Among those commodities would be comprehended all the necessaries of life, and all the materials of manufacture. So far as the free importation of the necessaries of life reduced their average money price in the home-market, it would reduce the money price of labour, but without reducing in any respect its real recompence. The value of money is in proportion to the quantity of the necessaries of life which it will purchase. That of the necessaries of life is altogether independant of the quantity of money which can be had for them. The reduction in the money price of labour would necessarily be attended with a proportionable one in that of all home-manufactures, which would thereby gain some advantage in all foreign markets. The price of some manufactures would be reduced in a still greater proportion by the free importation of the raw materials. If raw filk could be imported from China and Indostan duty-free, the filk manufacturers in England could greatly underfell those of both France and Italy. There would be no occasion to prohibit the importation of foreign filks and velvets. The cheapness of their goods would fecure to our own workmen, not only the possession: of the home, but a very great command of the foreign market. Even the trade in the commodities taxed would be carried on with much more advantage than at prefent. If those commodities were delivered out of the public warehouse for foreign exportation, being in this case exempted from all taxes, the trade in them would be perfectly free. The carrying trade in all forts of goods would under this fystem enjoy every possible advantage. If those commodities were delivered out for home-confumption, the importer not being obliged to advance the tax till he had an opportunity of felling his goods either to some dealer, or to some confumer, he could always afford to fell them cheaper than if he had

BOOK been obliged to advance it at the moment of importation. Under the same taxes, the foreign trade of consumption even in the taxed commodities, might in this manner be carried on with much more advantage than it can at present.

Business of the trace described to the second section of the section of th In was the object of the famous excise scheme of Sir Robert Walpole to establish, with regard to wine and tobacco, a system not very unlike that which is here proposed. But though the bill which was then brought into parliament, comprehended those two commodities only; it was generally supposed to be meant as an introduction to a more extensive scheme of the same kind. Faction, combined with the interest of smuggling merchants, raised so violent, though fo unjust, a clamour against that bill, that the minister thought proper to drop it; and from a dread of exciting a clamour of the fame kind, none of his fucceffors have dared to refume the project.

THE duties upon foreign luxuries imported for home-confumption, though they fometimes fall upon the poor, fall principally upon people of middling or more than middling fortune. Such are, for example, the duties upon foreign wines, upon coffee, chocolate, tea, fugar, &c.

THE duties upon the cheaper luxuries of home-produce deflined for home-confumption, fall pretty equally upon people of all ranks in proportion to their respective expence. The poor pay the duties upon malt, hops, beer, and ale, upon their own confumption: The rich, both upon their own confumption and upon that of their fervants.

THE whole confumption of the inferior ranks of people, or of those below the middling rank, it must be observed, is in every country

country much greater, not only in quantity, but in value, than CHAP. that of the middling and of those above the middling rank. The whole expence of the inferior is much greater than that of the fuperior ranks. In the first place, almost the whole capital of every country is annually distributed among the inferior ranks of people as the wages of productive labour. Secondly, a great part of the revenue arising both from the rent of land and from the profits of stock, is annually distributed among the same rank, in the wages and maintenance of menial fervants, and other unproductive labourers. Thirdly, fome part of the profits of stock belongs to the fame rank, as a revenue arifing from the employment of their small capitals. The amount of the profits annually made by fmall shopkeepers, tradesmen, and retailers of all kinds, is every where very confiderable, and makes a very confiderable portion of the annual produce. Fourthly, and lastly, some part even of the rent of land belongs to the same rank; a considerable part to those who are somewhat below the middling rank, and a small part even to the lowest rank; common labourers sometimes posfessing in property an acre or two of land. Though the expence of those inferior ranks of people, therefore, taking them individually, is very fmall, yet the whole mass of it, taking them collectively, amounts always to by much the largest portion of the whole expence of the fociety; what remains of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country for the confumption of the fuperior ranks being always much lefs, not only in quantity but in value. The taxes upon expence, therefore, which fall chiefly upon that of the fuperior ranks of people, upon the fmaller portion of the annual produce, are likely to be much less productive than either those which fall indifferently upon the expence of all ranks, or even those which fall chiefly upon that of the inferior ranks; than either those which fall indifferently upon the whole annual produce, or those which fall chiefly upon the larger por-Vol. II. Ttt

book tion of it. The excise upon the materials and manufacture of home-made fermented and spirituous liquors is accordingly, of all the different taxes upon expence, by far the most productive; and this branch of the excise falls very much, perhaps principally, upon the expence of the common people. In the year which ended on the 5th of July, 1775, the gross produce of this branch of the excise amounted to 3,314,223 l, 18 s. 10 d d materials.

Core. More social och graven tomblitith spannen i glade IT must always be remembered, however, that it is the luxurious and not the necessary expence of the inferior ranks of people that ought ever to be taxed. The final payment of any tax upon their necessary expense would fall altogether upon the superior ranks of people; upon the smaller portion of the annual produce, and not upon the greater. Such a tax must in all cases either raise the wages of labour, or lessen the demand for it. It could not raise the wages of labour, without throwing the final payment of the tax upon the fuperior ranks of people at It could not lessen the demand for labour, without leffening the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, the fund from which all taxes must be finally paid. Whatever might be the state to which a tax of this kind reduced the demand for labour, it must always raife wages higher than they otherwife would be in that state; and the final (payment of this enhancement of wages must in all cases fall upon the fuperior ranks of people and the head out to but a re-

FERMENTED liquors brewed and spirituous liquors distilled, not of fale, but for private after are not in Great Britain liable to any duties of excise. This exemption, of which the object is not to expose private families to the odious visit and examination of the tax-gatherer, occasions the builden of those duties to full frequently much lighter upon the rich than upon the poor. It is not, indeed, very common to distill for private use, though it is

done fometimes. But in the country, many middling and almost CHAP. all rich and great families brew their own beer. Their strong beer, therefore, costs them eight shillings a barrel less than it costs the common brewer, who must have his profit upon the tax, as well as upon all the other expence which he advances. Such families, therefore, must drink their beer at least nine or ten shillings a barrel cheaper than any liquor of the same quality can be drunk by the common people, to whom it is every where more convenient to buy their beer, by little and little, from the brewery or the ale-house. Malt, in the same manner, that is made for the use of a private family, is not liable to the visit or examination of the tax-gatherer; but in this case the family must compound at feven shillings and fixpence a head for the tax. Seven shillings and fixpence are equal to the excise upon ten bushels of malt; a quantity fully equal to what all the different members of any fober family, men, women, and children, are at an average likely to confume. But in rich and great families, where country hospitality is much practised, the malt liquors consumed by the members of the family make but a finall part of the confumption of the house. Either on account of this composition, however, or for other reasons, it is not near so common to malt as to brew for private use. It is difficult to imagine any equitable reason why those who either brew or distill for private use, should not be subject to a composition of the same kind.

A GREATER revenue than what is at present drawn from all the heavy taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, might be raised, it has frequently been said, by a much lighter tax upon malt; the opportunities of defrauding the revenue being much greater in a brewery than in a malt-house; and those who brew for private wise being exempted from all duties or composition for duties, which is not the case with those who malt for private use.

BOOK V.

In the porter brewery of London, a quarter of malt is commonly brewed into more than two barrels and a half, fometimes into three barrels of porter. The different taxes upon malt amount to fix shillings a quarter; those upon strong beer and ale to eight shillings a barrel. In the porter brewery, therefore, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, amount to between twenty-fix and thirty shillings upon the produce of a quarter of malt. In the country brewery for common country fale, a quarter of malt is feldom brewed into less than two barrels of strong and one barrel of small beer; frequently into two barrels and a half of strong beer. The different taxes upon small beer amount to one shilling and four-pence a barrel. In the country brewery, therefore, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, feldom amount to less than twenty-three shillings and four-pence, frequently to twenty-fix shillings, upon the produce of a quarter of malt. Taking the whole kingdom at an average, therefore, the whole amount of the duties upon malt, beer, and ale, cannot be estimated at less than twenty-four or twenty-five shillings upon the produce of a quarter of malt. But by taking off all the different duties upon beer and ale, and by tripling the malt-tax, or by raifing it from fix to eighteen shillings upon the quarter of malt, a greater revenue, it is faid, might be raifed by this fingle tax than what is at prefent drawn from all those heavier taxes.

galja in it komalanda salijasa, ki sa ti saka <b>k</b> ala	a .d.	СНАР.
In 1772, the old malt tax produced — 722,023		11.
The additional — 356,776		- • ,
In 1773, the old tax produced — 561,627		
The additional — 278,650		
In 1774, the old tax produced — 624,614		
The additional — 310,745		
In 1775, the old tax produced — 657,357		
The additional — 323,785		
4)3,835,580	·	
Average of these four years — 958,895	3 - 3	
In 1772, the country excise produced 1,243,128	5 3	
The London brewery — 408,260		
The London brewery 408,260 In 1773, the country excise 1,245,808	3 3	
The London brewery — 405,406		
In 1774, the country excise — — 1,246,373		
The London brewery — 320,601	18 — <u>*</u>	
In 1775, the country excise — — 1,214,583		
The London brewery — 463,670	7 —:	
4)6,547,832		
Average of these four years 1,636,958		
To which adding the average malt tax, or 958,895.	$3 - \frac{3}{16}$	
The whole amount of those different taxes 2,595,853	7 917	
But by tripling the malt tax, or by raising it		•
from fix to eighteen shillings upon the quarter of malt, that single tax would 2,876,685	9 - 2	
produce	adition has	
A sum which exceeds the foregoing by 280,832		
	Under	

In

UNDER the old malt tax, indeed, is comprehended a tax of four fhillings upon the hogshead of cyder, and another of ten shillings upon the barrel of mum. In 1774, the tax upon cyder produced only 30831. 6s. 8d. It probably fell somewhat short of its usual amount; all the different taxes upon cyder having that year produced less than ordinary. The tax upon mum, though much heavier, is still less productive, on account of the smaller confumption of that liquor. But to balance whatever may be the ordinary amount of those two taxes; there is comprehended under what is called The country excise, first, the old excise of fix shillings and eight-pence upon the hogshead of cyder; secondly, a like tax of fix shillings and eight-pence upon the hogshead of veriuice; thirdly, another of eight shillings and nine-pence upon the hogshead of vinegar; and, lastly, a fourth tax of elevenpence upon the gallon of mead or metheglin: The produce of those different taxes will probably much more than counterbalance that of the duties imposed, by what is called The annual malt tax, upon cyder and mum.

> MALT is confumed not only in the brewery of beer and ale, but in the manufacture of low wines and spirits. If the malt tax was to be raifed to eighteen shillings upon the quarter, it might be necessary to make some abatement in the different excifes which are imposed upon those particular forts of low wines and spirits of which malt makes any part of the materials. In what are called Malt spirits, it makes commonly but a third part of the materials; the other two-thirds being either raw barley, or one-third barley and one-third wheat. In the diffillery of malt fpirits, both the opportunity, and the temptation to smuggle, are much greater than either in a brewery or in a malt-house; the opportunity, on account of the fmaller bulk and greater value of the commodity; and the temptation, on account of the superior height marai J

height of the duties, which amount to 2 s. 6 d. upon the gallon CHAP. of spirits. By increasing the duties upon malt, and reducing those upon the distillery, both the opportunities and the temptation to fmuggle would be diminished, which might occasion a still further augmentation of revenue.

IT has for some time past been the policy of Great Britain to discourage the confumption of spirituous liquors, on account of their supposed tendency to ruin the health and to corrupt the morals of the common people. According to this policy, the abatement of the taxes upon the diffillery ought not to be so great as to reduce in any respect the price of those liquors. Spirituous liquors might remain as dear as ever; while at the same time the wholesome and invigorating liquors of beer and ale might be confiderably reduced in their price. The people might thus be in part relieved from one of the burdens of which they at present complain the most; while at the same time the revenue might be confiderably augmented.

THE objections of Doctor Davenant to this alteration in the present system of excise duties, seem to be without foundation. Those objections are, that the tax, instead of dividing itself as at present pretty equally upon the profit of the maltster, upon that of the brewer, and upon that of the retailer, would, fo far as it affected profit, fall altogether upon that of the maltster; that the maltster could not so easily get back the amount of the tax in the advanced price of his malt, as the brewer and retailer in the advanced price of their liquor; and that so heavy a tax upon malt might reduce the rent and profit of barley land.

eranting him at few months longer creeks than in it prefent corp-No tax can ever reduce, for any confiderable time, the rate of profit in any particular trade, which must always keep its level-

Nothing could reduce the rent and profit of barley land which CHAP.

BOOK with other trades in the neighbourhood. The present duties upon malt, beer, and ale, do not affect the profits of the dealers in those commodities, who all get back the tax with an additional profit, in the enhanced price of their goods. A tax indeed may render the goods upon which it is imposed so dear as to diminish the confumption of them. But the confumption of malt is in malt liquors; and a tax of eighteen shillings upon the quarter of malt could not well render; those liquors dearer than the different taxes. amounting to twenty-four or twenty-five shillings, do at present. Those liquors, on the contrary, would probably become cheaper. and the confumption of them would be more likely to increase than to diminish.

> IT is not very easy to understand why it should be more difficult for the maltster to get back eighteen shillings in the advanced price of his malt, than it is at present for the brewer to get back twentyfour or twenty-five, fometimes thirty shillings, in that of his liquor. The maltster, indeed, instead of a tax of fix shillings, would be obliged to advance one of eighteen shillings upon every quarter of malt. But the brewer is at present obliged to advance a tax of twenty-four or twenty-five, sometimes thirty shillings, upon every quarter of malt which he brews. It could not be more inconvenient for the maltster to advance a lighter tax, than it is at present for the brewer to advance a heavier one. The maltster doth not always keep in his granaries a stock of malt which it will require a longer time to dispose of, than the stock of beer and ale which the brewer frequently keeps in his cellars. The former, therefore, may frequently get the returns of his money as foon as the latter. But whatever inconveniency might arife to the maltster from being obliged to advance a heavier tax, could eafily be remedied by granting him a few months longer credit than is at prefent commonly given to the brewer, 100 yan not possible toos the and old and in our continues to the children to be the state of the least of t

did not reduce the demand for barley. But a change of fystem which reduced the duties upon a quarter of malt brewed into beer and ale from twenty-four and twenty-five shillings to eighteen shillings, would be more likely to increase than diminish that demand. The rent and profit of barley land, besides, must always be nearly equal to those of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land. If they were lefs, fome part of the barley land would foon be turned to some other purpose; and if they were greater, more land would foon be turned to the raifing of barley. When the ordinary price of any particular produce of land is at what may be called a monopoly price, a tax upon it necessarily reduces the rent and profit of the land which grows it. A tax upon the produce of those precious vineyards, of which the wine falls so much short of the effectual demand, that its price is always above the natural proportion to that of the produce of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land, would necessarily reduce the rent and profit of those vineyards. The price of the wines. being already the highest that could be got for the quantity commonly fent to market, it could not be raifed higher without diminishing that quantity; and the quantity could not be diminished without still greater loss, because the lands could not be turned to any other equally valuable produce. The whole weight of the tax, therefore, would fall upon the rent and profit; properly upon the rent of the vineyard. When it has been proposed to lay any new tax upon fugar, our fugar planters have frequently complained that the whole weight of fuch taxes fell, not upon the confumer, but upon the producer; they never having been able to raife the price of their fugar after the tax higher than it was before. The price had, it feems, before the tax been a monopoly price; and the argument adduced to show that fugar was an improper subject of taxation, demonstrated perhaps that it was a proper one; the gains of monopolists, whenever they can be come at, being cer-

Vol. II.

Nothing

Uuu

tainly

BOOK tainly of all subjects the most proper. But the ordinary price of barley has never been a monopoly price; and the rent and profit of barley land have never been above their natural proportion to those of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land. The different taxes which have been imposed upon malt, beer. and ale, have never lowered the price of barley, have never reduced the rent and profit of barley land. The price of malt to the brewer has constantly risen in proportion to the taxes imposed upon it; and those taxes, together with the different duties upon beer and ale, have constantly either raised the price, or what comes to the same thing, reduced the quality of those commodities to the confumer. The final payment of those taxes has fallen constantly upon the consumer, and not upon the producer. Confer out states to a transmir surviving dath to ourse in a

> THE only people likely to fuffer by the change of fystem here proposed, are those who brew for their own private use. But the exemption which this superior rank of people at present enjoy from very heavy taxes which are paid by the poor labourer and artificer, is furely most unjust and unequal, and ought to be taken away, even though this change was never to take place. It has probably been the interest of this superior order of people, however, which has hitherto prevented a change of system that could not well fail both to increase the revenue and to relieve the people.

> BESIDES fuch duties as those of customs and excise above-mentioned, there are several others which affect the price of goods more unequally and more indirectly. Of this kind are the duties which in French are called Peages, which in old Saxon times were called duties of Passage, and which seem to have been originally established for the same purpose as our turnpike tolls or the tolls upon our canals and navigable rivers; for the maintenance of the road or of the navigation. Those duties, when applied to such purposes, are

med and if and W. Abrahadh with he

most properly imposed according to the bulk or weight of the CHAP. goods. As they were originally local and provincial duties, applicable to local and provincial purposes, the administration of them was in most cases entrusted to the particular town, parish, or lord; thip, in which they were levied; fuch communities being in some way or other supposed to be accountable for the application. The fovereign, who is altogether unaccountable, has in many countries assumed to himself the administration of those duties; and though he has in most cases enhanced very much the duty, he has in many entirely neglected the application. If the turnpike tolls of Great Britain should ever become one of the resources of government, we may learn, by the example of many other nations, what would probably be the confequence. Such tolls are no doubt finally paid by the confumer; but the confumer is not taxed in proportion to his expence when he pays, not according to the value, but according to the bulk or weight of what he confumes. When fuch duties are imposed, not according to the bulk or weight, but according to the supposed value of the goods, they become properly a fort of inland customs or excises, which obstruct very much the most important of all branches of commerce, the interior commerce of the country. not a not be a seed as a life of all the

In some small states duties similar to those passage duties are imposed upon goods carried across the territory, either by land or by water, from one foreign country to another. These are in some countries called transit-duties. Some of the little Italian states, which are fituated upon the Po, and the rivers which run into it, derive some revenue from duties of this kind, which are paid altogether by foreigners, and which are perhaps the only duties that one state can impose upon the subjects of another without obstructing in any respect the industry or commerce of its own. The most important transit-duty in the world is that levied by the king of Denmark upon all merchant ships which pass through the Sound.

Uuu2

BOOK Such taxes upon luxuries as the greater part of the duties of customs and excise, though they fall indifferently upon every different species of revenue, and are paid finally, or without any retribution, by whoever confumes the commodities upon which they are imposed, yet they do not always fall equally or proportionably upon the revenue of every individual. As every man's humour regulates the degree of his confumption, every man contributes rather according to his humour than in proportion to his revenue. the profuse contribute more, the parsimonious less, than their proper proportion. During the minority of a man of great fortune, he contributes commonly very little by his confumption towards the support of that state from whose protection he derives a great revenue. Those who live in another country contribute nothing by their confumption towards the support of the government of that country in which is fituated the fource of their revenue. If in this latter country there should be no land-tax, nor any confiderable duty upon the transference either of moveable or of immoveable property, as is the case in Ireland, such absentees may derive a great revenue from the protection of a government to the fupport of which they do not contribute a fingle shilling. This inequality is likely to be greatest in a country of which the government is in some respects subordinate and dependent upon that of some other. The people who possess the most extenfive property in the dependent, will in this case generally chuse to live in the governing country. Ireland is precifely in this fituation. and we cannot therefore wonder that the proposal of a tax upon absentees should be so very popular in that country. It might perhaps be a little difficult to ascertain either what fort, or what degree of absence should subject a man to be taxed as an absentee, or at what precise time the tax should either begin or end. If you except, however, this very peculiar fituation, any inequality in the contribution of individuals, which can arise from such taxes, is Canios ad Coolds deg dodiw seidt solder. 🖫 1.074 2 11 12 7

much more than compensated by the very circumstance which occa- CHAP. fions that inequality; the circumstance that every man's contribution is altogether voluntary; it being altogether in his power either to confume or not to confume the commodity taxed. Where fuch taxes, therefore, are properly affeffed and upon proper commodities, they are paid with less grumbling than any other. When they are advanced by the merchant or manufacturer, the confumer. who finally pays them, foon comes to confound them with the price of the commodities, and almost forgets that he pays any tax.

Such taxes are or may be perfectly certain; or may be affeffed fo as to leave no doubt concerning either what ought to be paid, or when it ought to be paid; concerning either the quantity or the time of payment. Whatever uncertainty there may fometimes be. either in the duties of customs in Great Britain, or in other duties of the same kind in other countries, it cannot arise from the nature of those duties, but from the inaccurate or unskilful manner in which the law that imposes them is expressed. An impose is មាន ស្ត្រីស្តីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រីស្ត្រី

Agricultura Length and Arrest

Taxes upon luxuries generally are, and always may be, paid piece-meal, or in proportion as the contributors have occasion to purchase the goods upon which they are imposed. In the time and mode of payment they are, or, may be, of all taxes the most convenient. Upon the whole, fuch taxes, therefore, are, perhaps, as agreeable to the three first of the four general maxims concerning taxation, as any other. They offend in every respect against the fourth, and the last transfer to the transfer of at any of the trag hilling four has 1 to take the track of the first beautiful to

Such taxes, in proportion to what they bring into the public treafury of the state, always take out or keep out of the pockets of the people more than almost any other taxes. They feem to do this in all the four different ways in which it is poslible to do it.

FIRST,

BOOK First; the levying of fuch taxes, even when imposed in the most indicious manner, requires a great number of custom-house and excise officers, whose salaries and perquisites are a real tax upon the people, which brings nothing into the treasury of the state. This expence, however, it must be acknowledged, is more moderate in Great Britain than in most other countries. In the year which ended on the fifth of July, 1775, the gross produce of the different duties, under the management of the commissioners of excise in England, amounted to 5,479,6951. 78. rod. which was levied at an expence of little more than five and a half per cent, From this gross produce, however, there must be deducted what was paid away in bounties and drawbacks upon the exportation of exciseable goods, which will reduce the neat produce below five millions. The levying of the falt duty, an excise duty, but under a different management, is much more expensive. The neat revenue of the customs does not amount to two millions and a half, which is levied at an expence of more than ten per cent. in the falaries of officers, and other incidents. But the perquifites of customhouse officers are every where much greater than their falaries; at fome ports more than double or triple those falaries. If the falaries of officers, and other incidents, therefore, amount to more than ten per cent. upon the neat revenue of the customs; the whole expence of levying that revenue may amount, in falaries and perquifites together, to more than twenty or thirty per cent. The officers of excife receive few or no perquifites; and the admini-Aration of that branch of the revenue, being of more recent establishment, is in general less corrupted than that of the customs, into which length of time has introduced and authorifed many abuses. By charging upon malt the whole revenue which is at present levied by the different duties upon malt and malt liquors, a faving, it is supposed, of more than fifty thousand pounds might be made in the annual expence of the excise. By confining the duties of customs to a few forts of goods, and by levying those CHAP. duties according to the excise laws, a much greater faving might probably be made in the annual expence of the customs.

SECONDLY, such taxes necessarily occasion some obstruction or discouragement to certain branches of industry. As they always raife the price of the commodity taxed, they so far discourage its confumption, and consequently its production. If it is a commodity of home growth or manufacture, less labour comes to be employed in railing and producing it. If it is a foreign commodity of which the tax increases in this manner the price, the commodities of the fame kind which are made at home may thereby. indeed, gain some advantage in the home market, and a greater quantity of domestic industry may thereby be turned towards preparing them. But though this rife of price in a foreign commodity may encourage domestic industry in one particular branch, it necessarily discourages that industry in almost every other. The dearer the Birmingham manufacturer buys his foreign wine, the cheaper he necessarily sells that part of his hardware with which, or, what comes to the same thing, with the price of which he buys it. That part of his hardware, therefore, becomes of less value to him, and he has less encouragement to work at it. The dearer the confumers in one country pay for the furplus produce of another, the cheaper they necessarily sell that part of their own surplus produce with which, or, what comes to the fame thing, with the price of which they buy it. That part of their own furplus produce becomes of less value to them, and they have less encouragement no increase its quantity. All taxes upon confumable commodities, therefore, tend to reduce the quantity of productive labour below what it otherwise would be, either in preparing the commodities taxed, if they are home commodities; or in preparing those with which they are purchased, if they are foreign commodities. Such taxes too always

BOOK always alter, more or less, the natural direction of national induftry, and turn it into a channel always different from, and generally less advantageous than that in which it would have run of its own accord.

> THIRDLY, the hope of evading such taxes by smuggling gives frequent occasion to forfeitures and other penalties, which entirely ruin the fmuggler; a person who, though no doubt highly blameable for violating the laws of his country, is frequently incapable of violating those of natural justice, and would have been, in every respect, an excellent citizen, had not the laws of his country made that a crime which nature never meant to be fo. In those corrupted governments where there is at least a general suspicion of much unnecessary expence, and great misapplication of the public revenue, the laws which guard it are little respected. Not many people are scrupulous about smuggling when, without perjury, they can find any easy and fafe opportunity of doing so. To pretend to have any scruple about buying smuggled goods, though a manifest encouragement to the violation of the revenue laws, and to the perjury which almost always attends it, would in most countries be regarded as one of those pedantic pieces of hypocrify which, instead of gaining credit with any body, serve only to expose the person who affects to practise them, to the suspicion of being a greater knave than most of his neighbours. By this indulgence of the public, the fmuggler is often encouraged to continue a trade which he is thus taught to confider as in some meafure innocent; and when the feverity of the revenue laws is ready to fall upon him, he is frequently disposed to defend with violence, what he has been accustomed to regard as his just property. From being at first, perhaps, rather imprudent than criminal, he at last too often becomes, one of the hardiest and most determined violaters of the laws of fociety. By the ruin of the fmug-

gler, his capital, which had before been employed in maintaining CHAP. productive labour, is absorbed either in the revenue of the state or in that of the revenue-officer, and is employed in maintaining unproductive, to the diminution of the general capital of the fociety, and of the useful industry which it might otherwise have maintained.

FOURTHLY, fuch taxes, by subjecting at least the dealers in the taxed commodities to the frequent vifits and odious examination of the tax gatherers, expose them fometimes, no doubt, to some degree of oppression, and always to much trouble and vexation; and though vexation, as has already been faid, is not ftrictly speaking expence, it is certainly equivalent to the expence at which every man would be willing to redeem himself from it. The laws of excise, though more effectual for the purpose for which they were instituted, are, in this respect, more vexatious than those of the customs. When a merchant has imported goods subject to certain duties of customs. when he has paid those duties, and lodged the goods in his warehouse, he is not in most cases liable to any further trouble or vexation from the custom-house officer. It is otherwise with goods subject to duties of excise. The dealers have no respite from the continual vifits and examination of the excise officers. The duties of excise are, upon this account, more unpopular than those of the customs; and so are the officers who levy them. Those officers, it is pretended, though in general, perhaps, they do their duty fully as well as those of the customs; yet, as that duty obliges them to be frequently very troublesome to some of their neighbours, commonly contract a certain hardness of character which the others frequently have not. This observation, however, may very probably be the meer fuggestion of fraudulent dealers, whose smuggling is either prevented or detected by their diligence.

THE inconveniencies, however, which are, perhaps, in some degree inseparable from taxes upon consumable commodities, fall as Vol. II. Grand the control X x x . . . . . . . . . . . . light BOOK light upon the people of Great Britain as upon those of any other country of which the government is nearly as expensive. Our state is not perfect, and might be mended, but it is as good or better than that of most of our neighbours.

In confequence of the notion that duties upon confumable goods were taxes upon the profits of merchants, those duties have, in fome countries, been repeated upon every fuccessive fale of the goods. If the profits of the merchant importer or merchant manufacturer were taxed, equality seemed to require that those of all the middle buyers, who intervened between either of them and the confumer. should likewise be taxed. The famous Alcavala of Spain seems to have been established upon this principle. It was at first a tax of ten per cent. afterwards of fourteen per cent. and is at present of only fix per cent, upon the fale of every fort of property, whether moveable or immoveable; and it is repeated every time the property is fold. \* The levying of this tax requires a multitude of revenue officers fufficient to guard the transportation of goods, not only from one province to another, but from one shop to another. It fubjects not only the dealers in some forts of goods, but those in all forts, every farmer, every manufacturer, every merchant and shop-keeper, to the continual visits and examination of the tax gatherers. Through the greater part of a country in which a tax of this kind is established, nothing can be produced for distant fale. The produce of every part of the country must be proportioned to the confumption of the neighbourhood. It is to the Alcavala, accordingly, that Ustaritz imputes the ruin of the manufactures of Spain. He might have imputed to it likewise the declenfion of agriculture, it being imposed not only upon manufactures, but upon the rude produce of the land. The form the land of the land. ur spegallita rilativ na bisa est e na instrumera e attio si prac

In the kingdom of Naples there is a fimilar tax of three per cent, upon the value of all contracts, and confequently upon that of

of all contracts of fale. It is both lighter than the Spanish tax, CHAP. and the greater part of towns and parishes are allowed to pay a composition in lieu of it. They levy this composition in what manner they please, generally in a way that gives no interruption to the interior commerce of the place. The Neapolitan tax, therefore, is not near so ruinous as the Spanish one.

THE uniform system of taxation, which, with a few exceptions of no great confequence, takes place in all the different parts of the united kingdom of Great Britain, leaves the interior commerce of the country, the inland and coasting trade, almost entirely free. The inland trade is almost perfeetly free, and the greater part of goods may be carried from one end of the kingdom to the other, without requiring any permit or let-pass, without being subject to question. visit or examination from the revenue officers. There are a few exceptions, but they are fuch as can give no interruption to any important branch of the inland commerce of the country. Goods carried coastwise, indeed, require certificates or coastcockets. If you except coals, however, the rest are almost all duty-free. This freedom of interior commerce, the effect of the uniformity of the fystem of taxation, is perhaps one of the principal causes of the prosperity of Great Britain; every great country being necessarily the best and most extensive market for the greater part of the productions of its own industry. If the same freedom, in confequence of the same uniformity, could be extended to Ireland and the plantations, both the grandeur of the state and the prosperity of every part of the empire, would probably be still greater than at present.

In France, the different revenue laws which take place in the different provinces, require a multitude of revenue officers to furround, not only the frontiers of the kingdom, but those of almost each particular province, in order either to prevent the X x x 2

<sup>\*</sup> Memoires concernant les Droits, &c. tom. i. p. 455.

BOOK importation of certain goods, or to subject it to the payment of certain duties, to the no small interruption of the interior commerce of the country. Some provinces are allowed to compound for the gabelle or falt-tax. Others are exempted from it altoge. ther. Some provinces are exempted from the exclusive sale of tobacco, which the farmers-general enjoy through the greater part of the kingdom. The aides, which correspond to the excise in England, are very different in different provinces. Some provinces are exempted from them, and pay a composition or equivalent. In those in which they take place and are in farm, there are many local duties which do not extend beyond a particular town or district. The Traites, which correspond to our customs, divide the kingdom into three great parts; first, the provinces subject to the tarif of 1664, which are called the provinces of the five great farms, and under which are comprehended Picardy, Normandy, and the greater part of the interior provinces of the kingdom; fecondly, the provinces subject to the tarif of 1667, which are called the provinces reckoned foreign, and under which are comprehended the greater part of the frontier provinces; and, thirdly, those provinces which are faid to be treated as foreign, or which, because they are allowed a free commerce with foreign countries, are in their commerce with the other provinces of France subjected to the same duties as other foreign countries. These are Alface, the three bishopricks of Metz, Toul, and Verdun, and the three cities of Dunkirk, Bayonne, and Marfeilles. Both in the provinces of the five great farms, (called fo on account of an antient division of the duties of customs into five great branches, each of which was originally the fubject of a particular farm, though they are now all united into one) and in those which are said to be reckoned foreign, there are many local duties which do not extend beyond a particular town or district. There are some such even in the provinces

provinces which are faid to be treated as foreign, particularly in CHAP, the city of Marfeilles. It is unnecessary to observe how much both the restraints upon the interior commerce of the country, and the number of the revenue officers must be multiplied, in order to guard the frontiers of those different provinces and districts, which are subject to such different systems of taxation.

OVER and above the general reftraints arifing from this complicated fystem of revenue laws, the commerce of wine, after corn perhaps the most important production of France, is in the greater part of the provinces subject to particular restraints arising from the favour which has been shown to the vineyards of particular provinces and districts, above those of others. The provinces most famous for their wines, it will be found, I believe, are those in which the trade in that article is subject to the fewest restraints of this kind. The extensive market which such provinces enjoy, encourages good management both in the cultivation of their vineyards, and in the subsequent preparation of their vines.

Such various and complicated revenue laws are not peculiar to France. The little dutchy of Milan is divided into fix provinces, in each of which there is a different fystem of taxation with regard to several different forts of consumable goods. The still smaller territories of the duke of Parma are divided into three or four, each of which has, in the same manner, a system of its own. Under such absurd management, nothing but the great fertility of the soil and happiness of the climate could preserve such countries from soon relapsing into the lowest state of poverty and barbarism.

Taxes upon confumable commodities may either be levied by an administration of which the officers are appointed by govern-

BOOK ment, and are immediately accountable to government, of which the revenue must in this case vary from year to year, according to the occasional variations in the produce of the tax; for they may be lett in farm for a rent certain, the farmer being allowed to anpoint his own officers, who, though obliged to levy the tax in the manner directed by the law, are under his immediate inspection. and are immediately accountable to him. The best and most frugal way of levying a tax can never be by farm. Over and above what is necessary for paying the stipulated rent, the salaries of the officers, and the whole expence of administration, the farmer must always draw from the produce of the tax a certain profit proportioned at least to the advance which he makes, to the risk which he runs, to the trouble which he is at, and to the knowledge and skill which it requires to manage so very complicated a concern. Government, by establishing an administration under their own immediate inspection of the same kind with that which the farmer establishes, might at least save this profit which is almost always exorbitant. To farm any confiderable branch of the public revenue, requires either a great capital or a great credit; circumstances which would alone restrain the competition for fuch an undertaking to a very small number of people. Of the few who have this capital or credit, a still fmaller number have the necessary knowledge or experience; another circumstance which restrains the competition still further. The very few who are in condition to become competitors find it more for their interest to combine together; to become copartners inflead of competitors, and when the farm is fet up to auction to offer no rent, but what is much below the real value. In countries where the public revenues are in farm, the farmers are generally the most opulent people. Their wealth would alone excite the public indignation, and the vanity which almost always accompanies fuch upstart fortunes, the foolish oftentation 2 T40

with which they commonly display that wealth, excites that indig- CHAP. nation still more. if even gives and been no pilet all creis . Acris

THE farmers of the public revenue never find the laws too fevere, which punish any attempt to evade the payment of a tax. They have no bowels for the contributors, who are not their fubjects, and whose universal bankruptcy, if it should happen the day after their farm is expired, would not much affect their interest. In the greatest exigencies of the state, when the anxiety of the fovereign for the exact payment of his revenue is necessarily the greatest, they seldom fail to complain that without laws more rigorous than those which actually take place, it will be impossible for them to pay even the usual rent. In those moments of public diffress their demands cannot be disputed. The revenue laws, therefore, become gradually more and more severe. The most fanguinary are always to be found in countries where the greater part of the public revenue is in farm. The mildest, in countries where it is levied under the immediate inspection of the sovereign. Even a bad fovereign feels more compassion for his people than can ever be expected from the farmers of his revenue. He knows that the permanent grandeur of his family depends upon the prosperity of his people, and he will never knowingly ruin that prosperity for the sake of any momentary interest of his own. It. is otherwise with the farmers of his revenue, whose grandeur may frequently be the effect of the ruin, and not of the prosperity of his people, it, offer edt; sooned morellib tilge mod to dista

A TAX is fometimes, not only farmed for a rent certain, but: the farmer has, befides, the monopoly of the commodity taxed. In France, the taxes upon tobacco and falt are levied in this manner. In such cases the farmer, instead of one, levies two exorbitant profits upon the people; the profit of the farmer, and the still more exorbitant one of the monopolist. Tobacco being a luxury,

BOOK a luxury, every man is allowed to buy or not to buy as he chuses. But falt being a necessary, every man is obliged to buy of the farmer a certain quantity of it; because if he did not buy this quantity of the farmer, he would, it is prefumed, buy it of fome smuggler. The taxes upon both commodities are exorbitant. The temptation to smuggle consequently is to many people irressseable, while at the same time the rigour of the law, and the vigilance of the farmer's officers, render the yielding to that temptation almost certainly ruinous. The smuggling of salt and tobacco fends every year feveral hundred people to the gallies, besides a very confiderable number whom it fends to the gibbet. Those taxes levied in this manner yield a very confiderable revenue to government. In 1767, the farm of tobacco was lett for twentytwo millions five hundred and forty-one thousand two hundred and seventy-eight livres a year. That of falt, for thirty-fix millions four hundred and ninety-two thousand four hundred and four livres. The farm in both cases was to commence in 1768. and to last for fix years. Those who consider the blood of the people as nothing in comparison with the revenue of the prince, may perhaps approve of this method of levying taxes. Similar taxes and monopolies of falt and tobacco, have been established in many other countries; particularly in the Austrian and Prussian dominions, and in the greater part of the states of Italy.

In France, the greater part of the actual revenue of the crown is derived from eight different fources; the taille, the capitation, the two vingtiemes, the gabelles, the aides, the traites, the domaine, and the farm of tobacco. The five last are, in the greater part of the provinces, under farm. The three first are every where levied by an administration under the immediate inspection and direction of government, and it is universally acknowledged that in proportion to what they take out of the pockets of the people, they bring more into the treasury of the prince than

the other five, of which the administration is much more wasteful CHAP. and expensive.

the leaded to conomic the or labor all his THE finances of France seem, in their present state, to admit of three very obvious reformations. First, by abolishing the taille and the capitation, and by increasing the number of vingtiemes, so as to produce an additional revenue equal to the amount of those other taxes, the revenue of the crown might be preserved; the expence of collection might be much diminished; the vexation of the inferior ranks of people, which the taille and capitation occafion, might be entirely prevented; and the fuperior ranks might not be more burdened than the greater part of them are at present. The vingtieme, I have already observed, is a tax very nearly of the fame kind with what is called the land-tax of England. The burden of the taille, it is acknowledged, falls finally upon the proprietors of land; and as the greater part of the capitation is affested upon those who are subject to the taille at so much a pound of that other tax, the final payment of the greater part of it must likewise fall upon the same order of people. Though the number of the vingtiemes, therefore, was increased so as to produce an additional revenue equal to the amount of both those taxes, the superior ranks of people might not be more burdened than they are at present. Many individuals no doubt would; on account of the great inequalities with which the taille is commonly affessed upon the estates and tenants of different individuals. The interest and opposition of such favoured subjects are the obstacles most likely to prevent this or any other reformation of the fame kind. Secondly, by rendering the gabelle, the aides, the taxes upon tobacco, all their different customs and excises uniform in all the different parts of the kingdom, those taxes might be levied at much less expence, and the interior commerce of the kingdom might be rendered as free as that of England. Thirdly, and lastly, Vol. II. Ууу

530

BOOK by subjecting all those taxes to an administration under the immel diate inspection and direction of government, the exorbitant profits of the farmers general might be added to the revenue of the state. The opposition arising from the private interest of individuals, is likely to be as effectual for preventing the two last as the first mentioned scheme of reformation. The bull and have prefer less entries; While the colour control is a real haterians to take a great

THE French system of taxation seems, in every respect, inferior to the British. In Great Britain ten millions sterling are annually levied upon less than eight millions of people, without its being possible to fay that any particular order is oppressed. From the collections of the abbe Expilly, and the observations of the author of the Essay upon the legislation and commerce of corn, it appears probable that France, including the provinces of Lorraine and Bar, contains about twenty-three or twenty-four millions of people; three times the number perhaps contained in great Britain. The foil and climate of France are better than those of Great Britain. The country has been much longer in a state of improvement and cultivation, and is, upon that account, better stocked with all those things which it requires a long time to raife up and accumulate, fuch as great towns, and convenient and well-built houses, both in town and country. With these advantages it might be expected that in France a revenue of thirty millions might be levied for the support of the state, with as little inconveniency as a revenue of ten millions is in Great Britain. In 1765 and 1766, the whole revenue paid into the treasury of France, according to the best, though, I acknowledge, very imperfect accounts which I could get of it, usually run between 308 and 325 millions of livres; that is, it did not amount to fifteen millions sterling; not the half of what might have been expected, had the people contributed in the same proportion to their numbers as the people of Great Britain. The people of France, however, it is generally acknowledged, are much more oppressed. oppressed by taxes than the people of Great Britain. France, how: CHAP. ever. is certainly the great empire in Europe which, after that of Great Britain, enjoys the mildest and most indulgent government.

And maid The artificant of extremy that the extreme In Holland the heavy taxes upon the necessaries of life have ruined, it is faid, their principal manufactures, and are likely to discourage gradually even their fisheries and their trade in ship building. The taxes upon the necessaries of life are inconsiderable in Great Britain, and no manufacture has hitherto been ruined by them. The British taxes which bear hardest on manufactures are fome duties upon the importation of raw materials, particularly upon that of raw filk. The revenue of the states general and of the different cities, however, is faid to amount to more than five millions two hundred and fifty thousand pounds sterling; and as the inhabitants of the United Provinces cannot well be supposed to amount to more than a third part of those of Great Britain, they must, in proportion to their number, be much more heavily taxed.

under in one faith wither by weight book AFTER all the proper subjects of taxation have been exhausted. if the exigencies of the state still continue to require new taxes, they must be imposed upon improper ones. The taxes upon the necessaries of life, therefore, may be no impeachment of the wisdom of that republic, which, in order to acquire and to maintain its independency, has, in fpite of its great frugality, been involved in fuch expensive wars as have obliged it to contract great debts. The fingular countries of Holland and Zealand, befides, require a considerable expence even to preserve their existence, or to prevent their being fwallowed up by the fea, which must have contributed to increase considerably the load of taxes in those two provinces. The republican form of government feems to be the principal fupport of the present grandeur of Holland. The owners of great capitals, the great mercantile families, have generally either fome Y y y 2

direct

government. For the fake of the respect and authority which they derive from this situation, they are willing to live in a country

where their capital, if they employ it themselves, will bring them less profit, and if they lend it to another, less interest; and where

the very moderate revenue which they can draw from it will pur-

chase less of the necessaries and conveniencies of life than in any

other part of Europe. The refidence of fuch wealthy people ne-

ceffarily keeps alive, in spite of all disadvantages, a certain degree

of industry in the country. Any public calamity which should

destroy the republican form of government, which should throw

the whole administration into the hands of nobles and of soldiers,

which should annihilate altogether the importance of those wealthy

merchants, would foon render it disagreeable to them to live in a

country where they were no longer likely to be much respected.

They would remove both their residence and their capital to some

other country, and the industry and commerce of Holland would

foon follow the capitals which supported them.

BOOK direct share, or some indirect influence in the administration of that

CHAPA

CHAP. III.

Of publick Debts.

IN that rude state of society which precedes the extension of commerce and the improvement of manufactures, when those expensive luxuries which commerce and manufactures can alone introduce, are altogether unknown, the person who possesses a large revenue, I have endeavoured to show in the third book of this inquiry, can spend or enjoy that revenue in no other way than by maintaining nearly as many people as it can maintain. A large revenue may at all times be faid to confift in the command of a large quantity of the necessaries of life. In that rude state of things it is commonly paid in a large quantity of those necessaries, in the materials of plain food and coarse cloathing, in corn and cattle, in. wool and raw hides. When neither commerce, nor manufactures : furnish any thing for which the owner can exchange the greater. part of those materials which are over and above his own consumption, he can do nothing with the furplus but feed and cloathe nearly. as many people as it will feed and cloathe. A hospitality in which there is no luxury, and a liberality in which there is no oftentation, occasion, in this fituation of things, the principal expences of the rich and the great. But these, I have likewise endeavoured to fhow in the same book, are expences by which people are not very. apt to ruin themselves. There is not perhaps any selfish pleasure. fo frivolous, of which the pursuit has not fometimes ruined even : fensible men. A passion for cock-fighting has ruined many. Bu. the instances. I believe, are not very numerous of people who have been ruined by a hospitality or liberality of this kind; though the hospitality of luxury and the liberality of oftentation have ruined many. Among our feudal ancestors, the long time during which , estates:

.