HHT ores

The Reader is defired to correct with his Pen the two following ERRATA, which in this Second Volume affect the Sense.

Page 467, Line 6, counting from the Bottom; instead of immoveable, read moveable.

the second the country of the control of the contro Page 488, Lines 3 and 4, counting from the Top; instead of three shillings a bushel, read three shillings and four-pence a buffel.

and a subject of the first the subject of the subje

SI JOY

ACCEPTAGE TO PROGRESS OF A STREET STREET, THE PROGRESS OF A STREET STREET, AND A STREET, AND

AN

NATURE AND CAUSES

OF THE

EALTH OF NATIONS.

B O O K IV.

Of Systems of political Oeconomy.

INTRODUCTION.

OLITICAL occonomy, confidered as a branch of the science of BOOK a statesman or legislator, proposes two distinct objects; first, IV. to provide a plentiful revenue or subsistence for the people, or more properly to enable them to provide fuch a revenue or fubfistence for themselves; and secondly, to supply the state or commonwealth with a revenue fufficient for the publick fervices. It proposes to enrich both the people and the fovereign.

THE different progress of opulence in different ages and nations. has given occasion to two different systems of political economy, with regard to enriching the people. The one may be called the fystem of commerce, the other that of agriculture. I shall endeavour to explain both as fully and distinctly as I can, and shall begin with the system of commerce. It is the modern system, and is best understood in our own country and in our own times.

Vol. II.

C H A P. I.

Of the Principle of the commercial, or mercantile System.

BOOK / HAT wealth confifts in money, or in gold and filver, is: a popular notion which naturally arises from the doublefunction of money, as the instrument of commerce, and as the measure of value. In consequence of its being the instrument of commerce, when we have money we can more readily obtain. whatever else we have occasion for, than by means of any other commodity. The great affair, we always find, is to get money. When that is obtained, there is no difficulty in making any fubfequent purchase. In consequence of its being the measure of value, we estimate that of all other commodities by the quantity of money which they will exchange for. We say of a rich man that he is worth a great deal, and of a poor man that he is worth very little money. A frugal man, or a man eager to be rich, is faid to love money; and a careless, a generous, or a profuse man, is faid to be indifferent about it. To grow rich is to get money; and wealth and money, in short, are in common language confidered as in every respect synonymous.

> A RICH country, in the same manner as a rich man, is supposed to be a country abounding in money; and to heap up gold and filver in any country is supposed to be the readiest way to enrich it. For some time after the discovery of America, the first enquiry of the Spaniards, when they arrived upon any unknown coast, used to be, if there was any gold or silver to be found inthe neighbourhood. By the information which they received, they judged whether it was worth while to make a fettlement there, or if the country was worth the conquering. Plano Carpino, a monk

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

fent ambassador from the king of France to one of the sons of CHAP. the famous Gengis Khan, fays that the Tartars used frequently to ask him if there was plenty of sheep and oxen in the kingdom of France. Their enquiry had the same object with that of the Spaniards. They wanted to know if the country was rich enough to be worth the conquering. Among the Tartars, as among all other nations of shepherds, who are generally ignorant of the use of money, cattle are the instruments of commerce and the measures of value. Wealth, therefore, according to them, con-

fifted in cattle, as according to the Spaniards it confifted in gold

and filver. Of the two, the Tartar notion, perhaps, was the nearest to the truth.

Mr. Locke remarks a distinction between money and other moveable goods. All other moveable goods, he favs, are of fo confumable a nature that the wealth which confifts in them cannot be much depended on, and a nation which abounds in them one year may, without any exportation, but merely by their own waste and extravagance, be in great want of them the next. Money, on the contrary, is a fleady friend, which, though it may travel about from hand to hand, yet, if it can be kept from going out of the country, is not very liable to be wasted and confumed. Gold and filver, therefore, are, according to him, the most folid and substantial part of the moveable wealth of a nation, and to multiply those metals ought, he thinks, upon that account, to be the great object of its political economy.

OTHERS admit that if a nation could be separated from all the world, it would be of no confequence how much, or how little money circulated in it. The confumable goods which were circulated by means of this money, would only be exchanged for a greater or a fmaller number of pieces; but the real wealth or

THEY

THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

poverty of the country, they allow, would depend altogether upon the abundance or fearcity of those consumable goods. But it is otherwise, they think, with countries which have connections with foreign nations, and which are obliged to carry on foreign wars, and to maintain fleets and armies in distant countries. This, they fay, cannot be done, but by fending abroad money to pay them with; and a nation cannot fend much money abroad, unless it has a good deal at home. Every fuch nation, therefore, must endeavour in time of peace to accumulate gold and filver, that, when occasions requires, it may have wherewithal to carry on foreign wars..

In confequence of these popular notions, all the different nations: of Europe have studied, though to little purpose, every possible: means of accumulating gold and filver in their respective countries. Spain and Portugal; the proprietors of the principal mines which fupply Europe with those metals, have either prohibited their exportation under the feverest penalties, or subjected it to a considerable duty. The like prohibition feems antiently to have made a part of the policy of most other European nations. It is even to be found. where we should expect least of all to find it, in some old Scotch acts of parliament, which forbid under heavy penalties the carrying gold or filver forth of the kingdom. The like policy antiently. took place both in France and England.

WHEN those countries became commercial, the merchants found this prohibition, upon many occasions, extreamly inconvenient. They could frequently buy more advantageoufly with gold and filver than with any other commodity, the foreign goods which. they wanted either to import into their own, or to carry to fome other foreign country. They remonstrated, therefore, against this prohibition as hurtful to trade.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

THEY represented, first, that the exportation of gold and filver CHAP. in order to purchase foreign goods, did not always diminish the quantity of those metals in the kingdom. That, on the contrary, it might frequently increase it; because if the consumption of foreign goods was not thereby increased in the country, those goods might be re-exported to foreign countries, and being there fold for a large profit, might bring back much more treasure than was originally fent out to purchase them. Mr. Mun compares this operation of foreign trade to the feed time and harvest of agriculture. "If we only behold," fays he, "the actions of " the husbandman in the feed time when he casteth away much " good corn into the ground, we shall account him rather a madman " than a husbandman. But when we consider his labours in " the harvest, which is the end of his endeavours, we shall find

" the worth and plentiful increase of his actions."

THEY represented, secondly, that this prohibition could not hinder the exportation of gold and filver, which, on account of the smallness of their bulk in proportion to their value, could easily be fmuggled abroad. That this exportation could only be prevented. by a proper attention to, what they called, the balance of trade. That when the country exported to a greater value than it imported,. a balance became due to it from foreign nations, which was necesfarily paid to it in gold and filver, and thereby increased the quantity of those metals in the kingdom. But that when it imported to a greater value than it exported, a contrary balance became due to foreign nations, which was necessarily paid to them in the fame manner, and thereby diminished that quantity. That in this case to prohibit the exportation of those metals could not prevent it, but only, by making it more dangerous, render it more expensive. That the exchange was thereby turned more against the country; which owed the balance, than it otherwise might have been; the merchant who purchased a bill upon the foreign country being

BOOK obliged to pay the banker who fold it, not only for the natural rifk, trouble and expence of fending the money thither, but for the extraordinary risk arising from the prohibition. But that the more the exchange was against any country, the more the balance of trade became necessarily against it; the money of that country becoming necessarily of so much less value, in comparison with that of the country to which the balance was due. That if the exchange between England and Holland, for example, was five per cent. against England, it would require a hundred and five ounces of filver in England to purchase a bill for a hundred ounces of filver in Holland: that a hundred and five ounces of filver in England, therefore, would be worth only a hundred ounces of filver in Holland, and would purchase only a proportionable quantity of Dutch goods: but that a hundred ounces of filver in Holland, on the contrary, would be worth a hundred and five ounces in England, and would purchase a proportionable quantity of English goods: That the English goods which were fold to Holland would be fold fo much cheaper; and the Dutch goods which were fold to England, fo much dearer, by the difference of the exchange; that the one would draw fo much less Dutch money to England, and the other fo much more English money to Holland, as this difference amounted to: and that the balance of trade, therefore, would necessarily be so much more against England, and would require a greater balance of gold and filver to be exported to Holland.

Tuose arguments were partly folid and partly fophistical. They were folid fo far as they afferted that the exportation of gold and filver in trade might frequently be advantageous to the country. They were folid too in afferting that no prohibition could prevent their exportation, when private people found any advantage in exporting them. But they were fophistical in supposing, that either

to preserve or to augment the quantity of those metals required CHAP. more the attention of government, than to preferve or to augment the quantity of any other useful commodities, which the freedom of trade, without any fuch attention, never fails to supply in the proper quantity. They were fophistical too, perhaps, in afferting that the high price of exchange necessarily increased, what they called, the unfavourable balance of trade, or occasioned the exportation of a greater quantity of gold and filver. That high price, indeed, was extremely difadvantageous to the merchants who had any money to pay in foreign countries. They paid fo much dearer for the bills which their bankers granted them upon those countries. But though the risk arising from the prohibition might occasion some extraordinary expence to the bankers, it would not necessarily carry any more money out of the country. This expence would generally be all laid out in the country, in fmuggling the money out of it, and could feldom occasion the exportation of a fingle fix-pence beyond the precise sum drawn for. The high price of exchange too would naturally dispose the merchants to endeavour to make their exports nearly balance their imports, in order that they might have this high exchange to pay upon as small a sum as possible. The high price of exchange, therefore, would tend, not to increase, but to diminish, what they called, the unfavourable balance of trade, and confequently the exportation of gold and filver.

Such as they were, however, those arguments convinced the people to whom they were addressed. They were addressed by merchants to parliaments, and to the councils of princes, to nobles and to country gentlemen; by those who were supposed to understand trade, to those who were conscious to themselves that they knew nothing about the matter. That foreign trade enriched the country, experience demonstrated to the nobles and country, gentlemen,

. .

The

BOOK gentlemen, as well as to the merchants; but how, or in what manner, none of them well knew. The merchants knew perfectly in what manner it enriched themselves. It was their business to know it. But to know in what manner it enriched the country. was no part of their bufiness. This subject never came into their confideration, but when they had occasion to apply to their country for fome change in the laws relating to foreign trade. It then became necessary to fay fomething about the beneficial effects of foreign trade, and the manner in which those effects were obstructed by the laws as they then stood. To the judges who were to decide the business, it appeared a most satisfactory account of the matter. when they were told that foreign trade brought money into the country, but that the laws in question hindered it from bringing so much as it otherwise would do. Those arguments therefore produced the wished-for effect. The prohibition of exporting gold and filver was in France and England confined to the coin of those respective countries. The exportation of foreign coin and of bullion was made free. In Holland, and in fome other places, this liberty was extended even to the coin of the country. The attention of government was turned away from guarding against the exportation of gold and filver, to watch over the balance of trade, as the only cause which could occasion any augmentation or diminution of those metals. From one fruitless care it was turned away to another care much more intricate, much more embarrassing, and just equally fruitless. The title of Mun's book, England's Treasure in Foreign Trade, became a fundamental maxim in the political economy, not of England only, but of all other commercial countries. The inland or home trade, the most important of all, the trade in which an equal capital affords the greatest revenue and creates the greatest employment to the people of the country, was confidered as subsidiary only to foreign trade. It neither brought money into the country, it was faid, nor carried any out of it,

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

The country therefore could never become either richer or poorer by CHAP. means of it, except to far as its prosperity or decay might indirectly influence the state of foreign trade.

A COUNTRY that has no mines of its own must undoubtedly draw its gold and filver from foreign countries, in the same manner as one that has no vineyards of its own must draw its wines. It does not feem necessary, however, that the attention of government should be more turned towards the one than towards the other object. A country that has wherewithal to buy wine, will always get the wine which it has occasion for; and a country that has wherewithal to buy gold and filver, will never be in want of those metals. They are to be bought for a certain price like all other commodities, and as they are the price of all other commodities, fo all other commodities are the price of those metals. We trust with perfect security that the freedom of trade, without any attention of government, will always supply us with the wine which we have occasion for: and we may trust with equal security that it will always supply us with all the gold and filver which we can afford to purchase or to employ, either in circulating our commodities or in other

THE quantity of every commodity which human industry can either purchase or produce, naturally regulates itself in every country according to the effectual demand, or according to the demand of those who are willing to pay the whole rent, labour, and profits which must be paid in order to prepare and bring it to market. But no commodities regulate themselves more easily or more exactly according to this effectual demand than gold and filver; because on account of the small bulk and great value of those metals, no commodities can be more eafily transported from one place to another, from the places where they are cheap, to those where they are dear, from the places VOL. II.

10.

BOOK places where they exceed, to those where they fall short of this effectual demand. If there was in England, for example, an effectual demand for an additional quantity of gold, a packet-boat could bring from Lisbon, or from wherever else it was to be had, fifty tuns of gold, which could be coined into more than five millions of guineas. But if there was an effectual demand for grain to the same value, to import it would require, at five guineas a tun, a million of tuns of shipping, or a thousand ships of a thousand tuns each. The navy of England would not be sufficient.

> WHEN the quantity of gold and filver imported into any country. exceeds the effectual demand, no vigilance of government canprevent their exportation. All the fanguinary laws of Spain and Portugal are not able to keep their gold and filver at home. Thecontinual importations from Peru and Brazil exceed the effectual demand of those countries, and fink the price of those metals therebelow that in the neighbouring countries. If, on the contrary, in any particular country their quantity fell short of the effectual. demand, fo as to raife their price above that in the neighbouring countries, the government would have no occasion to take any pains to import them. If it was even to take pains to prevent: their importation, it would not be able to effectuate it. Those metals, when the Spartans had got wherewithal to purchase them, broke through all the barriers which the laws of Lycurgus opposed to their entrance into Lacedemon. All the fanguinary laws of the customs, are not able to prevent the importation of the teas of the Dutch and Gottenburg East India companies; because fomewhat cheaper than those of the British company. A pound of tea, however, is about a hundred times the bulk of one of the highest prices, fixteen shillings, that is commonly paid for it in filver, and more than two thousand times the bulk of the fame,

same price in gold, and consequently just so many times more dif- CHAP. ficult to fmuggle.

IT is partly owing to the easy transportation of gold and filver from the places where they abound to those where they are wanted. that the price of those metals does not fluctuate continually like that of the greater part of other commodities, which are hindered by their bulk from shifting their situation, when the market happens to be either over or understocked with them. The price of those metals, indeed, is not altogether exempted from variation, but the changes to which it is liable are generally flow, gradual, and uniform. In Europe, for example, it is supposed, without much foundation perhaps, that, during the course of the present and preceeding century, they have been constantly but gradually finking in their value, on account of the continual importations from the Spanish West Indies. But to make any sudden change in the price of gold and filver, fo as to raife or lower at once, fenfibly and remarkably, the money price of all other commodities, requires fuch a revolution in commerce as that occasioned by the discovery of America.

Ir notwithstanding all this, gold and filver should at any time fall fhort in a country which has wherewithal to purchase them, there are more expedients for fupplying their place, than that of almost any other commodity. If the materials of manufacture are wanted, industry must stop. If provisions are wanted, the people must starve. But if money is wanted, barter will supply its place, though with a good deal of inconveniency. Buying and felling upon credit, and the different dealers compensating their credits with one another, once a month or once a year, will supply it with less inconveniency. A well regulated paper money will fupply, it, not only without any inconveniency, but with very BOOK IV.

12

great advantages. Upon every account, therefore, the attention of government never was so unnecessarily employed, as when directed to watch over the preservation or increase of the quantity of money in any country.

No complaint, however, is more common than that of a scarcity of money. Money, like wine, must always be scarce with those who have neither wherewithal to buy it, nor credit to borrow it: Those who have either, will seldom be in want either of the money, or of the wine which they have occasion for. This complaint, however, of the fearcity of money, is not always confined to improvident spendthrifts. It is sometimes general through a whole mercantile town, and the country in its neighbourhood. Overtrading is the common cause of it. Sober men, whose projects have been disproportioned to their capitals, are as likely to have neither wherewithal to buy money, nor credit to borrow it, as prodigals whose expence has been disproportioned to their revenue. Before their projects can be brought to bear, their stock is gone, and their credit with it. They run about everywhere to borrow money. and every body tells them that they have none to lend. - Even fuch: general complaints of the fcarcity of money do not always prove; that the usual number of gold and filver pieces are not circulating in the country, but that many people want those pieces who have nothing to give for them. When the profits of trade happen to. be greater than ordinary, overtrading becomes a general error both among great and fmall/dealers. They do not always fend more money abroad than usual, but they buy upon credit both at home: and abroad, an unufual quantity of goods, which they fend to fome distant market, in hopes that the returns will come in before the demand for payment. The demand comes before the returns. and they have nothing at hand, with which they can either purchase money, or give folid security for borrowing. It is not any

fearcity of gold and filver, but the difficulty which fuch people find CHAP. in borrowing, and which their creditors find in getting payment, that occasions the general complaint of the fearcity of money.

It would be too ridiculous to go about ferioufly to prove, that wealth does not confift in money, or in gold and filver; but in what money purchases, and is valuable only for purchasing. Money, no doubt, makes always a part of the national capital, but it has already been shown that it generally makes but a small part, and always the most unprofitable part of it.

Ir is not because wealth consists more essentially in money than in goods, that the merchant finds it generally more easy to buy goods with money, than to buy money with goods; but because money is the known and established instrument of commerce, for which every thing is readily given in exchange, but which is not always with equal readiness to be got in exchange for every thing. The greater part of goods besides are more perishable than money, and he may frequently, fustain a much greater loss by keeping them. When his goods are upon hand too, he is more liable to fuch demands for money as he may not be able to answer, than when he has got their price in his coffers. Over and above all this, his profit arises more directly from selling than from buying, and he is upon all these accounts generally much more anxious to exchange his goods for money, than his money for goods. But though a particular merchant, with abundance of goods in his warehouse, may fometimes be ruined by not being able to fell them in time, a nation or country is not liable to the same accident. The whole capital of a merchant frequently confifts in perishable goods destined for purchasing money. But it is but a very small part of the annual produce of the land and labour of a country which can ever be destined for purchasing gold and silver. BOOK from their neighbours. The far greater part is circulated and confumed among themselves; and even of the surplus which is fent abroad, the greater part is generally destined for the purchase of other foreign goods. Though gold and filver, therefore, could not be had in exchange for the goods destined to purchase them. the nation would not be ruined. It might, indeed, fuffer some loss and inconveniency, and be forced upon some of those expedients which are necessary for supplying the place of money. The annual produce of its land and labour, however, would be the same, or very nearly the same, as usual, because the same, or very nearly the fame confumable capital would be employed in maintaining it. And though goods do not always draw money fo readily as money draws goods, in the long-run they draw it more necessarily than even it draws them. Goods can serve many other purposes besides purchasing money, but money can serve no other purpose besides purchasing goods. Money, therefore, necessarily runs after goods, but goods do not always or necessarily run after money. The man who buys, does not always mean to fell again, but frequently to use or to consume; whereas he who sells, always means to buy again. The one may frequently have done the whole, but the other can never have done more than the one-half of his business. It is not for its own take that men defire money, but for the fake of what they can purchase with it.

Consumable commodities, it is faid, are foon destroyed; whereas gold and filver are of a more durable nature, and, were it not for this continual exportation, might be accumulated for ages together, to the incredible augmentation of the real wealth of the country. Nothing, therefore, it is pretended, can be more difadvantageous to any country, than the trade which consists in the exchange of such lasting for such perishable commodities. We do not, however, reckon that trade disadvantageous which consists

in the exchange of the hardware of England for the wines of CHAP. France; and yet hardware is a very durable commodity, and was it not for this continual exportation, might too be accumulated. for ages together, to the incredible augmentation of the pots and pans of the country. But it readily occurs that the number of fuch utenfils is in every country necessarily limited by the use which there is for them; that it would be abfurd to have more pots and pans than were necessary for cooking the victuals usually confumed there; and that if the quantity of victuals were to increase, the number of pots and pans would readily increase along with it, a part of the increased quantity of victuals being employed in purchasing them, or in maintaining an additional number of workmen whose business it was to make them. It should as readily occur that the quantity of gold and filver is in every country limited by the use which there is for those metals; that their use consists. in circulating commodities as coin, and in affording a species of houshold furniture as plate; that the quantity of coin in every country is regulated by the value of the commodities which are to be circulated by it: increase that value, and immediately as part of it will be fent abroad to purchase, wherever it is to be had, the additional quantity of coin requifite for circulating them: that the quantity of plate is regulated by the number and wealth of those private families who chuse to indulge themselves in that fort of magnificence: increase the number and wealth of such families, and a part of this increased wealth will most probably be employed in purchasing, wherever it is to be found, an additional quantity of plate: that to attempt to increase the wealth: of any country, either by introducing or by detaining in it an unnecessary quantity of gold and filver, is as absurd as it would be to attempt to increase the good cheer of private families, by obliging them to keep an unnecessary number of kitchen utensils. As the expence of purchasing those unnecessary utenfils would diminish. instead

*BOOK instead of increasing either the quantity, or goodness of the family provisions; fo the expence of purchasing an unnecessary quantity of gold and filver must, in every country, as necessarily diminish the wealth which feeds, cloaths, and lodges, which maintains and employs the people. Gold and filver, whether in the fhape of coin or of plate, are utenfils, it must be remembered, as much as the furniture of the kitchen. [Increase the use for them, increase the confumable commodities which are to be circulated, managed and prepared by means of them, and you will infallibly increase the quantity; but if you attempt, by extraordinary means, to increase the quantity, you will as infallibly diminish; the use and even the quantity too, which in those metals can never be greater than what the use requires. Were they ever to be accumulated beyond this quantity, their transportation is so easy, and the loss which attends their lying idle and unemployed fo great, that no law could prevent their being immediately fent out of the country.

sufficial familiars or place, that the countity of cold in every of Trisinot always necessary to accumulate gold and filver, in order to enable a country to carry on foreign wars, and to maintain fleets and armies in diffant countries. Fleets and armies are maintained, not with gold and filver, but with confumable goods. The nation which, from the annual produce of its domestic industry. from the annual revenue arising out of its lands, labour and confumable stock, has wherewithal to purchase those confumable goods in diftant countries, can maintain foreign wars there. to Jamash ad et al al acceptable got bloomy al berl

A NATION may purchase the pay and provisions of an army in a distant country three different ways; by fending abroad either, first, some part of its accumulated gold and filver; or, secondly, some part of the annual produce of its manufactures; or last of all, fome part of its annual rude produce. While the space of the lita Ibanga atlangga palinggangga, padanian gilangga

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

THE gold and filver which can properly be confidered as accumulated or stored up in any country, may be distinguished into three parts; first, the circulating money; secondly, the plate of private families; and last of all, the money which may have been collected by many years parfimony, and laid up in the treasury of the prince.

IT can feldom happen that much can be spared from the circulating money of the country; because in that there can feldom be much redundancy. The value of goods annually bought and fold in any country requires a certain quantity of money to circulate and distribute them to their proper consumers, and can give employment to no more. The channel of circulation necessary rily draws to itself a sum sufficient to fill it, and never admits any more. Something, however, is generally withdrawn from this channel in the case of foreign war. By the great number of people who are maintained abroad, fewer are maintained at home. Fewer goods are circulated there, and less money becomes necessary to circulate them. An extraordinary quantity of paper money, of fome fort or other too, fuch as exchequer notes, navy bills, and bank bills in England, is generally iffued upon fuch occasions, and by fupplying the place of circulating gold and filver, gives an opportunity of fending a greater quantity of it abroad. All this, however, could afford but a poor resource for maintaining a foreign war, of great expence and feveral years duration.

THE melting down the plate of private families, has upon every occasion been found a still more infignificant one. The French, in the beginning of the last war, did not derive so much advantage from this expedient as to compensate the loss of the fashion.

THE accumulated treasures of the prince have, in former times, afforded a much greater and more lafting refource. In the prefent times, if you except the king of Pruffia, to accumulate treasure feems to be no part of the policy of European princes.

Vol. II.

D

THE

BOOK

THE funds which maintained the foreign wars of the present century, the most expensive perhaps which history records, seem to have had little dependency upon the exportation either of the circulating money, or of the plate of private families, or of the treasure of the prince. The last French war cost Great Britain upwards of ninety millions, including not only the feventy-five millions of new debt that was contracted, but the additional two shillings in the pound land tax, and what was annually borrowed of the finking fund. More than two-thirds of this expence was laid out in distant countries; in Germany, Portugal, America, in the ports of the Mediterranean, in the East and West Indies. The kings of England had no accumulated treasure. We never heard of any extraordinary quantity of plate being melted down. The circulating gold and filver of the country had not been fupposed to exceed eighteen millions. Since the late recoinage of the gold, however, it is believed to have been a good deal under-rated. Let us suppose, therefore, according to the exaggerated computation of Mr. Horsely, that, gold and filver together, it amounted to thirty millions. Had the war been carried on, by means of our money, the whole of it must, even according to this computation, have been fent out and returned again at least twice, in a period of between fix and feven years. Should this be supposed, it would afford the most decisive argument to demonstrate how unnecessary it is for government to watch over the prefervation of money, fince upon this supposition the whole money of the country must have gone from it and returned to it again, two different times in fo short a period, without any body's knowing any thing of the matter. The channel of circulation, however, never appeared more empty than usual during any part of this period. Few people wanted money who had wherewithal to pay for it. The profits of foreign trade, indeed, were greater than usual during the whole war, but especially towards the end of it. This occasioned, what it always eccasions, a general overtrading in all the ports of Great Britain; and this again occasioned the usual complaint of the

fcarcity of money, which always follows overtrading. Many CHAP. people wanted it, who had neither wherewithal to buy it, nor credit to borrow it; and because the debtors found it difficult to borrow, the creditors found it difficult to get payment. Gold and filver, however, were generally to be had for their value, by those who had that value to give for them.

THE enormous expence of the late war, therefore, must have been chiefly defrayed, not by the exportation of gold and filver, but by that of British commodities of some kind or other. When the government, or those who acted under them, contracted with a merchant for a remittance to some foreign country, he would naturally endeavour to pay his foreign correspondent, upon whom he had granted a bill, by fending abroad rather commodities than gold and filver. If the commodities of Great Britain were not in demand in that country, he would endeavour to fend them to fome other country, in which he could purchase a bill upon that country. The transportation of commodities, when properly suited to the market, is always attended with a confiderable profit; whereas that of gold and filver is fcarce ever attended with any. When those metals are fent abroad in order to purchase foreign commodities, the merchant's profit arises, not from the purchase, but from the sale of the returns. But when they are fent abroad merely to pay a debt, he gets no returns, and consequently no profit. He naturally, therefore, exerts his invention to find out a way of paying his foreign debts, rather by the exportation of commodities than by that of gold and filver. The great quantity of British goods exported during the course of the late war, without bringing back any returns, is accordingly remarked by the author of The Present State of the Nation.

Besides the three forts of gold and filver above mentioned, there is in all great commercial countries a good deal of bullion alter-D 2

BOOK nately imported and exported for the purpoles of foreign trade. This bullion, as it circulates among different commercial countries in the fame manner as the national coin circulates in every particular country, may be confidered as the money of the great mercantile republick. The national coin receives its movement and direction from the commodities circulated within the precincts of each particular country: the money of the mercantile republick, from those circulated between different countries. Both are employed in facilitating exchanges, the one between different individuals of the same, the other between those of different nations. Part of this money of the great mercantile republick may have been, and probably was, employed in carrying on the late war. In time of a general war, it is natural to suppose that a movement and direction should be impressed upon it, different from what it usually follows in profound peace; that it should circulate more about the feat of the war, and be more employed in purchasing there, and in the neighbouring countries, the pay and provisions of the different armies. But whatever part of this money of the mercantile republick, Great Britain may have annually employed in this manner, it must have been annually purchased, either with British commodities, or with something else that had been purchased with them; which still brings us back to commodities, to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, as the ultimate refources which enabled us to carry on the war. It is natural indeed to suppose, that so great an annual expence must have been defrayed from a great annual produce. The expence of 1761, for example; amounted to more than nineteen millions. No accumulation could have supported so great an annual profusion. There is no annual produce even of gold and filver which could have supported it. The whole gold and silver annually imported into both Spain and Portugal, according to the best accounts, does not commonly much exceed fix millions sterling,

which, in some years, would scarce have paid four months expence CHAP. of the late war.

THE commodities most proper for being transported to distant countries, in order to purchase there, either the pay and provisions of an army, or some part of the money of the mercantile republick to be employed in purchasing them, seem to be the finer and more improved manufactures; fuch as contain a great value in a fmall bulk, and can, therefore, be exported to a great distance at little expence. A country whose industry produces as great annual furplus of fuch manufactures, which are usually exported to foreign countries, may carry on for many years a very expensive foreign war, without either exporting any confiderable quantity of gold and filver, or even having any fuch quantity to export. A confiderable part of the annual furplus of its manufactures must, indeed, in this case be exported, without bringing back any returns. Some part of it, however, may still continue to bring back a return. The manufacturers, during the war, will have a double demand upon them, and be called upon, first, to work up goods to be fent abroad, for paying the bills drawn upon foreign countries for the pay and provisions of the army; and, secondly, to work up fuch as are necessary for purchasing the common returns that had usually been confumed in the country. In the midst of the most destructive foreign war, therefore, the greater part of manufactures may frequently flourish greatly; and, on the contrary, they may decline on the return of the peace. They may flourish amidst the ruin of their country, and begin to decay upon the return of its prosperity. The different state of many different branches of the British manufactures during the late war, and for some time after the peace, may ferve as an illustration of what has been just. now faid.

BOOK

No foreign war of great expence or duration could conveniently be carried on by the exportation of the rude produce of the foil. The expence of fending such a quantity of it to a foreign country as might purchase the pay and provisions of an army, would be too great. Few countries too produce much more rude produce than what is sufficient for the subsistence of their own inhabitants. To fend abroad any great quantity of it therefore, would be to fend abroad a part of the necessary subsistence of the people. It is otherwise with the exportation of manufactures. The maintenance of the people employed in them is kept at home, and only the furplus part of their work is exported. Mr. Hume frequently takes notice of the inability of the antient kings of England to carry on, without interruption, any foreign war of long duration. The English, in those days, had nothing wherewithal to purchase the pay and provisions of their armies in foreign countries, but either the rude produce of the foil, of which no confiderable part could be spared from the home consumption, or a few manufactures of the coarfest kind, of which, as well as of the rude produce, the transportation was too expensive. This inability did not arise from the want of money, but of the finer and more improved manufactures. Buying and felling was transacted by means of money in England then, as well as now. The quantity of circulating money must have borne the same proportion to the number and value of purchases and sales usually transacted at that time, which it does to those transacted at present; or rather it must have borne a greater proportion, because there was then no paper, which now occupies a great part of the employment of gold and filver. Among nations to whom commerce and manufactures are little known, the fovereign, upon extraordinary occasions, can feldom draw any confiderable aid from his fubjects, for reasons which shall be explained hereafter. It is in such countries, therefore, that he generally endeavours to accumulate a treasure, as the only resource against such emergencies. Independant of this necessity, he is in

fuch a fituation naturally disposed to the parsimony requisite for CHAP. accumulation. In that fimple state, the expence even of a fovereign is not directed by the vanity which delights in the gaudy finery of a court, but is employed in bounty to his tenants, and hofpitality to his retainers. But bounty and hospitality very seldom lead to extravagance; though vanity almost always does. Every Tartar chief, accordingly, has a treasure. The treasures of Mazepa, chief of the Cossacks in the Ukraine, the famous ally of Charles the XIIth, are faid to have been very great. The French kings of the Merovingian race had all treasures. When they divided their kingdom among their different children, they divided their treasure too. The Saxon princes, and the first kings after the conquest, seem likewise to have accumulated treasures. The first exploit of every new reign was commonly to seize the treasure of the preceeding king, as the most essential measure for fecuring the fuccession. The fovereigns of improved and commercial countries are not under the fame necessity of accumulating treasures, because they can generally draw from their subjects extraordinary aids upon extraordinary occasions. They are likewise less disposed to do so. They naturally, perhaps necessarily, follow the mode of the times, and their expence comes to be regulated by the fame extravagant vanity which directs that of all the other. great proprietors in their dominions. The infignificant pageantry of their court becomes every day more brilliant, and the expence of it not only prevents accumulation, but frequently encroaches upon the funds deftined for more necessary expences. What Dercyllidas faid of the court of Perfia, may be applied to that of feveral European princes, that he faw there much fplendor but little strength, and many servants but few foldiers.

THE importation of gold and filver is not the principal, much less the fole benefit which a nation derives from its foreign trade. Between BOOK Between whatever places foreign trade is carried on, they all of them derive two distinct benefits from it. It carries out that surplus part of the produce of their land and labour for which there is no demand among them, and brings back in return for it something else for which there is a demand. It gives a value to their fuperfluities, by exchanging them for fomething elfe, which may fatisfy a part of their wants, and increase their enjoyments. By means of it, the narrowness of the home market does not hinder the division of labour in any particular branch of art or manufacture from being carried to the highest perfection. By opening a more extensive market for whatever part of the produce of their labour may exceed the home confumption, it encourages them to improve its productive powers, and to augment its annual produce to the utmost, and thereby increase the real revenue and wealth of the fociety. These great and important services foreign trade is continually occupied in performing, to all the different countries between which it is carried on. They all derive great benefit from it, though that in which the merchant refides generally derives the greatest, as he is generally more employed in supplying the wants, and carrying out the superfluities of his own, than of any other particular country. To import the gold and filver which may be wanted, into the countries which have no mines, is, no doubt, a part of the business of foreign commerce. It is, however, a most infignificant part of it. A country which carried on foreign trade merely upon this account, could scarce have occasion to freight a ship in a century.

> It is not by the importation of gold and filver, that the difcovery of America has enriched Europe. By the abundance of the American mines, those metals have become cheaper. A service of plate can now be purchased for about a third part of the corn, or a third part of the labour, which it would have cost in the

fifteenth century. With the fame annual expence of labour and CHAP. commodities, Europe can annually purchase about three times the quantity of plate which it could have purchased at that time. But when a commodity comes to be fold for a third part of what had been its usual price, not only those who purchased it before can purchase three times their former quantity, but it is brought down to the level of a much greater number of purchafers; perhaps to more than ten, perhaps to more than twenty times the former number. So that there may be in Europe at present, not only more than three times, but more than twenty or thirty times the quantity of plate which would have been in it, even in its present state of improvement, had the discovery of the American mines never been made. So far Europe has, no doubt, gained a real conveniency; though furely a very trifling one. The cheapness of gold and filver renders those metals rather less fit for the purposes of money than they were before. In order to make the same purchases, we must load ourselves with a greater quantity of them; and carry about a shilling in our pocket where a groat would have done before. It is difficult to fay which is most trifling, this inconveniency, or the opposite conveniency. Neither the one nor the other could have made any very effential change in the state of Europe. The discovery of America, however, certainly made a most effential one. By opening a new and inexhaustible market to all the commodities of Europe, it gave occasion to new divisions of labour and improvements of art, which, in the narrow circle of the antient commerce, could never have taken place for want of a market to take off the greater part of their produce. The productive powers of labour were improved, and its produce increased in all the different countries of Europe, and together with it the real revenue and wealth of the inhabitants. The commodities of Europe were almost all new to America, and many of those of America were new to Europe. Vol. II. A new

BOOK A new fett of exchanges, therefore, began to take place which had never been thought of before, and which should naturally have proved as advantageous to the new, as it certainly did to the old continent. The favage injustice of the Europeans rendered an event, which ought to have been beneficial to all, ruinous and destructive to several of those unfortunate countries.

> THE discovery of a passage to the East Indies, by the Cape of Good Hope, which happened much about the fame time, opened. perhaps, a still more extensive range to foreign commerce than even that of America, notwithstanding the greater distance. There were but two nations in America, in any respect superior to savages. and these were destroyed almost as soon as discovered. The rest were mere savages. But the empires of China, Indostan, Japan, as well as feveral others in the East Indies, without having richer mines of gold or filver, were in every other respect much richer. better cultivated, and more advanced in all arts and manufactures than either Mexico or Peru, even though we should credit, what plainly deferves no credit, the exaggerated accounts of the Spanish writers, concerning the antient state of those empires. But rich and civilized nations can always exchange to a much greater value with one another, than with favages and barbarians. Europe, however, has hitherto derived much less advantage from its commerce with the East Indies, than from that with America. The Portuguese monopolised the East India trade to themselves for about a century, and it was only indirectly and through them, that the other nations of Europe could either fend out or receive any goods from that country. When the Dutch, in the beginning of the last century, began to encroach upon them, they vested their whole East India commerce in an exclusive company. The English, French, Swedes, and Danes, have all followed their example, fo that no great nation in Europe has ever yet had the benefit of a frce

free commerce to the East Indies. No other reason need be assigned CHAP. why it has never been fo advantageous as the trade to America, which, between almost every nation of Europe and its own colonies, is free to all its subjects. The exclusive privileges of those East India companies, their great riches, the great favour and protection which these have procured them from their respective governments, have excited much envy against them. This envy has frequently represented their trade as altogether pernicious, on account of the great quantities of filver, which it every year exports from the countries from which it is carried on. The parties concerned have replied, that their trade, by this continual exportation of filver, might; indeed; tend to impoverish Europe in general, but not the particular country from which it was carried on; because, by the exportation of a part of the returns to other European countries, it annually brought home a much greater quantity of that metal than it carried out. Both the objection and the reply are founded in the popular notion which I have been just now examining. It is, therefore, unnecessary to fay any thing further about either. By the annual exportation of filver to the East Indies, plate is probably somewhat dearer in Europe than it otherwife might have been; and coined filver probably purchases a larger quantity both of labour and commodities. The former of these two effects is a very small loss, the latter a very small advantage; both too infignificant to deserve any part of the publick attention. The trade to the East Indies, by opening a market to the commodities of Europe, or, what comes nearly to the same thing, to the gold and filver which is purchased with those commodities, must necessarily tend to increase the annual production of European commodities, and confequently the real wealth and revenue of Europe. That it has hitherto increased them so little, is probably owing to the reftraints which it every where labours under.

I THOUGHT

I THOUGHT it necessary, though at the hazard of being tedious, to examine at full length this popular notion that wealth confifts in money, or in gold and filver. Money in common language, as I have already observed, frequently signifies wealth; and this ambiguity of expression has rendered this popular notion so familiar to us, that even they, who are convinced of its abfurdity, are very apt to forget their own principles, and in the course of their reasonings to take it for granted as a certain and undeniable truth. Some of the best English writers upon commerce set out with observing, that the wealth of a country consists, not in its gold and filver only, but in its lands, houses, and consumable goods of all different kinds. In the course of their reasonings, however, the lands, houses, and confumable goods feem to flip out of their memory, and the strain of their argument frequently supposes that all wealth confifts in gold and filver, and that to multiply those metals is the great object of national industry and commerce.

> THE two principles being established, however, that wealth confifted in gold and filver, and that those metals could be brought into a country which had no mines only by the balance of trade, or by exporting to a greater value than it imported; it necessarily became the great object of political economy to diminish as much as possible the importation of foreign goods for home-consumption, and to increase as much as possible the exportation of the produce of domestick industry. Its two great engines for enriching the country, therefore, were reftraints upon importation, and encouragements to exportation.

THE restraints upon importation were of two kinds.

FIRST, reftraints upon the importation of fuch foreign goods for home-confumption as could be produced at home, from whatever country they were imported,

SECONDLY,

SECONDLY, restraints upon the importation of goods of almost CHAP. all kinds from those particular countries with which the balance of trade was supposed to be disadvantageous.

THOSE different restraints consisted sometimes in high duties, and fometimes in absolute prohibitions.

EXPORTATION was encouraged fometimes by drawbacks, fometimes by bounties, fometimes by advantageous treaties of commerce with foreign states, and sometimes by the establishment of colonies in distant countries.

DRAWBACKS were given upon two different occasions. When the home-manufactures were fubject to any duty or excife, either the whole or a part of it was frequently drawn back upon their exportation; and when foreign goods liable to a duty were imported, in order to be exported again, either the whole or a part of this duty was fometimes given back upon fuch exportation.

BOUNTIES were given for the encouragement either of some beginning manufactures, or of fuch forts of industry of other kinds as were supposed to deserve particular favour.

By advantageous treaties of commerce, particular privileges were procured in some foreign state for the goods and merchants of the country, beyond what were granted to those of other countries.

By the establishment of colonies in distant countries, not only particular privileges, but a monopoly was frequently procured

BOOK for the goods and merchants of the country which established them.

> THE two forts of restraints upon importation above mentioned, together with these four encouragements to exportation, constitute the fix principal means by which the commercial fystem proposes. to increase the quantity of gold and filver in any country by turning the balance of trade in its favour. I shall consider each of them in a particular chapter, and without taking much further notice of their supposed tendency to bring money into the country, I shall examine chiefly what are likely to be the effects of each of them upon the annual produce of its industry. According as they tend either to increase or diminish the value of this annual produce, they must evidently tend either to increase or diminish the real wealth and revenue of the country.

CHAP. II.

Of Restraints upon the Importation of such Goods from Foreign Countries as can be produced at Home.

PY reftraining either by high duties or by absolute prohibitions CHAP. II. the importation of such goods from foreign countries as can be produced at home, the monopoly of the home-market is more or less secured to the domestick industry employed in producing them. Thus the prohibition of importing either live cattle or falt provisions from foreign countries secures to the graziers of Great Britain the monopoly of the home-market for butchersmeat. The high duties upon the importation of corn, which in times of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition, give a like advantage to the growers of that commodity. The prohibition of the importation of foreign woollens is equally favourable to the woollen manufacturers. The filk manufacture, though altogether employed upon foreign materials, has lately obtained the fame advantage. The linen manufacture has not yet obtained it, but is making great strides towards it. Many other forts of manufacturers have, in the fame manner, obtained in Great Britain, either altogether, or very nearly a monopoly against their countrymen.

THAT this monopoly of the home-market frequently gives great encouragement to that particular species of industry which enjoys it, and frequently turns towards that employment a greater share of both the labour and stock of the society than would otherwise have gone to it, cannot be doubted. But whether it tends either

BOOK to increase the general industry of the society, or to give it the most advantageous direction, is not, perhaps, altogether fo certain.

> THE general industry of the society never can exceed what the capital of the fociety can employ. As the number of workmen that can be kept in employment by any particular person must bear a certain proportion to his capital, so the number of those that can: be continually employed by all the members of a great fociety must bear a certain proportion to the whole capital of that society,, and never can exceed that proportion. No regulation of commerce can increase the quantity of industry in any society beyond what its capital can maintain. It can only divert a part of it into a direction into which it might not otherwise have gone; and it is by no means certain that this artificial direction is likely to be more advantageous to the fociety than that into which it would have. gone of its own accord...

> EVERY individual is continually exerting himself to find out the most advantageous employment for whatever capital he can command. It is his own advantage, indeed, and not that of the fociety, which he has in view. But the study of his own advantage. naturally, or rather necessarily leads him to prefer that employment which is most advantageous to the fociety.

> FIRST, every individual endeavours to employ his capital as near home as he can, and confequently as much as he can in the support of domestick industry; provided always that he can thereby obtain the ordinary, or not a great deal less than the ordinary profits of stock.

> Thus upon equal or nearly equal profits, every wholesale merchant naturally prefers the home-trade to the foreign trade of confumption,

confumption, and the foreign trade of confumption to the carrying CHAP. trade. In the home-trade his capital is never fo long out of his fight as it frequently is in the foreign trade of confumption. He can know better the character and fituation of the persons whom he trufts, and if he should happen to be deceived, he knows better the laws of the country from which he must seek redress. In the carrying trade, the capital of the merchant is, as it were, divided between two foreign countries, and no part of it is ever necessarily brought home, or placed under his own immediate view and command. The capital which an Amsterdam merchant employs in carrying corn from Konnigsberg to Lisbon, and fruit and wine from Lisbon to Konnigsberg, must generally be the one-half of it at Konnigsberg and the other half at Lisbon. No part of it need ever come to Amsterdam. The natural residence of such a merchant should either be at Konnigsberg or Lisbon, and it can only be some very particular circumstances which can make him prefer the refidence of Amsterdam. The uneafiness, however, which he feels at being separated so far from his capital, generally determines him to bring part both of the Konnigsberg goods which he destines for the market of Lisbon, and of the Lisbon goods which he destines for that of Konnigsberg, to Amsterdam: and though this necessarily subjects him to a double charge of loading and unloading, as well as to the payment of fome duties and customs, yet for the fake of having some part of his capital always under his own view and command, he willingly fubmits to this extraordinary charge; and it is in this manner that every country which has any confiderable share of the carrying tradebecomes always the emporium or general market for the goods of all the different countries whose trade it carries on. The merchant, in order to fave a fecond loading and unloading, endeavours always to fell in the home-market as much of the goods of all those different countries as he can, and thus, so far as he can, to convert Vol. II.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

BOOK convert his carrying trade into a foreign trade of confumption. A merchant, in the same manner, who is engaged in the foreign trade of confumption, when he collects goods for foreign markets, will always be glad, upon equal or nearly equal profits, to fell as great a part of them at home as he can. He faves himself the risk and trouble of exportation, when so far as he can, he thus converts his foreign trade of confumption into a home-trade. Home is in this manner the center, if I may fay fo, round which the capitals of the inhabitants of every country are continually circulating, and towards which they are always tending, though by particular causes they may sometimes be driven off and repelled from it towards more diftant employments. But a capital employed in the home-trade, it has already been shown, necessarily puts into motion a greater quantity of domestick industry, and gives revenue and employment to a greater number of the inhabitants of the country, than an equal capital employed in the foreign trade of confumption: and one employed in the foreign. trade of confumption has the same advantage over an equal capital employed in the carrying trade. Upon equal, or only nearly equal profits, therefore, every individual naturally inclines to employ his capital in the manner in which it is likely to afford the greatest support to domestick industry, and to give revenue and employment to the greatest number of the people of his own country.

> SECONDLY, every individual who employs his capital in the fupport of domestick industry, necessarily endeavours so to direct that industry, that its produce may be of the greatest possible value.

> THE produce of industry is what it adds to the subject or materials upon which it is employed. In proportion as the value of this produce is great or fmall, so will likewise be the profits of the employer. But it is only for the fake of profit that any man em-

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

ploys a capital in the support of industry; and he will always, there- CHAP. fore, endeavour to employ it in the support of that industry of which the produce is likely to be of the greatest value, or to exchange for the greatest quantity either of money or of other goods.

Bur the annual revenue of every fociety is always precifely equal to the exchangeable value of the whole annual produce of its industry, or rather is precisely the same thing with that exchangeable value. As every individual, therefore, endeavours as much as he can both to employ his capital in the support of domestick industry, and fo to direct that industry that its produce may be of the greatest value; every individual necessarily labours to render the annual revenue of the fociety as great as he can. He generally, indeed, neither intends to promote the publick interest, nor knows how much he is promoting it. By preferring the support of domestick to that of foreign industry he intends only his own security; and by directing that industry in such a manner as its produce may be of the greatest value, he intends only his own gain, and he is in this, as in many other cases, led by an invisible hand to promote an end which was no part of his intention. Nor is it always the worse for the society that it was no part of it. By pursuing his own interest he frequently promotes that of the fociety more effectually than when he really intends to promote it. I have never known much good done by those who affected to trade for the publick good. It is an affectation, indeed, not very common among merchants, and very few words need be employed in diffuading them from it.

WHAT is the species of domestick industry which his capital can employ, and of which the produce is likely to be of the greatest value, every individual, it is evident, can, in his local fituation, judge much better than any statesman or lawgiver can do for him. F 2

BOOK The statesman, who should attempt to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals, would not only load himself with a most unnecessary attention, but assume an authority which could fafely be trufted, not only to no fingle person, but to no council or fenate whatever, and which would no where be fo dangerous as in the hands of a man who had folly and prefumption enough to fancy himself fit to exercise it.

> To give the monopoly of the home-market to the produce of domestick industry, in any particular art or manufacture, is in some measure to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals, and must, in almost all cases, be either a useless or a hurtful regulation. If the produce of domestick can be brought there as cheap as that of foreign industry, the regulation is evidently useless. If it cannot, it must generally be hurtful. It is the maxim of every prudent mafter of a family, never to attempt to make at home what it will cost him more to make than to buy. The taylor does not attempt to make his own shoes, but buys them of the shoemaker. The shoemaker does not attempt to make his own cloaths, but employs a taylor. The farmer attempts to make neither the one nor the other, but employs those different artificers. All of them find it for their interest to employ their whole industry in a way in which they have some advantage over their neighbours, and to purchase with a part of its produce, or what is the same thing, with the price of a part of it, whatever elfe they have occasion for.

WHAT is prudence in the conduct of every private family, can scarce be folly in that of a great kingdom. If a foreign country can fupply us with a commodity cheaper than we ourselves can make it, better buy it of them with some part of the produce of our own industry, employed in a way in which we have fome fome advantage. The general industry of the country, being CHAP. always in proportion to the capital which employs it, will not thereby be diminished, no more than that of the above-mentioned artificers; but only left to find out the way in which it can be employed with the greatest advantage. It is certainly not employed to the greatest advantage, when it is thus directed towards an object which it can buy cheaper than it can make. The value of its annual produce is certainly more or less diminished, when it is thus turned away from producing commodities evidently of more value than the commodity which it is directed to produce. According to the supposition, that commodity could be purchased from foreign countries cheaper than it can be made at home. It could, therefore, have been purchased with a part only of the commodities, or, what is the fame thing, with a part only of the price of the commodities, which the industry employed by an equal capital, would have produced at home, had it been left to follow its natural course. The industry of the country, therefore, is thus turned away from a more, to a less advantageous employment, and the exchangeable value of its annual produce, instead of being increased, according to the intention of the lawgiver, must necesfarily be diminished by every such regulation.

By means of fuch regulations, indeed, a particular manufacture may fometimes be acquired fooner than it could have been otherwife, and after a certain time may be made at home as cheap or cheaper than in the foreign country. But though the industry of the fociety may be thus carried with advantage into a particular channel fooner than it could have been otherwise, it will by no means follow that the fum total either of its industry or of its revenue: can ever be augmented by any fuch regulation. The industry of the fociety can augment only in proportion as its capital augments, and its capital can augment only in proportion to what can be gradually faved out of its revenue. But the immediate effect of

BOOK every fuch regulation is to diminish its revenue, and what diminishes its revenue, is certainly not very likely to augment its capital faster than it would have augmented of its own accord, had both capital and industry been left to find out their natural employments.

> THOUGH for want of fuch regulations the fociety should never acquire the proposed manufacture, it would not, upon that account, necessarily be the poorer in any one period of its duration. In every period of its duration its whole capital and industry might still have been employed, though upon different objects, in the manner that was most advantageous at the time. In every period its revenue might have been the greatest which its capital could afford, and both capital and revenue might have been augmenting with the greatest possible rapidity.

> THE natural advantages which one country has over another in producing particular commodities are fometimes fo great, that it is acknowledged by all the world to be in vain to ftruggle with them, By means of glaffes, hotbeds, and hotwalls, very good grapes can be raifed in Scotland, and very good wine too can be made of them at about thirty times the expence for which at least equally good can be brought from foreign countries. Would it be a reasonable law to prohibit the importation of all foreign wines, merely to encourage the making of claret and burgundy in Scotland? But if there would be a manifest absurdity in turning towards any employment, thirty times more of the capital and industry of the country, than would be necessary to purchase from foreign countries an equal quantity of the commodities wanted, there must be an abfurdity, though not altogether fo glaring, yet exactly of the fame kind, in turning towards any fuch employment a thirtieth, or even a three hundredth part more of either. Whether the advantages which one country has over another, be natural or acquired,

quired, is in this respect of no consequence. As long as the one CHAP. country has those advantages, and the other wants them, it will always be more advantageous for the latter, rather to buy of the former than to make. It is an acquired advantage only, which one artificer has over his neighbour, who exercises another trade, and yet they both find it more advantageous to buy of one another, than to make what does not belong to their particular trades.

MERCHANTS and manufacturers are the people who derive the greatest advantage from this monopoly of the home market. The prohibition of the importation of foreign cattle, and of falt provisions, together with the high duties upon foreign corn, which in times of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition, are not near fo advantageous to the graziers and farmers of Great Britain, as other regulations of the same kind are to its merchants and manufacturers. Manufactures, those of the finer kind especially, are more easily transported from one country to another than corn or cattle. It is in the fetching and carrying manufactures, accordingly, that foreign trade is chiefly employed. In manufactures, a very small advantage will enable foreigners to undersell our own workmen, even in the home market. It will require a very great one to enable them to do fo in the rude produce of the foil. If the free importation of foreign manufactures was permitted, feveral of the home manufactures would probably suffer, and some of of them, perhaps, go to ruin altogether, and a confiderable part of the stock and industry at present employed in them, would be forced to find out some other employment. But the freest importation of the rude produce of the foil could have no fuch effect upon the agriculture of the country.

Ir the importation of foreign cattle, for example, was made ever so free, so few could be imported, that the grazing trade of Great Britain

Britain could be little affected by it. Live cattle are, perhaps, the only commodity of which the transportation is more expensive by fea than by land. By land they carry themselves to market. By fea, not only the cattle, but their food and their water too must be carried at no fmall expence and inconveniency. The fhort fea between Ireland and Great Britain, indeed, renders the importation of Irish cattle more easy. But though the free importation of them, which was lately permitted only for a limited time, were rendered perpetual, it could have no confiderable effect upon the interest of the graziers of Great Britain. Those parts of Great Britain which border upon the Irish sea are all grazing countries. Irish cattle could never be imported for their use, but must be drove through those very extensive countries, at no small expence and inconveniency, before they could arrive at their proper market. Fat cattle could not be drove fo far. Lean cattle, therefore, only could be imported, and fuch importation could interfere, not with the interest of the feeding or fattening countries, to which by reducing the price of lean cattle, it would rather be advantageous. but with that of the breeding countries only. The finall number of Irish cattle imported fince their importation was permitted. together with the good price at which lean cattle still continue to fell, feem to demonstrate that even the breeding countries of Great Britain are never likely to be much affected by the free importation of Irish cattle. The common people of Ireland, indeed, are faid to have fometimes opposed with violence the exportation of their cattle. But if the exporters had found any great advantage in continuing the trade, they could eafily, when the law was on their fide, have conquered this mobbish opposition.

FEEDING and fattening countries, befides, must always be highly improved, whereas breeding countries are generally uncultivated. The high price of lean cattle, by augmenting the value

of uncultivated land, is like a bounty against improvement. To any country which was highly improved throughout, it would be more advantageous to import its lean cattle than to breed them. The province of Holland, accordingly, is said to follow this maxim at present. The mountains of Scotland, Wales, and Northumberland, indeed, are countries not capable of much improvement, and seem destined by nature to be the breeding countries of Great Britain. The freest importation of foreign cattle could have no other effect than to hinder those breeding countries from taking advantage of the increasing population and improvement of the rest of the kingdom, from raising their price to an exorbitant height, and from laying a real tax upon all the more improved and cultivated parts of the country.

The freeft importation of falt provisions, in the same manner, could have as little effect upon the interest of the graziers of Great Britain as that of live cattle. Salt provisions are not only a very bulky commodity, but when compared with fresh meat, they are a commodity both of worse quality, and as they cost more labour and expence, of higher price. They could never, therefore, come into competition with the fresh meat, though they might with the salt provisions of the country. They might be used for victualling ships for distant voyages, and such like uses, but could never make any considerable part of the food of the people. The small quantity of salt provisions imported from Ireland since their importation was rendered free, is an experimental proof that our graziers have nothing to apprehend from it. It does not appear that the price of butcher's-meat has ever been sensibly affected by it.

EVEN the free importation of foreign corn could very little affect the interest of the farmers of Great Britain. Corn is a much more bulky commodity than butcher's-meat. A pound of Vol. II.

BOOK wheat at a penny is as dear as a pound of butcher's-meat at fourpence. The small quantity of foreign corn imported even in times of the greatest scarcity, may satisfy our farmers that they can have nothing to fear from the freeft importation. The average quantity imported, one year with another, amounts only, according to the very well informed author of the tracts upon the corn trade, to twenty-three thousand seven hundred and twenty-eight quarters of all forts of grain, and does not exceed the five hundredth and feventy-one part of the annual confumption. But as the bounty upon corn occasions a greater exportation in years of plenty, fo it must of consequence occasion a greater importation in years of fcarcity, than would otherwise take place. By means of it, the plenty of one year does not compensate the scarcity of another, and as the average quantity exported is necessarily augmented by it, so must likewise, in the actual state of tillage, the average quantity imported. If there was no bounty, as less corn would be exported, so it is probable that one year with another, less would be imported than at present. The corn merchants, the fetchers and carriers of corn, between Great Britain and foreign countries, would have much less employment, and might suffer confiderably; but the country gentlemen and farmers could fuffer very little. It is in the corn merchants accordingly, rather than in the country gentlemen and farmers, that I have observed the greatest anxiety for the renewal and continuation of the bounty.

> Country gentlemen and farmers are, to their great honour, of all people, the least subject to the wretched spirit of monopoly. The undertaker of a great manufactory is sometimes alarmed if another work of the fame kind is established within twenty miles of him. The Dutch undertaker of the woollen manufacture at Abbeville, stipulated that no work of the same kind should be established within thirty leagues of that city. Farmers and country gentlemen

gentlemen, on the contrary, are generally disposed rather to promote CHAP. than to obstruct the cultivation and improvement of their neighbours farms and estates. They have no fecrets, such as those of the greater part of manufacturers, but are generally rather fond of communicating to their neighbours, and of extending as far as possible any new practice which they have found to be advantageous. Pius Questus, says old Cato, stabilissimusque, minimeque invidiosus; minimeque male cogitantes sunt, qui in eo studio occupati funt. Country gentleman and farmers, dispersed in different parts of the country, cannot fo eafily combine as merchants and manufacturers, who being collected into towns, and accustomed to that exclusive corporation spirit which prevails in them, naturally endeavour to obtain against all their countrymen, the fame exclusive privilege which they generally possess against the inhabitants of their respective towns. They accordingly seem to have been the original inventors of those restraints upon the importation of foreign goods, which fecure to them the monopoly of the home market. It was probably in imitation of them, and to put themselves upon a level with those, who, they found were disposed to oppress them, that the country gentlemen and farmers of Great Britain fo far forgot the generofity which is natural to their station, as to demand the exclusive privilege of fupplying their countrymen with corn and butcher's-meat. They did not perhaps take time to confider, how much less their interest could be affected by the freedom of trade, than that of the people whose example they followed.

To prohibit by a perpetual law the importation of foreign corn and cattle, is in reality to enact, that the population and industry of the country shall at no time exceed what the rude produce of its own foil can maintain.

be advantageous to lay fome burden upon foreign, for the encouragement of domestick industry.

THE first is when some particular fort of industry is necessary for the desence of the country. The desence of Great Britain, for example, depends very much upon the number of its sailors and shipping. The act of navigation, therefore, very properly endeavours to give the sailors and shipping of Great Britain the monopoly of the trade of their own country, in some cases, by absolute prohibitions, and in others by heavy burdens upon the shipping of foreign countries. The following are the principal dispositions of this act:

FIRST, all ships, of which the owners, masters, and three-fourths of the mariners are not British subjects, are prohibited, upon pain of forfeiting ship and cargo, from trading to the British settlements and plantations, or from being employed in the coasting trade of Great Britain.

SECONDLY, a great variety of the most bulky articles of importation can be brought into Great Britain only, either in such ships as are above described, or in ships of the country where those goods are produced, and of which the owners, masters, and three-fourths of the mariners are of that particular country; and when imported even in ships of this latter kind, they are subject to double aliens duty. If imported in ships of any other country, the penalty is forfeiture of ship and cargo. When this act was made, the Dutch were, what they still are, the great carriers of Europe, and by this regulation they were entirely excluded from being the carriers to Great Britain, or from importing to us the goods of any other European country.

THIRDLY,

THIRDLY, a great variety of the most bulky articles of importation are prohibited from being imported, even in British ships, from any country but that in which they are produced; under pain of forseiting ship and cargo. This regulation too was probably intended against the Dutch. Holland was then, as now, the great emporium for all European goods, and by this regulation, British ships were hindered from loading in Holland the goods of any other European country.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

FOURTHLY, falt fish of all kinds, whale-fins, whale-bone, oil and blubber, not caught by and cured on board British vessels, when imported into Great Britain, are subjected to double aliens duty. The Dutch, as they are still the principal, were then the only sishers in Europe that attempted to supply foreign nations with sish. By this regulation, a very heavy burden was laid upon their supplying. Great Britain.

When the act of navigation was made, though England and Holland were not actually at war, the most violent animosity substituted between the two nations. It had begun during the government of the long parliament which first framed this act, and it broke out soon after in the Dutch wars during that of the Protector and of Charles the IId. It is not impossible, therefore, that some of the regulations of this samous act may have proceeded from national animosity. They are as wise, however, as if they had all been dictated by the most deliberate wisdom. National animosity at that particular time aimed at the very same object which the most deliberate wisdom would have recommended, the diminution of the naval power of Holland, the only naval power which could endanger the security of England.

THE act of navigation is not favourable to foreign commerce,, or to the growth of that opulence which can arise from it. The interest:

BOOK interest of a nation in its commercial relations to foreign nations is, like that of a merchant with regard to the different people with whom he deals, to buy as cheap and to fell as dear as possible. But it will be most likely to buy cheap, when by the most perfect freedom of trade it encourages all nations to bring to it the goods which it has occasion to purchase; and, for the same reason, it will be most likely to fell dear, when its markets are thus filled with the greatest number of buyers. The act of navigation, it is true, lays no burden upon foreign ships that come to export the produce of British industry. Even the antient aliens duty, which used to be paid upon all goods exported as well as imported, has, by feveral subsequent acts, been taken off from the greater part of the articles of exportation. But if foreigners, either by prohibitions or high duties, are hindered from coming to fell, they cannot always afford to come to buy; because coming without a cargo, they must lose the freight from their own country to Great Britain. By diminishing the number of fellers, therefore, we necessarily diminish that of buyers, and are thus likely not only to buy foreign goods dearer, but to fell our own cheaper, than if there was a more perfect freedom of trade. As defence, however, is of much more importance than opulence, the act of navigation is, perhaps, the wifeft of all the commercial regulations of England.

> THE fecond case, in which it will generally be advantageous to lay fome burden upon foreign for the encouragement of domestick industry, is, when some tax is imposed at home upon the produce of the latter. In this case, it seems reasonable that an equal tax should be imposed upon the like produce of the former. This would not give the monopoly of the home market to domestick industry, nor turn towards a particular employment a greater share of the flock and labour of the country, than what would naturally go to it. It would only hinder any part of what would naturally

go to it from being turned away by the tax, into a less natural CHAP. direction, and would leave the competition between foreign and domestick industry, after the tax, as nearly as possible upon the fame footing as before it. In Great Britain, when any fuch tax is laid upon the produce of domestick industry, it is usual at the fame time, in order to ftop the clamorous complaints of our merchants and manufacturers, that they will be underfold at home, to lay a much heavier duty upon the importation of all foreign goods of the same kind.

This fecond limitation of the freedom of trade according to fome people should, upon some occasions, be extended much further than to the precise foreign commodities which could come into competition with those which had been taxed at home. When the necessaries of life have been taxed in any country, it becomes proper, they pretend, to tax not only the like necessaries of life imported from other countries, but all forts of foreign goods which can come into competition with any thing that is the produce of domestick industry. Subfistence, they fay, becomes necessarily dearer in consequence of such taxes; and the price of labour must always rife with the price of the labourers subsistence. Every commodity, therefore, which is the produce of domestick industry, though not immediately taxed itself, becomes dearer in consequence of fuch taxes, because the labour which produces it becomes so: Such taxes, therefore, are really equivalent, they fay, to a tax upon every particular commodity produced at home. In order to put domestick upon the same footing with foreign industry, therefore, it becomes necessary, they think, to lay some duty upon every foreign commodity, equal to this enhancement of the price of the home commodities with which it can come into competition,

WHETHER:

WHETHER taxes upon the necessaries of life, such as those in Great Britain upon malt, beer, foap, falt, leather, candles, &c. necessarily raise the price of labour, and consequently that of all other commodities, I shall consider hereafter, when I come to treat of taxes. Supposing, however, in the mean time, that they have this effect, and they have it undoubtedly, this general enhancement of the price of all commodities, in confequence of that of labour, is a case which differs in the two following respects from that of a particular commodity, of which the price was enhanced by a particular tax immediately imposed upon it.

> FIRST, it might always be known with great exactness how far the price of fuch a commodity could be enhanced by fuch a tax: but how far the general enhancement of the price of labour might affect that of every different commodity, about which labour was employed, could never be known with any tolerable exactness. It would be impossible, therefore, to proportion with any tolerable exactness the tax upon every foreign to this enhancement of the price of every home commodity.

> SECONDLY, taxes upon the necessaries of life have nearly the fame effect upon the circumstances of the people as a poor foil and a bad climate. Provisions are thereby rendered dearer in the fame manner as if it required extraordinary labour and expence to raise them. As in the natural scarcity arising from soil and climate, it would be abfurd to direct the people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals and industry, fo it is likewise in the artificial fcarcity arifing from fuch taxes. To be left to accommodate, as well as they could, their industry to their situation, and to find out those employments in which, notwithstanding their unfavourable circumstances, they might have some advantage either in

the home, or in the foreign market, is what in both cases, would CHAP. evidently be most for their advantage. To lay a new tax upon them. because they are already overburdened with taxes, and because they already pay too dear for the necessaries of life, to make them likewise pay too dear for the greater part of other commodities, is certainly a most absurd way of making amends.

Such taxes, when they have grown up to a certain height, are a curse equal to the barrenness of the earth and the inclemency of the heavens; and yet it is in the richest and most industrious countries that they have been most generally imposed. No other countries could support so great a disorder. As the strongest bodies only can live and enjoy health, under an unwholesome regimen; fo the nations only, that in every fort of industry have the greatest natural and acquired advantages, can fubfift and profper under fuch taxes. Holland is the country in Europe in which they abound most, and which from peculiar circumstances continues to prosper, not by means of them, as has been most absurdly supposed, but in spite of them.

As there are two cases in which it will generally be advantageous to lay fome burden upon foreign, for the encouragement of domestick industry; so there are two others in which it may sometimes be a matter of deliberation; in the one, how far it is proper to continue the free importation of certain foreign goods; and in the other, how far or in what manner it may be proper to restore that free importation after it has been for some time interrupted.

THE case in which it may sometimes be a matter of deliberation how far it is proper to continue the free importation of certain foreign goods, is, when some foreign nation restrains by high duties or prohibitions the importation of some of our manufactures into Vol. II. Н their BOOK their country. Revenge in this case naturally dictates retaliation. and that we should impose the like duties and prohibitions upon the importation of some or all of their manufactures into ours. Nations, accordingly, feldom fail to retaliate in this manner. The French have been particularly forward to favour their own manufactures by restraining the importation of such foreign goods as could come into competition with them. In this confifted a great part of the policy of Mr. Colbert, who, notwithstanding his great abilities, feems in this case to have been imposed upon by the fophistry of merchants and manufacturers, who are always demanding a monopoly against their countrymen. It is at present the opinion of the most intelligent men in France that his operations of this kind have not been beneficial to his country. That minister by the tarif of 1667, imposed very high duties upon a great number of foreign manufactures. Upon his refusing to moderate themin favour of the Dutch, they in 1671 prohibited the importation of the wines, brandies, and manufactures of France. The war of 1672 feems to have been in part occasioned by this commercial dispute. The peace of Nimeguen put an end to it in 1678, by moderating fome of those duties in favour of the Dutch, who in confequence took off their prohibition. It was about the same time that the French and English began mutually to oppress each other's industry, by the like duties and prohibitions, of which the French, however, feem to have fet the first example. The spirit of hostility which has fublished between the two nations ever fince, has hitherto hindered them from being moderated on either fide. In 1607 the English prohibited the importation of bonelace, the manufacture of Flanders. The government of that country, at that time under the dominion of Spain, prohibited in return the importation of English woollens. In 1700, the prohibition of importing bonelace into England, was taken off upon condition that the importation of English woollens into Flanders should be put on the same footing as before.

THERE

THERE may be good policy in retaliations of this kind, when CHAP. there is a probability that they will procure the repeal of the high duties or prohibitions complained of. The recovery of a great foreign market will generally more than compensate the transitory inconveniency of paying dearer during a short time for some sorts of goods. To judge whether fuch retaliations are likely to produce fuch an effect, does not, perhaps, belong fo much to the science of a legislator, whose deliberations ought to be governed by general principles which are always the fame, as to the skill of that infidious and crafty animal, vulgarly called a statesman or politician, whose councils are directed by the momentary fluctuations of affairs. When there is no probability that any fuch repeal can be procured, it feems a bad method of compensating the injury done to certain classes of our people, to do another injury ourselves both to those classes and to almost all the other classes of them. When our neighbours prohibit some manufacture of ours, we generally prohibit, not only the same, for that alone would seldom affect them confiderably, but some other manufacture of theirs. This may no doubt give encouragement to some particular class of workmen among ourselves, and by excluding some of their rivals, may enable them to raife their price in the home market. Those workmen, however, who fuffered by our neighbours prohibition will not be benefited by ours. On the contrary, they, and almost all

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

The case in which it may sometimes be a matter of deliberation how far or in what manner it is proper to restore the free importation of foreign goods, after it has been for some time interrupted,

H 2

the other classes of our citizens will thereby be obliged to pay dearer

than before for certain goods. Every fuch law, therefore, imposes

a real tax upon the whole country, not in favour of that particular

class of workmen who were injured by our neighbours prohibition,

but of some other class.

BOOK is, when particular manufactures, by means of high duties or prohibitions upon all foreign goods which can come into competition with them, have been fo far extended as to employ a great multitude of hands. Humanity may in this case require that the freedom of trade should be restored only by slow gradations, and with a good deal of referve and circumfpection. Were those high duties and prohibitions taken away all at once, cheaper foreign goods of the fame kind might be poured fo fast into the home market, as to deprive all at once many thousands of our people of their ordinary employment and means of fubfiftence. The diforder which this would occasion might no doubt be very considerable. It would in all probability, however, be much less than is commonly imagined, for the two following reasons:

> FIRST, all those manufactures, of which any part is commonly exported to other European countries without a bounty, could be very little affected by the freest importation of foreign goods. Such manufactures must be fold as cheap abroad as any other foreign goods of the same quality and kind, and consequently must be fold cheaper at home. They would still, therefore, keep possession of the home market, and though a capricious man of fashion might fometimes prefer foreign wares, merely because they were foreign, tocheaper and better goods of the same kind that were made at home; this folly could from the nature of things extend to fo few, that it could make no fensible impression upon the general employment of the people. But a great part of all the different branches of our woollen manufacture, of our tanned leather, and of our hardware, are annually exported to other European countries without any bounty, and these are the manufactures which employ the greatest number of hands. The filk, perhaps, is the manufacture which would fuffer the most by this freedom of trade, and after it. the linen, though the latter much less than the former.

> > SECONDLY:

SECONDLY, though a great number of people should, by thus CHAP. restoring the freedom of trade, be thrown all at once out of their ordinary employment and common method of fubfiftence, it would by no means follow that they would thereby be deprived either of employment or fublistence. By the reduction of the army and navy at the end of the late war more than a hundred thousand foldiers and feamen, a number equal to what is employed in the greatest manufactures, were all at once thrown out of their ordinary employment; but, though they no doubt fuffered some inconveniency, they were not thereby deprived of all employment and fubfiftence. The greater part of the feamen, it is probable, gradually betook themselves to the merchants service as they could find occasion, and in the mean time both they and the foldiers were abforbed in the great mass of the people, and employed in a great variety of occupations. Not only no great convulsion, but no fensible diforder arose from so great a change in the situation of more than a hundred thousand men, all accustomed to the use of arms, and many of them to rapine and plunder. The number of vagrants was fcarce anywhere fenfibly increased by it, even the wages of labour were not reduced by it in any occupation, fo far as I have been able to learn, except in that of feamen in the merchant fervice. But if we compare together the habits of a foldier and of any fort of manufacturer, we shall find that those of the latter do not tend fo much to disqualify him from being employed in a new trade, as those of the former from being employed in any. The manufacturer has always been accustomed to look for his subsistence from his labour only: the foldier to expect it from his pay. Application and industry have been familiar to the one; idleness and disfipation to the other. But it is furely much easier to change the direction of industry from one fort of labour to another, than to turn idleness and diffipation to any. To the greater part of manufactures befides, it has already been observed, there are other collaterali

BOOK collateral manufactures of so similar a nature, that a workman ican eafily transfer his industry from one of them to another. The preater part of such workmen too are occasionally employed in country labour. The flock which employed them in a particular manufacture before, will still remain in the country to employ an equal number of people in some other way. The capital of the country remaining the same, the demand for labour will likewise be the fame, or very nearly the fame, though it may be exerted in different places and for different occupations. Soldiers and feamen, indeed, when discharged from the kings service, are at liberty to exercise any trade, within any town or place of Great Britain or Ireland. Let the fame natural liberty of exercifing what species of industry they please be restored to all his majesty's subjects, in the fame manner as to foldiers and feamen; that is, break down -the exclusive privileges of corporations, and repeal the statute of sapprenticeship, both which are real encroachments upon natural liberty, and add to these the repeal of the law of settlements, so that a poor workman, when thrown out of employment either in one trade or in one place, may feek for it in another trade or in another place, without the fear either of a profecution or of a -removal, and neither the publick nor the individuals will fuffer much more from the occasional disbanding some particular classes of manufacturers, than from that of foldiers. Our manufacturers have no doubt great merit with their country, but they cannot have more than those who defend it with their blood, nor deserve to be treated with more delicacy.

To expect, indeed, that the freedom of trade should ever be entirely restored in Great Britain, is as absurd as to expect that an Oceana or Utopea should ever be established in it. Not only the prejudices of the publick, but what is much more unconquerable, the private interests of many individuals irresistably oppose it. Were the

officers of the army to oppose with the same zeal and unanimity CHAP. any reduction in the number of forces, with which mafter manufacturers fet themselves against every law that is likely to increase the number of their rivals in the home market, were the former to animate their foldiers in the same manner as the latter enflame their workmen to attack with violence and outrage the propofers of any fuch regulation, to attempt to reduce the army would be as dangerous as it has now become to attempt to diminish in any respect the monopoly which our manufacturers have obtained against us. This monopoly has so much increased the number of some particular tribes of them, that, like an overgrown standing army, they have become formidable to the government, and upon many occasions intimidate the legislature. The member of parliament who supports every proposal for strengthening this monopoly, is fure to acquire not only the reputation of understanding. trade, but great popularity and influence with an order of men whose numbers and wealth render them of great importance. If he opposes them, on the contrary, and still more if he has authority enough to be able to thwart them, neither the most acknowledged probity, nor the highest rank, nor the greatest publick services can protect him from the most infamous abuse and detraction, from personal infults, nor sometimes from real danger, arising from the infolent outrage of furious and disappointed monopolists.

The undertaker of a great manufacture who, by the home markets being fuddenly laid open to the competition of foreigners, should be obliged to abandon his trade, would no doubt suffer very confiderably. That part of his capital which had usually been employed in purchasing materials and in paying his workmen, might, without much difficulty, perhaps, find another employment. But that part of it which was fixed in workhouses, and in the instruments of trade, could scarce be disposed of without considerable.

lofs

BOOK lofs. The equitable regard, therefore, to his interest requires that changes of this kind should never be introduced suddenly, but flowly, gradually, and after a very long warning. The legislature were it possible that its deliberations could be always directed, not by the clamorous importunity of partial interests, but by an extensive view of the general good, ought upon this very account perhaps, to be particularly careful neither to establish any new monopolies of this kind, nor to extend further those which are already established. Every such regulation introduces some degree of real disorder into the constitution of the state, which it will be difficult afterwards to cure without occasioning another diforder.

> How far it may be proper to impose taxes upon the importation of foreign goods, in order, not to prevent their importation, but to raife a revenue for government, I shall consider hereafter when I come to treat of taxes. Taxes imposed with a view to prevent, or even to diminish importation, are evidently as destructive of the revenue of the customs as of the freedom of trade.

CHAP. III.

Of the extraordinary Restraints upon the Importation of Goods of almost all Kinds, from those Countries with which the Balance is supposed to be disadvantageous.

O lay extraordinary restraints upon the importation of goods CHAP. of almost all kinds, from those particular countries with which the balance of trade is supposed to be disadvantageous, is the fecond expedient by which the commercial fystem proposes to increase the quantity of gold and silver. Thus in Great Britain higher duties are laid upon the wines of France than upon those of Portugal. German linen may be imported upon paying certain duties; but French linen is altogether prohibited. The principles which I have been examining, took their origin from private interest and the spirit of monopoly: those which I am going to examine from national prejudice and animofity. They are, accordingly, as might well be expected, still more unreasonable. They are so, even upon the principles of the commercial fystem.

FIRST, though it were certain that in the case of a free trade between France and England, for example, the balance would be in favour of France, it would by no means follow that fuch a trade would be disadvantageous to England, or that the general balance of its whole trade would thereby be turned more against it. If the wines of France are better and cheaper than those of Portugal, or its linens than those of Germany, it would be more advantageous for Great Britain to purchase both the wine and the foreign linen which it had occasion for of France, than of Portugal and Germany. Though the value of the annual importations from France would thereby be greatly augmented, the value of the whole annual importations would be diminished, in proportion as the French goods Vol. II.

goods of the same quality were cheaper than those of the other two countries. This would be the case, even upon the supposition that the whole French goods imported were to be confumed in Great

> Bur, fecondly, a great part of them might be re-exported to other countries, where, being fold with profit, they might bring back a return equal in value, perhaps, to the prime cost of the whole French goods imported. What has frequently been faid of the East India trade might possibly be true of the French; that though the greater part of East India goods were bought with gold and filver, the re-exportation of a part of them to other countries, brought back more gold and filver to that which carried on the trade than the prime cost of the whole amounted to. One of the most important branches of the Dutch trade, at present, consists in the carriage of French goods to other European countries. A great part even of the French wine drank in Great Britain is clandestinely imported from Holland and Zealand. If there was either a free trade between France and England, or if French goods could be imported upon paying only the same duties as those of other European nations, to be drawn back upon exportation, England might have some share of a trade which is found so advantageous to Holland.

THIRDLY, and lastly, there is no certain criterion by which we can determine on which fide what is called the balance between any two countries lies, or which of them exports to the greatest value. National prejudice and animofity, prompted always by the private interest of particular traders, are the principles which generally direct our judgement upon all questions concerning it. There are two criterions, however, which have frequently been appealed to upon fuch occasions, the custom-house books and the course of exchange.

change. The custom-house books, I think, it is now generally ac- CHAP. knowledged, are a very uncertain criterion, on account of the inaccuracy of the valuation at which the greater part of goods are rated in them. The course of exchange, at least, as it has hitherto been estimated, is, perhaps, almost equally so.

50

WHEN the exchange between two places, fuch as London and Paris, is at par, it is faid to be a fign that the debts due from London to Paris are compensated by those due from Paris to London. On the contrary, when a premium is paid at London for a bill upon Paris, it is faid to be a fign that the debts due from London to Paris are not compensated by those due from Paris to London, but that a balance in money must be sent out from the latter place: for the rifk, trouble, and expence of exporting which, the premium is both demanded and given. But the ordinary state of debt and credit between those two cities must necessarily be regulated, it is faid, by the ordinary course of their dealings with one another. When neither of them imports from the other to a greater amount than it exports to it, the debts and credits of each may compensate one another. But when one of them imports from the other to a greater value than it exports to it, the former necessarily becomes indebted to the latter in a greater fum than the latter becomes indebted to it: the debts and credits of each do not compensate one another, and money must be sent out from that place of which the debts over-balance the credits. The common course of exchange, therefore, being an indication of the ordinary state of debt and credit between two places, must likewise be an indication of the ordinary course of their exports and imports, as these necessarily regulate that state.

Bur though this doctrine, of which some part is, perhaps, not a little doubtful, were supposed ever so certain, the manner in which the par of exchange has hitherto been computed, renders uncertain every conclusion that has ever yet been drawn from it.

WHEN for a fum of money paid in England, containing, according to the standard of the English mint, a certain number of ounces of pure filver, you receive a bill for a fum of money to be paid in France, containing, according to the standard of the French mint, an equal number of ounces of pure filver, exchange is faid to be at par between England and France. When you pay more, you are supposed to give a premium, and exchange is faid to be against England, and in favour of France. When you pay less, you are supposed to get a premium, and exchange is said to be against France, and in favour of England.

Bur, first we cannot always judge of the value of the current money of different countries by the standards of their respective mints. In some it is more, in others it is less worn, clipt, and otherwise degenerated from that standard. But the value of the current coin of every country, compared with that of any other country, is in proportion, not to the quantity of pure filver which it ought to contain, but to that which it actually does contain. Before the reformation of the filver coin in king William's time, exchange between England and Holland, computed, in the usual manner, according to the flandards of their respective mints, was five and twenty per cent, against England. But the value of the current coin of England, as we learn from Mr. Lowndes, was at that time rather more than five and twenty per cent. below its standard value. The real exchange, therefore, may even at that time have been in favour of England, notwithstanding the computed exchange was fo much against it; a smaller number of ounces of pure silver, actually paid in England, may have purchased a bill for a greater number of ounces of pure filver to be paid in Holland, and the man who was supposed to give, may in reality have got the premium. The French coin was, before the late reformation of the English gold coin, much less worn than the English, and was, perhaps, two or three

three per cent. nearer its ftandard. If the computed exchange CHAP. with France, therefore, was not more than two or three per cent. against England, the real exchange might have been in its favour. Since the reformation of the gold coin, the exchange has been constantly in favour of England, and against France.

SECONDLY, in fome countries, the expence of coinage is defrayed by the government, in others, it is defrayed by the private people who carry their bullion to the mint, and the government even derives some revenue from the coinage. In England, it is defrayed by the government, and if you carry a pound weight of standard silver to the mint, you get back fixty-two shillings, containing a pound weight of the like standard silver. In France, a duty of eight per cent. is deducted for the coinage, which not only defrays the expence of it, but affords a small revenue to the government. In England, as the coinage costs nothing, the current coin can never be much more valuable than the quantity of bullion which it actually contains. In France, the workmanship as you pay for it, adds to the value, in the same manner as to that of wrought plate. A fum of French money, therefore, containing a certain weight of pure filver, is more valuable than a Sum of English money containing an equal weight of pure filver, and must require more bullion, or other commodities to purchase it. Though the current coin of the two countries, therefore, were equally near the standards of their respective mints, a sum of English money could not well purchase a sum of French money, containing an equal number of ounces of pure filver, nor confequently a bill upon France for fuch a fum. If for fuch a bill no more additional money was paid than what was fufficient to compensate the expense of the French coinage, the real exchange might be at par between the two countries, their debts and credits might mutually compensate one another, while the computed exchange was confiderably in favour of France.

BOOK If less than this was paid, the real exchange might be in favour of England, while the computed was in favour of France.

THIRDLY, and lastly, in some places, as at Amsterdam, Hamburgh, Venice, &c. foreign bills of exchange are paid in what they call bank money; while in others, as at London, Lisbon, Antwerp, Leghorn, &c. they are paid in the common currency of the country. What is called bank money is always of more value than the fame nominal fum of common currency. A thousand guilders in the bank of Amsterdam, for example, are of more value than a thousand guilders of Amsterdam currency. The difference between them is called the agio of the bank, which, at Amsterdam, is generally about five per cent. Supposing the current money of two countries equally near to the standard of their respective mints, and that the one pays foreign bills in this common currency, while the other pays them in bank money, it is evident that the computed exchange may be in favour of that which pays in bank money, though the real exchange should be in favour of that which pays in current money; for the same reason that the computed exchange may be in favour of that which pays in better money, or in money nearer to its own frandard, though the real exchange should be in favour of that which pays in worfe. The computed exchange, before the late reformation of the gold coin, was generally against London with Amsterdam, Hamburgh, Venice, and, I believe, with all other places which pay in what is called bank money. It will by no means follow, however, that the real exchange was against it. Since the reformation of the gold coin, it has been in favour of London even with those places. The computed exchange has generally been in favour of London with Lifbon, Antwerp, Leghorn, and, if you except France, I believe, with most other parts of Europe that pay in common currency; and it is not improbable that the real exchange was fo too.

Digression concerning Banks of Deposit, particularly concerning that of Amsterdam.

THE currency of a great state, such as France or England, generally consists almost entirely of its own coin. Should this currency, therefore, be at any time worn, clipt, or otherwise degraded below its standard value, the state by a reformation of its coin can effectually re-establish its currency. But the currency of a small state, such as Genoa or Hamburgh, can seldom consist altogether in its own coin, but must be made up, in a great measure, of the coins of all the neighbouring states with which its inhabitants have a continual intercourse. Such a state, therefore, by reforming its coin, will not always be able to reform its currency. If foreign bills of exchange are paid in this currency, the uncertain value of any sum, of what is in its own nature so uncertain, must render the exchange always very much against such a state, its currency being, in all foreign states, necessarily valued even below what it is worth.

In order to remedy the inconvenience to which this disadvantageous exchange must have subjected their merchants, such stands thates, when they began to attend to the interest of trade, have frequently enacted, that foreign bills of exchange of a certain value should be paid, not in common currency, but by an order upon, or by a transfer in the books of a certain bank, established upon the credit, and under the protection of the state; this bank being always obliged to pay, in good and true money, exactly according to the standard of the state. The banks of Venice, Genoa, Amsterdam, Hamburgh, and Nuremberg, seem to have been all originally established with this view, though some of them may have afterwards

BOOK IV. wards been made subservient to other purposes. The money of such banks being better than the common currency of the country, necessarily bore an agio, which was greater or smaller, according as the currency was supposed to be more or less degraded below the standard of the state. The agio of the bank of Hamburgh, for example, which is said to be commonly about sourteen per cent, is the supposed difference between the good standard money of the state and the clipt, worn, and diminished currency poured into it from all the neighbouring states.

Before 1609 the great quantity of clipt and worn foreign coin, which the extensive trade of Amsterdam brought from all parts of Europe, reduced the value of its currency about nine per cent. below that of good money fresh from the mint. Such money no sooner appeared than it was melted down or carried away, as it always is in such circumstances. The merchants, with plenty of currency, could not always find a sufficient quantity of good money to pay their bills of exchange; and the value of those bills, in spite of several regulations which were made to prevent it, became in a great measure uncertain.

In order to remedy these inconveniencies, a bank was established in 1609 under the guarantee of the city. This bank received both foreign coin, and the light and worn coin of the country at its real intrinsic value in the good standard money of the country, deducting only so much as was necessary for defraying the expence of coinage, and the other necessary expence of management. For the value which remained after this small deduction was made, it gave a credit in its books. This credit was called bank money, which, as it represented money exactly according to the standard of the mint, was always of the same real value, and intrinsically worth more than current money. It was at the same time enacted, that

all bills drawn upon or negociated at Amfterdam of the value of CHAP. fix hundred gilders and upwards should be paid in bank money, which at once took away all uncertainty in the value of those bills. Every merchant, in consequence of this regulation, was obliged to keep an account with the bank in order to pay his foreign bills of exchange, which necessarily occasioned a certain demand for bank money.

BANK money, over and above both its intrinsic superiority to currency, and the additional value which this demand necessarily gives it, has likewise some other advantages. It is secure from fire, robbery, and other accidents; the city of Amsterdam is bound for it; it can be paid away by a fimple transfer, without the trouble of counting, or the risk of transporting it from one place to another. In confequence of those different advantages, it feems from the beginning to have borne an agio, and it is generally believed that all the money originally deposited in the bank was allowed to remain there, nobody caring to demand payment of a debt which he could fell for a premium in the market. By demanding payment of the bank, the owner of a bank credit would lofe this premium. As a shilling fresh from the mint will buy no more goods in the market than one of our common worn shillings, so the good and true money which might be brought from the coffers of the bank into those of a private person, being mixed and confounded with the common currency of the country. would be of no more value than that currency, from which it could no longer be readily diftinguished. While it remained in the coffers of the bank, its superiority was known and ascertained. When it had come into those of a private person, its superiority could not well be afcertained without more trouble than perhaps the difference was worth. By being brought from the coffers of the bank, befides, it loft all the other advantages of bank money;

Vol. II. K

BOOK its security, its easy and safe transferability, its use in paying foreign bills of exchange. Over and above all this, it could not be brought from those coffers, as it will appear by and by, without previously paying for the keeping.

> THOSE deposits of coin, or which the bank was bound to restore in coin, constituted the original capital of the bank, or the whole value of what was represented by what is called bank money. At present they are supposed to constitute but a very small part of it. In order to facilitate the trade in bullion, the bank has been for these many years in the practice of giving credit in its books upon deposits of gold and filver bullion. This credit is generally about five per cent. below the mint price of fuch bullion. The bank grants at the same time what is called a recipice or receipt, intitling the person who makes the deposit, or the bearer, to take out the bullion again at any time within fix months, upon re-transferring to the bank a quantity of bank money equal to that for which credit had been given in its books when the deposit was made, and upon paying one-fourth per cent. for the keeping, if the deposit was in filver; and one-half per cent. if it was in gold; but at the same time declaring, that in default of such payment, and upon the expiration of this term, the deposit should belong to the bank at the price at which it had been received, or for which credit had been given in the transfer books. What is thus paid for the keeping of the deposit may be considered as a fort of warehouse rent; and why this warehouse rent should be so much dearer for gold than for filver, feveral different reasons have been affigned. The fineness of gold, it has been faid, is more difficult to be accertained than that of filver. Frauds are more eafily practifed, and occasion a greater loss in the more precious metal. Silver, befides, being the standard metal, the state, it has been

faid, wishes to encourage more the making of deposits of filve, CHAP. than of those of gold.

DEPOSITS of bullion are most commonly made when the price is fomewhat lower than ordinary; and they are taken out again when it happens to rife. In Holland the market price of bullion is generally above the mint price, for the fame reason that it was fo in England before the late reformation of the gold coin. The difference is faid to be commonly from about fix to fixteen flivers upon the mark, or eight ounces of filver of eleven parts fine. and one part alloy. The bank price, or the credit which the bank gives for deposits of such filver (when made in foreign coin. of which the fineness is well known and ascertained, such as Mexico dollars) is twenty-two gilders, the mark; the mint price is about twenty-three gilders; and the market price is from twenty-three gilders fix, to twenty-three gilders fixteen stivers. or from two to three per cent. above the mintprice.* The Proportions between the bank price, the mint price, and the market price of gold bullion, arenearly thesame. A person can generally fell his receipt for the difference between the mint price of bullion and the market price. A receipt for bullion is almost K 2

* The following are the prices at which the bank of Amsterdam at present (September, 1775) receives bullion and coin of different kinds.

> SILVER. Mexico dollars Gilders. French crowns B-22 per mark. English silver coin Mexico dollars new coin - 21 10 Ducatoons - -Rix dollars - - - 2 8

Bar filver containing 14 fine filver 21 per mark, and in this proportion down to 4 fine, on which 5 gilders are given.

Fine bars, 23 per mark.

GOLD

BOOK always worth fomething, and it very feldom happens, therefore, that any body fuffers his receipt to expire, or allows his bullion to fall to the bank at the price at which it had been received, either by not taking it out before the end of the fix months, or by neglecting to pay the one-fourth or one-half per cent. in order to obtain a new receipt for another fix months. This, however, though it happens feldom, is faid to happen fometimes, and more frequently with regard to gold than with regard to filver, on account of the higher warehouse-rent which is paid for the keeping of the more precious metal.

> THE person who by making a deposit of bullion obtains both a bank credit and a receipt, pays his bills of exchange as they become due with his bank credit; and either fells or keeps his receipt according as he judges that the price of bullion is likely to rife or to fall. The receipt and the bank credit feldom keep long together, and there is no occasion that they should. The person who has a receipt, and who wants to take out bullion, finds always plenty of bank credits, or bank money to buy at the ordinary price; and the person who has bank money, and wants to take out bullion, finds receipts always in equal abundance.

> THE owners of bank credits and the holders of receipts conflitute two different forts of creditors against the bank. The holder of a receipt cannot draw out the bullion for which it is

> > GOLD. Portugal coin Guineas Louis d'ors new Ditto old - - - 300 New ducats - - 4 19 8 per ducat.

Bar or ingot gold is received in proportion to its finencis compared with the above foreign gold coin. Upon fine bars the bank gives 340 per mark. In general, however, fomething more is given upon coin of a known fineness, than upon gold and filver bars, of which the fineness cannot be ascertained but by a process of melting and affaying.

granted, without re-affigning to the bank a fum of bank money CHAP. equal to the price at which the bullion had been received. If he has no bank money of his own, he must purchase it of those who have it. The owner of bank money cannot draw out bullion without producing to the bank receipts for the quantity which he wants. If he has none of his own, he must buy them of those who have them. The holder of a receipt, when he purchases bank money, purchases the power of taking out a quantity of bullion, of which the mint price is five per cent. above the bank price. The agio of five per cent, therefore, which he commonly pays for it, is paid, not for an imaginary, but for a real value. The owner of bank money, when he purchases a receipt, purchases the power of taking out a quantity of bullion of which the market price is commonly from two to three per cent. above the mint price. The price which he pays for it, therefore, is paid likewife for a real value. The price of the receipt, and the price of the bank money, compound or make up between them the full value or price of the bullion.

UPON deposits of the coin current in the country, the bank grants receipts likewife as well as bank credits; but those receipts are frequently of no value, and will bring no price in the market. Upon ducatoons, for example, which in the currency pass for three gilders three stivers each, the bank gives a credit of three gilders only, or five per cent. below their current value. It grants a receipt likewise intitling the bearer to take out the number of ducatoons deposited at any time within fix months, upon paying one-fourth per cent, for the keeping. This receipt will frequently bring no price in the market. Three gilders bank money generally fell in the market for three gilders three ftivers, the full value of the decatoons if they were taken out of the bank; and before they can be taken out, one-fourth per cent. must be paid:

BOOK paid for the keeping, which would be mere loss to the holder of the receipt. If the agio of the bank, however, should at any time fall to three per cent, such receipts might bring some price in the market, and might fell for one and three-fourths per cent. But the agio of the bank being now generally about five per cent. fuch receipts are frequently allowed to expire, or as they express it, to fall to the bank. The receipts which are given for deposits of gold ducats fall to it yet more frequently, because a higher warehouse-rent, or one-half per cent. must be paid for the keeping of them before they can be taken out again. The five per cent. which the bank gains, when deposits either of coin or bullion are allowed to fall to it, may be confidered as the warehouse-rent for the perpetual keeping of fuch deposits.

> THE fum of bank money for which the receipts are expired must be very considerable. It must comprehend the whole original capital of the bank, which, it is generally supposed, has been allowed to remain there from the time it was first deposited, nobody caring either to renew his receipt or to take out his deposit. as, for the reasons already assigned, neither the one nor the other could be done without lofs. But whatever may be the amount of this fum, the proportion which it bears to the whole mass of bank money is supposed to be very small. The bank of Amsterdam has for these many years past been the great warehouse of Europe for bullion, for which the receipts are very feldom allowed to expire, or, as they express it, to fall to the bank. The far greater part of the bank money, or of the credits upon the books of the bank, is supposed to have been created, for these many years past, by such deposits which the dealers in bullion are continually both making and withdrawing.

> No demand can be made upon the bank but by means of a recipice or receipt. The smaller mass of bank money, for which the receipts are expired, is mixed and confounded with the much greater

greater mass for which they are still in force; so that, though CHAP. there may be a confiderable fum of bank money, for which there are no receipts, there is no specific sum or portion of it, which may not at any time be demanded by one. The bank cannot be debtor to two persons for the same thing; and the owner of bank money who has no receipt cannot demand payment of the bank till he buys one. In ordinary and quiet times, he can find no difficulty in getting one to buy at the market price, which generally corresponds with the price at which he can fell the coin or bullion it in titles him to take out of the bank.

IT might be otherwise during a public calamity; an invasion, for example, fuch as that of the French in 1672. The owners of bank money being then all eager to draw it out of the bank, in order to have it in their own keeping, the demand for receipts might raife their price to an exorbitant height. The holders of them might form extravagant expectations, and, instead of two or three per cent, demand half the bank money for which credit had been given upon the deposits that the receipts had respectively been granted for. The enemy, informed of the constitution of the bank, might even buy them up in order to prevent the carrying away of the treasure. In such emergencies, the bank, it is fupposed, would break through its ordinary rule of making payment only to the holders of receipts. The holders of receipts, who had no bank money, must have received within two or three per cent, of the value of the deposit for which their respective receipts had been granted. The bank, therefore, it is faid, would in this case make no scruple of paying, either with money or bullion, the full value of what the owners of bank money who could get no receipts, were credited for in its books; paying at the fame time two or three per cent: to fuch holders of receipts as had no bank money, that being the whole value which in this state of things could justly be supposed due to them.

EVEN

BOOK IV.

EVEN in ordinary and quiet times it is the interest of the holders of receipts to depress the agio, in order either to buy. bank money (and consequently the bullion, which their receipts would then enable them to take out of the bank) fo much cheaper, or to fell their receipts to those who have bank money, and who want to take out bullion, fo much dearer; the price of a receipt being generally equal to the difference between the market price of bank money, and that of the coin or bullion for which the receipt had been granted. It is the interest of the owners of bank money, on the contrary, to raife the agio, in order either to fell their bank money fo much dearer, or to buy a receipt fo much cheaper. To prevent the stock jobbing tricks which those opposite interests might sometimes occasion, the bank has of late years come to the resolution to fell at all times bank money for currency, at five per cent. agio, and to buy it in again at four per cent. agio. In consequence of this resolution, the agio can never either rife above five, or fink below four per cent. and the proportion between the market price of bank and that of current money, is kept at all times very near to the proportion between their intrinsic values. Before this resolution was taken, the market price of bank money used sometimes to rise so high as nine per cent. agio, and fometimes to fink fo low as par, according as opposite interests happened to influence the market.

The bank of Amsterdam professes to lend out no part of what is deposited with it, but, for every gilder for which it gives credit in its books, to keep in its repositories the value of a gilder either in money or bullion. That it keeps in its repositories all the money or bullion for which there are receipts in force, for which it is at all times liable to be called upon, and which, in reality, is continually going from it and returning to it again, cannot well be doubted. But whether it does so likewise with regard to that part

of its capital, for which the receipts are long ago expired, for which CHAP. in ordinary and quiet times it cannot be called upon, and which in reality is very likely to remain with it for ever, or as long as the States of the United Provinces fubfift, may perhaps appear more uncertain. At Amsterdam, however, no point of faith is better established than that for every gilder, circulated as bank money, there is a correspondent gilder in gold or filver to be found in the treasure of the bank. The city is guarantee that it should be fo. The bank is under the direction of the four reigning burgomasters, who are changed every year. Each new sett of burgomasters visits the treasure, compares it with the books, receives it upon oath, and delivers it over, with the fame awful folemnity, to the fett which succeeds it; and in that sober and religious country oaths are not yet difregarded. A rotation of this kind feems alone a fufficient fecurity against any practices which cannot be avowed. Amidst all the revolutions which faction has ever occasioned in the government of Amsterdam, the prevailing party has at no time accused their predecessors of infidelity in the administration of the bank. No accufation could have affected more deeply the reputation and fortune of the difgraced party, and if such an accusation could have been supported, we may be assured that it would have been brought. In 1672, when the French king was at Utrecht, the bank of Amsterdam paid so readily as left no doubt of the fidelity with which it had observed its engagements. Some of the pieces which were then brought from its repositories appeared to have been fcorched with the fire which happened in the town-house foon after the bank was established. Those pieces, therefore, must have lain there from that time.

What may be the amount of the treasure in the bank is a question which has long employed the speculations of the curious. Nothing but conjecture can be offered concerning it. It is generally reckoned that there are about two thousand people who keep Vol. II.

BOOK accounts with the bank, and allowing them to have, one with another, the value of fifteen hundred pounds fterling lying upon their respective accounts, (a very large allowance) the whole quantity of bank money, and confequently of treasure in the bank, will amount to about three millions sterling, or, at eleven gilders the pound sterling, thirty-three millions of gilders; a great fum, and fufficient to carry on a very extensive circulation, but vastly below the extravagant ideas which some people have formed of this treasure.

> THE city of Amsterdam derives a considerable revenue from the bank. Befides what may be called the warehouse-rent above-mentioned, each person, upon first opening an account with the bank. pays a fee of ten gilders; and for every new account three gilders three stivers; for every transfer two stivers; and if the transfer is for less than three hundred gilders, fix stivers, in order to discourage the multiplicity of fmall transactions. The person who neglects to balance his account twice in the year forfeits twenty-five gilders. The person who orders a transfer for more than is upon his account, is obliged to pay three per cent. for the fum overdrawn, and his order is fet afide into the bargain. The bank is fupposed too to make a confiderable profit by the fale of the foreign coin or bullion which fometimes falls to it by the expiring of receipts, and which is always kept till it can be fold with advantage. It makes a profit likewise by selling bank money at five per cent. agio, and buying it in at four. These different emoluments amount to a good deal more than what is necessary for paying the falaries of officers, and defraying the expence of management. What is paid for the keeping of bullion upon receipts, is alone fupposed to amount to a neat annual revenue of between one hundred and fifty thousand and two hundred thousand gilders. Public utility, however, and not revenue, was the original object of this institution. Its object was to relieve the merchants from the inconvenience of a difadvantageous exchange. The revenue which has ari-

fen from it was unforeseen, and may be considered as accidental. But it is now time to return from this long digression, into which I have been infentibly led in endeavouring to explain the reasons why the exchange between the countries which pay in what is called bank money, and those which pay in common currency, should generally appear to be in favour of the former, and against the latter. The former pay in a species of money of which the intrinfic value is always the fame, and exactly agreeable to the standard of their respective mints; the latter in a species of money of which the intrinsic value is continually varying, and is almost always more or less below that standard. But though the computed exchange must generally be in favour of the former, the real exchange may frequently be in favour of the latter.

[End of the Digression concerning Banks of Deposit.]

THOUGH the computed exchange between any two places were in every respect the same with the real, it would not always follow that what is called the balance of trade was in favour of that place which had the ordinary course of exchange in its favour. The ordinary course of exchange might, indeed, in this case, be a tolerable indication of the ordinary state of debt and credit between them, and show which of the two countries usually had occasion to fend out money to the other. But the ordinary state of debt and credit between any two places is not always entirely regulated by the ordinary course of their dealings with one another, but is influenced by that of the dealings of both with many other countries. If it was usual, for example, for the merchants of England to pay the goods which they buy from Hamburgh, Dantzick, Riga, &c. by bills upon Holland, the ordinary state of debt and credit between England and Holland would not be entirely regulated by the ordinary course of the dealings of those two countries with one another, but would be influenced by that of England with those other places. England might, in this case, be annually obliged to fend out money to Holland, though its annual exports L 2

BOOK IV.

exports to that country exceeded the annual value of its imports from it, and though what is called the balance of trade was very much in favour of England.

HITHERTO I have been endeavouring to fhew, even upon the principles of the commercial fystem, how unnecessary it is to lay extraordinary restraints upon the importation of goods from those countries with which the balance of trade is supposed to be disadvantageous.

Nothing, however, can be more abfurd than this whole doctrine of the balance of trade, upon which, not only these restraints, but almost all the other regulations of commerce are founded. When two places trade with one another, this doctrine supposes that, if the balance be even, neither of them either loses or gains; but if it leans in any degree to one side, that one of them loses, and the other gains in proportion to its declension from the exact equilibrium. Both suppositions are salse. A trade which is forced by means of bounties and monopolies, may be, and commonly is disadvantageous to the country in whose savour it is meant to be established, as I shall endeavour to shew hereafter. But that trade which, without force or constraint, is naturally and regularly carried on between any two places, is always advantageous, though not always equally so, to both.

By advantage or gain, I understand, not the increase of the quantity of gold and silver, but that of the exchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, or the increase of the annual revenue of its inhabitants.

If the balance be even, and if the trade between the two places confift altogether in the exchange of their native commodities, they will, upon most occasions, not only both gain, but they will gain equally, or very near equally: each will in this case afford a market for a part of the surplus produce of the other: each will replace

replace a capital which had been employed in raifing this part of CHAP. the furplus produce of the other, and which had been distributed among, and given revenue and maintenance to a certain number of its inhabitants. Some part of the inhabitants of each therefore will indirectly derive their revenue and maintenance from the other. As the commodities exchanged too are supposed to be of equal value, fo the two capitals employed in the trade will, upon most occasions, be equal, or very nearly equal; and both being employed in raifing the native commodities of the two countries. the revenue and maintenance which their distribution will afford to the inhabitants of each will be equal, or very nearly equal. This revenue and maintenance, thus mutually afforded, will be greater or smaller in proportion to the extent of their dealings. If these should annually amount to an hundred thousand pounds, for example, or to a million on each fide, each of them would afford an annual revenue, in the one case, of an hundred thousand pounds, in the other, of a million, to the inhabitants of the other.

Ir their trade should be of such a nature that one of them exported to the other nothing but native commodities, while the returns of that other consisted altogether in foreign goods; the balance, in this case, would still be supposed even, commodities being paid for with commodities. They would, in this case too, both gain, but they would not gain equally; and the inhabitants of the country which exported nothing but native commodities would derive the greatest revenue from the trade. If England, for example, should import from France nothing but the native commodities of that country, and, not having such commodities of its own as were in demand there, should annually repay them by sending thither a large quantity of foreign goods, tobacco, we shall suppose, and East India goods; this trade, though it would give some revenue to the inhabitants of both countries, would give

BOOK more to those of France than to those of England. The whole French capital annually employed in it would annually be diftributed among the people of France. But that part of the English capital only which was employed in producing the English commodities with which those foreign goods were purchased, would be annually distributed among the people of England. The greater part of it would replace the capitals which had been employed in Virginia, Indostan, and China, and which had given revenue and maintenance to the inhabitants of those distant countries. If the capitals were equal, or nearly equal, therefore, this employment of the French capital would augment much more the revenue of the people of France, than that of the English capital would the revenue of the people of England. France would in this case carry on a direct foreign trade of confumption with England; whereas England would carry on a round-about trade of the fame kind with France. The different effects of a capital employed in the direct, and of one employed in the round-about foreign trade of confumption, have already been fully explained.

> THERE is not, probably, between any two countries, a trade which confifts altogether in the exchange either of native commodities on both fides, or of native commodities on one fide and of foreign goods on the other. Almost all countries exchange with one another partly native and partly foreign goods. That country, however, in whose cargoes there is the greatest proportion of native, and the least of foreign goods, will always be the principal gainer. If it was not with tobacco and East India goods, but with gold and filver, that England paid for the commodities annually imported from France, the balance, in this case, would be supposed uneven, commodities not being paid for with commodities, but with gold and filver. The trade, however, would, in this case, as in the foregoing, give some revenue to the inhabitants

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

of both countries, but more to those of France than to those of CHAP. England. It would give fome revenue to those of England. The capital which had been employed in producing the English goods that purchased this gold and silver, the capital which had been distributed among, and given revenue to certain inhabitants of England, would thereby be replaced, and enabled to continue that employment. The whole capital of England would no more be diminished by this exportation of gold and filver, than by the exportation of an equal value of any other goods. On the contrary, it would, in most cases, be augmented. No goods are fent abroad but those for which the demand is supposed to be greater abroad than at home, and of which the returns confequently, it is expected, will be of more value at home than the commodities exported. If the tobacco which, in England, is worth only a hundred thousand pounds, when sent to France will purchase wine which is, in England, worth a hundred and ten thousand pounds, the exchange will augment the capital of England by ten thousand pounds. If a hundred thousand pounds of English gold, in the fame manner, purchase French wine which, in England, is worth a hundred and ten thousand, this exchange will equally augment the capital of England by ten thousand pounds. As a merchant who has a hundred and ten thousand pounds worth of wine in his cellar, is a richer man than he who has only a hundred thousand pounds worth of tobacco in his warehouse, so is he likewise a richer man than he who has only a hundred thousand pounds worth of gold in his coffers. He can put into motion a greater quantity of industry, and give revenue, maintenance, and employment, to a greater number of people than either of the other two. But the capital of the country is equal to the capitals of all its different inhabitants, and the quantity of industry which can be annually maintained in it, is equal to what all those different capitals can maintain. Both the capital of the country, therefore,

BOOK and the quantity of industry which can be annually maintained in it, must generally be augmented by this exchange. It would, indeed, be more advantageous for England that it could purchase the wines of France with its own hardware and broad-cloth, than with either the tobacco of Virginia, or the gold and filver of Brazil and Peru. A direct foreign trade of confumption is always more advantageous than a round-about one. But a round-about foreign trade of confumption which is carried on with gold and filver, does not feem to be less advantageous than any other equally roundabout one. Neither is a country which has no mines more likely to be exhausted of gold and filver by this annual exportation of those metals, than one which does not grow tobacco by the like annual exportation of that plant. As a country which has wherewithal to buy tobacco will never be long in want of it, so neither will one be long in want of gold and filver which has wherewithal to purchase those metals.

> IT is a losing trade, it is faid, which a workman carries on with the alehouse; and the trade which a manufacturing nation would naturally carry on with a wine country, may be confidered as a trade of the same nature. I answer, that the trade with the alehouse is not necessarily a losing trade. In its own nature it is iust as advantageous as any other, though, perhaps, fomewhat more liable to be abused. The employment of a brewer, and even that of a retailer of fermented liquors, are as necessary divisions of labour as any other. It will generally be more advantageous for a workman to buy of the brewer the quantity he has occasion for than to make it himself, and if he is a poor workman, it will generally be more advantageous for him to buy it by little and little of the retailer, than a large quantity of the brewer. He may no doubt buy too much of either, as he may of any other dealers in his neighbourhood, of the butcher, if he is a glutton, or of the draper, if he affects to be a beau among his companions. It is advan-

advantageous to the great body of workmen notwithstanding, that CHAP. all these trades should be free, though this freedom may be abused in all of them, and is more likely to be fo, perhaps, in some than in others. Though individuals, besides, may sometimes ruin their fortunes by an excessive confumption of fermented liquors, there feems to be no risk that a nation should do so. Though in every country there are many people who fpend upon fuch liquors more than they can afford, there are always many more who fpend less. It deserves to be remarked too that, if we consult experience, the cheapness of wine seems to be a cause, not of drunkenness, but of fobriety. The inhabitants of the wine countries are in general the soberest people in Europe; witness the Spaniards, the Italians, and the inhabitants of the fouthern provinces of France. People are feldom guilty of excess in what is their daily fare. Nobody affects the character of liberality and good fellowship, by being profuse of a liquor which is as cheap as small beer. On the contrary, in the countries which from either excessive heat or cold produce no grapes, and where wine confequently is dear and a rarity, drunkenness is a common vice, as among the northern nations, and all those who live between the tropicks, the negroes. for example, on the coast of Guinea. When a French regiment comes from some of the northern provinces of France, where wine is fomewhat dear, to be quartered in the fouthern, where it is very cheap, the foldiers, I have frequently heard it observed, are at first debauched by the cheapness and novelty of good wine; but after a few months refidence, the greater part of them become as fober as the rest of the inhabitants. Were the duties upon foreign wines, and the excises upon malt, beer, and ale, to be taken away all at once, it might, in the fame manner, occasion in Great Britain a pretty general and temporary drunkenness among the middling and inferior ranks of people, which would probably be foon followed by a permanent and almost universal fobriety. Vol. II.

BOOK fobriety. At present drunkenness is by no means the vice of people of fashion, or of those who can easily afford the most expenfive liquors. A gentleman drunk with ale, has fearce ever been feen among us. The restraints upon the wine trade in Great Britain besides, do not so much seem calculated to hinder the people from going, if I may fay fo, to the alehouse, as from going where they can buy the best and cheapest liquor. They favour the wine trade of Portugal, and discourage that of France. The Portuguese, it is said, indeed, are better customers for our manufactures than the French, and should therefore be encouraged in preference to them. As they give us their custom, it is pretended, we should give them our's. The sneaking arts of underling tradesmen are thus erected into political maxims for the conduct of a great empire: for it is the most underling tradesmen only who make it a rule to employ chiefly their own customers. A great trader purchases his goods always where they are cheapest and best, without regard to any little interest of this kind.

> By fuch maxims as these; however, nations have been taught that their interest confisted in beggaring all their neighbours. Each nation has been made to look with an invidious eye upon the prosperity of all the nations with which it trades, and to confider their gain as its own lofs. Commerce, which ought naturally to be, among nations, as among individuals, a bond of union and friendship, has become the most fertile source of discord and animofity. The capricious ambition of kings and ministers has not, during the prefent and the preceeding century, been morefatal to the repose of Europe than the impertinent jealousy of merchants and manufacturers. The violence and injustice of the rulers of mankind is an ancient evil, for which, I am afraid the nature of human affairs can fcarce admit of a remedy. But the mean rapacity, the monopolizing spirit of merchants and manufacturers.

facturers, who neither are, nor ought to be the rulers of mankind, though it cannot perhaps be corrected, may very eafily be prevented from disturbing the tranquillity of any body but themselves.

THAT it was the spirit of monopoly which originally both invented and propagated this doctrine, cannot be doubted; and they who first taught it were by no means fuch fools as they who believed it. In every country it always is and must be the interest of the great body of the people to buy whatever they want of those who fell it cheapest. The proposition is so very manifest, that it feems ridiculous to take any pains to prove it; nor could it ever have been called in question had not the interested sophistry of merchants and manufacturers confounded the common fense of mankind. Their interest is, in this respect, directly opposite to that of the great body of the people. As it is the interest of the freemen of a corporation to hinder the rest of the inhabitants from employing any workmen but themselves, so it is the interest of the merchants and manufacturers of every country to fecure to themselves the monopoly of the home market. Hence in Great Britain and in most other European countries the extraordinary duties upon almost all goods imported by alien merchants. Hence the high duties and prohibitions upon all those foreign manufactures which can come into competition with our own. Hence too the extraordinary reftraints upon the importation of almost all forts of goods from those countries with which the balance of trade is supposed to be disadvantageous; that is, from those against whom national animosity happens to be most violently inflamed.

THE wealth of a neighbouring nation, however, though dangerous in war and politicks, is certainly advantageous in trade. In a ftate М 2

BOOK state of hostility it may enable our enemies to maintain fleets and armies superior to our own; but in a state of peace and commerce it must likewise enable them to exchange with us to a greater value, and to afford a better market, either for the immediate produce of our own industry, or for whatever is purchased with that produce. As a rich man is likely to be a better customer to the industrious people in his neighbourhood, than a poor, so is likewise a rich nation. A rich man, indeed, who is himself a manufacturer, is a very dangerous neighbour to all those who deal in the fame way. All the rest of the neighbourhood, however, by far the greatest number, profit by the good market which his expence affords them. They even profit by his underfelling the poorer workmen who deal in the same way with him. The manufacturers of a rich nation, in the same manner, may no doubt be very dangerous rivals to those of their neighbours. This very competition, however, is advantageous to the great body of the people, who profit greatly besides by the good market which the great expence of fuch a nation affords them in every other way. Private people who want to make a fortune, never think of retiring to the remote and poor provinces of the country, but refort either to the capital or to some of the great commercial towns. They know, that where little wealth circulates there is little to be got, but that where a great deal is in motion, some share of it may fall to them. The fame maxims which would in this manner direct the common fense of one, or ten, or twenty individuals, should regulate the judgement of one, or ten, or twenty millions, and should make a whole nation regard the riches of its neighbours, as a probable cause and occasion for itself to acquire riches. A nation that would enrich itself by foreign trade iscertainly most likely to do so when its neighbours are all rich, industrious, and commercial nations. A great nation surrounded on all fides by wandering favages and poor barbarians might, no doubt, acquire riches by the cultivation of its own lands, and by its own interior commerce, but not by foreign trade. It feems to have been in this manner that the ancient Egyptians and the modern Chinese acquired their great wealth. The ancient Egyptians, it is said, neglected foreign commerce, and the modern Chinese, it is known, hold it in the utmost contempt, and scarce deign to afford it the decent protection of the laws. The modern maxims of foreign commerce, by aiming at the impoverishment of all our neighbours, so far as they are capable of producing their intended effect, tend to render that very commerce infignificant and contemptible.

. THERE is no commercial country in Europe of which the approaching ruin has not frequently been foretold by the pretended doctors of this fystem, from an unfavourable balance of trade. After all the anxiety however which they have excited about this, after all the vain attempts of almost all trading nations to turn that balance in their own favour and against their neighbours, it does not appear that any one nation in Europe has been in any respect impoverished by this cause. Every town and country, on the contrary, in proportion as they have opened their ports to all nations; instead of being ruined by this free trade, as the principles of the commercial fystem would lead us to expect, have been enriched by it. Though there are in Europe, indeed, a few towns which in some respects deserve the name of free ports, there is no country which does fo. Holland, perhaps, approaches the nearest to this character of any, though still very remote from it; and Holland, it is acknowledged, not only derives its whole wealth, but a great part of its necessary subsistence, from foreign trade.

THERE

THERE is another balance indeed, which has already been explained. very different from the balance of trade, and which, according as it happens to be either favourable or unfavourable, necessarily occasions the prosperity or decay of every nation. This is the balance of the annual produce and confumption. If the exchangeable value of the annual produce, it has already been observed, exceeds that of the annual consumption, the capital of the fociety must annually increase in proportion to this excess. The fociety in this case lives within its revenue, and what is annually faved out of its revenue, is naturally added to its capital, and employed fo as to increase still further the annual produce. If the exchangeable value of the annual produce, on the contrary, fall short of the annual consumption, the capital of the fociety must annually decay in proportion to this deficiency. The expence of the fociety in this case exceeds its revenue, and necessarily encroaches upon its capital. Its capital, therefore, must necessarily decay, and together with it, the exchangeable value of the annual produce of its industry.

> This balance of produce and confumption is entirely different from, what is called, the balance of trade. It might take place in a nation which had no foreign trade, but which was entirely feparated from all the world. It may take place in the whole globe of the earth, of which the wealth, population, and improvement may be either gradually increasing or gradually decaying.

> THE balance of produce and confumption may be constantly in favour of a nation, though what is called the balance of trade be generally against it. A nation may import to a greater value than it exports for half a century, perhaps, together; the gold and filver which comes into it during all this time may be all immediately fent out of it; its circulating coin may gradually decay, different

different forts of paper money being substituted in its place, and even the debts too which it contracts in the principal nations with whom it deals may be gradually increasing; and yet its real wealth, the exchangeable value of the annual produce of its lands and labour may, during the same period, have been increasing in a much greater proportion. The state of our North American colonies, and the trade which they carried on with Great Britain, before the commencement of the late disturbances, may serve as a proof that this is by no means an impossible supposition.

CHAP. IV.

Of Drawbacks.

TERCHANTS and manufacturers are not contented with IVI the monopoly of the home market, but defire likewise the most extensive foreign sale for their goods. Their country has no jurisdiction in foreign nations, and therefore can seldom procure them any monopoly there. They are generally obliged therefore to content themselves with petitioning for certain encouragements to exportation.

Or these encouragements what are called Drawbacks seem to be the most reasonable. To allow the merchant to draw back upon exportation, either the whole or a part of whatever excise or inland duty is imposed upon domestick industry, can never occasion the exportation of a greater quantity of goods than what would have been exported had no duty been imposed. Such encouragements do not tend to turn towards any particular employment a greater share of the capital of the country, than what would go BOOK to it of its own accord, but only to hinder the duty from driving away any part of that share to other employments. They tend not to overturn that balance which naturally establishes itself among all the various employments of the fociety; but to hinder it from being overturned by the duty. They tend not to destroy but to preserve, what it is in most cases advantageous to preferve, the natural division and distribution of labour in the fociety.

> THE same thing may be said of the drawacks upon the re-exportation of foreign goods imported; which in Great Britain generally amount to by much the largest part of the duty upon importation. Half the duties imposed by what is called the old subsidy, are drawn back univerfally, except upon goods exported to the British plantations; and frequently the whole, almost always a part of those imposed by later subsidies and imposts. Drawbacks were, perhaps, originally granted for the encouragement of the carrying trade, which, as the freight of the ships is frequently paid by foreigners in money, was supposed to be peculiarly fitted for bringing gold and filver into the country. But though the carrying trade certainly deserves no peculiar encouragement, though the motive of the institution was, perhaps, abundantly foolish, the institution itself seems reasonable enough. Such drawbacks cannot force into this trade a greater share of the capital of the country than what would have gone to it of its own accord, had there been no duties upon importation. They only prevent its being excluded altogether by those duties. The carrying trade, though it deserves no preference, ought not to be precluded, but to be left free like all other trades. It is a necessary resource for those capitals which cannot find employment either in the agriculture or in the manufactures of the country, either in its home trade or in its foreign trade of confumption.

THE revenue of the customs, instead of suffering, profits from CHAP. fuch drawbacks, by that part of the duty which is retained. If the whole duties had been retained, the foreign goods upon which they are paid, could feldom have been exported, nor consequently imported, for want of a market. The duties. therefore, of which a part is retained, would never have been paid.

THESE reasons seem sufficiently to justify drawbacks, and would justify them, though the whole duties, whether upon the produce of domestick industry, or upon foreign goods, were always drawn back upon exportation. The revenue of excise would in this case, indeed, suffer a little, and that of the customs a good deal more; but the natural balance of industry, the natural division and distribution of labour, which is always more or less diffurbed by fuch duties, would be more nearly re-established by fuch a regulation.

THESE reasons, however, will justify drawbacks only upon exporting goods to those countries which are altogether foreign and independent, not to those in which our merchants and manufacturers enjoy a monopoly. A drawback, for example, upon the exportation of European goods to our American colonies, will not always occasion a greater exportation than what would have taken place without it. By means of the monopoly which our merchants and manufacturers enjoy there, the same quantity might frequently, perhaps, be fent thither, though the whole duties were retained. The drawback, therefore, may frequently be pure loss to the revenue of excise and customs, without altering the state of the trade, or rendering it in any respect more extenfive. How far fuch drawbacks can be justified, as a proper encouragement to the industry of our colonies, or how far it is advantageous Vol. II.

THE

BOOK advantageous to the mother country, that they should be exempted from taxes which are paid by all the rest of their fellow subjects, will appear hereafter when I come to treat of colonies.

> DRAWBACKS however, it must always be understood, are useful only in those cases in which the goods for the exportation of which they are given, are really exported to some foreign country; and not clandestinely reimported into our own. That some drawbacks, particularly those upon tobacco, have frequently been abused in this manner, and have given occasion to many frauds equally hurtful both to the revenue and to the fair trader, is well known.

CHAP. V.

Of Bounties.

OUNTIES upon exportation are, in Great Britain, frepuently petitioned for, and fometimes granted to the produce of particular branches of domestick industry. By means of them our merchants and manufacturers, it is pretended, will be enabled to fell their goods as cheap or cheaper than their rivals in the foreign market. A greater quantity, it is faid, will thus be exported, and the balance of trade confequently turned more in favour of our own country. We cannot give our workmen a monopoly in the foreign, as we have done in the home market. We cannot force foreigners to buy their goods, as we have done our own countrymen. The next best expedient, it has been thought, therefore, is to pay them for buying. It is in this manner that the mercantile fystem proposes to enrich the whole country, and to put money into all our pockets by means of the balance of trade.

BOUNTIES,

Bounties, it is allowed, ought to be given to those branches of CHAP. trade only which cannot be carried on without them. But every branch of trade in which the merchant can fell his goods for a price which replaces to him, with the ordinary profits of flock, the whole capital employed in preparing and fending them to market, can be carried on without a bounty. Every fuch branch is evidently upon a level with all the other branches of trade which are carried on without bounties, and cannot therefore require one more than they. Those trades only require bounties in which the merchant is obliged to fell his goods for a price which does not replace to him his capital, together with the ordinary profit; or in which he is obliged to fell them for less than it really costs him to fend them to market. The bounty is given in order to make up this loss, and to encourage him to continue, or perhaps to begin, a trade of which the expence is supposed to be greater than the returns, of which every operation eats up a part of the capital employed in it, and which is of fuch a nature, that, if all other trades resembled it, there would soon be no capital left in the country.

THE trades, it is to be observed, which are carried on by means of bounties, are the only ones which can be carried on between two nations for any confiderable time together, in fuch a manner as that one of them shall always and regularly lose, or sell its goods for less than it really costs to fend them to market. But if the bounty did not repay to the merchant what he would otherwise lose upon the price of his goods, his own interest would soon oblige him to employ his stock in another way, or to find out a trade in which the price of the goods would replace to him, with the ordinary profit, the capital employed in fending them to market. The effect of bounties, like that of all the other expedients of the mercantile fystem, can only be to force the trade of a country into a

channel

BOOK channel much less advantageous than that in which it would naturally run of its own accord.

THE ingenious and well informed author of the tracts upon the corn trade has shown very clearly, that fince the bounty upon the exportation of corn was first established, the price of the corn exported, valued moderately enough, has exceeded that of the cornimported, valued very high, by a much greater fum than the amount of the whole bounties which have been paid during that period. This, he imagines, upon the true principles of the mercantile fystem, is a clear proof that this forced corn trade is beneficial to the nation; the value of the exportation exceeding that of the importation by a much greater fum than the whole extraordinary expence which the publick has been at in order to get it: exported. He does not confider that this extraordinary expence, or the bounty, is the fmallest part of the expence which the exportation of corn really costs the society. The capital which the farmer employed in raising it must likewise be taken into the account. Unless the price of the corn when fold in the foreign markets replaces, not only the bounty, but this capital, together with the ordinary profits of stock, the society is a loser by the difference, or the national stock is so much diminished. But the very reason for which it has been thought necessary to grant a bounty is the supposed insufficiency of the price to do this.

THE average price of corn, it has been faid, has fallen confiderably fince the establishment of the bounty. That the average price of corn began to fall somewhat towards the end of the last century, and has continued to do so during the course of the fixty-four first years of the present, I have already endeavoured to show. But this event, supposing it to be as real as I believe it to be, must have happened in spite of the bounty, and cannot possibly have happened in consequence of it.

In years of plenty, it has already been observed, the bounty, by occasioning an extraordinary exportation, necessarily keeps up the price of corn in the home market above what it would naturally fall to. To do so was the avowed purpose of the institution. In years of scarcity, though the bounty is frequently suspended, yet the great exportation which it occasions in years of plenty, must frequently hinder more or less the plenty of one year from relieving the scarcity of another. Both in years of plenty, and in years of scarcity, therefore, the bounty necessarily tends to raise the money price of corn somewhat higher than it otherwise would be in the home market.

THAT, in the actual state of tillage, the bounty must necessarily have this tendency, will not, I apprehend, be disputed by any reafonable person. But it has been thought by many people, that by securing to the farmer a better price than he could otherwise expect in the actual state of tillage, it tends to encourage tillage; and that the consequent increase of corn may, in a long period of years, lower its price more than the bounty can raise it in the actual state which tillage may, at the end of that period, happen to be in.

I ANSWER, that this might be the case if the effect of the bounty was to raise the real price of corn, or to enable the farmer with an equal quantity of it to maintain a greater number of labourers in the same manner, whether liberal, moderate, or scanty, that other labourers are commonly maintained in his neighbourhood. But neither the bounty, it is evident, nor any other human institution, can have any such effect. It is not the real, but the nominal price of corn only, which can be at all affected by the bounty.

THE real effect of the bounty is not formuch to raife the real value of corn, as to degrade the real value of filver; or to make an equal quantity of it exchange for a smaller quantity, not only of

corn.

93

corn, but of all other commodities: for the money price of corn regulates that of all other commodities.

Ir regulates the money price of labour, which must always be fuch as to enable the labourer to purchase a quantity of corn sufficient to maintain him and his family either in the liberal, moderate, or scanty manner in which the advancing, stationary, or declining circumstances of the society oblige his employers to maintain him.

IT regulates the money price of all the other parts of the rude produce of land, which, in every period of improvement, must bear a certain proportion to that of corn, though this proportion is different in different periods. It regulates, for example, the money price of grass and hay, of butcher's meat, of horses, and the maintenance of horses, of land carriage consequently, or of the greater part of the inland commerce of the country.

By regulating the money price of all the other parts of the rude produce of land, it regulates that of the materials of all manufactures. By regulating the money price of labour, it regulates that of manufacturing art and industry. And by regulating both, it regulates that of the compleat manufacture. The money price of labour, and of every thing that is the produce either of land or labour, must necessarily either rise or fall in proportion to the money price of corn.

THOUGH in consequence of the bounty, therefore, the farmer should be enabled to sell his corn for four shillings the bushel instead of three and fixpence, and to pay his landlord a money rent proportionable to this rife in the money price of his produce; yet, if in confequence of this rife in the price of corn, four shillings will purchase no more goods of any other kind than three and fixpence would have done before, neither the circumstances of the CHAP. farmer, nor those of the landlord, will be in the smallest degree mended by this change. The farmer will not be able to cultivate better: the landlord will not be able to live better.

THAT degradation in the value of filver which is the effect of the fertility of the mines, and which operates equally, or very near equally, through the greater part of the commercial world, is a matter of very little consequence to any particular country. The consequent rife of all money prices, though it does not make those who receive them really richer, does not make them really poorer. A fervice of plate becomes really cheaper, and every thing elfe remains precifely of the fame real value as before.

But that degradation in the value of filver which, being the effect either of the peculiar fituation, or of the political institutions of a particular country, takes place only in that country, is a matter of very great consequence, which, far from tending to make any body really richer, tends to make every body really poorer. The rife in the money price of all commodities, which is in this case peculiar to that country, tends to discourage more or less every fort of industry which is carried on within it, and to enable foreign nations, by furnishing almost all forts of goods for a fmaller quantity of filver than its own workmen can afford to do, to underfell them, not only in the foreign, but even in the home market.

IT is the peculiar fituation of Spain and Portugal, as proprietors of the mines, to be the distributors of gold and filver to all the other countries of Europe. Those metals ought naturally, therefore, to be somewhat cheaper in Spain and Portugal than in any other part of Europe. The difference, however, should be no

BOOK more than the amount of the freight and infurance; and, on account of the great value and small bulk of those metals, their freight is no great matter, and their infurance is the same as that of any other goods of equal value. Spain and Portugal, therefore, could fuffer very little from their peculiar fituation, if they did not aggravate its disadvantages by their political institutions.

> SPAIN by taxing, and Portugal by prohibiting the exportation of gold and filver, load that exportation with the expence of fmuggling, and raise the value of those metals in other countries so much more above what it is in their own, by the whole amount of this expence. When you dam up a stream of water, as soon as the dam is full, as much water must run over the dam-head as if there was no dam at all. The prohibition of exportation cannot detain a greater quantity of gold and filver in Spain and Portugal than what they can afford to employ, than what the annual produce of their land and labour will allow them to employ, in coin, plate, gilding, and other ornaments of gold and filver. When they have got this quantity the dam is full, and the whole stream which flows in afterwards must run over. The annual exportation of gold and filver from Spain and Portugal accordingly is, by all accounts, notwithstanding these restraints, very near equal to the whole annual importation. As the water, however, must always be deeper behind the dam-head than before it, fo the quantity of gold and filver which these restraints detain in Spain and Portugal must, in proportion to the annual produce of their land and labour, be greater that what is to be found in other countries. The higher and ftronger the dam-head, the greater must be the difference in the depth of water behind and before it. The higher the tax, the higher the penalties with which the prohibition is guarded, the more vigilant and fevere the police which looks after the execution of the law, the greater must be the difference in the propor-

proportion of gold and filver to the annual produce of the land CHAP. and labour of Spain and Portugal, and to that of other countries. It is faid accordingly to be very confiderable, and that you frequently find there a profusion of plate in houses, where there is nothing else which would, in other countries, be thought suitable or correspondent to this fort of magnificence. The cheapness of gold and filver, or, what is the fame thing, the dearness of all commodities, which is the necessary effect of this redundancy of the precious metals, discourages both the agriculture and manufactures of Spain and Portugal, and enables foreign nations to fupply them with many forts of rude, and with almost all forts of manufactured produce, for a fmaller quantity of gold and filver than what they themselves can either raise or make them for at home. The tax and prohibition operate in two different ways. They not only lower very much the value of the precious metals in Spain and Portugal, but by detaining there a certain quantity of those metals which would otherwife flow over other countries, they keep up their value in those other countries somewhat above what it otherwise would be, and thereby give those countries a double advantage in their commerce with Spain and Portugal. Open the floodgates and there will prefently be less water above, and more below, the dam-head, and it will foon come to a level in both places. Remove the tax and the prohibition, and as the quantity of gold and filver will diminish considerably in Spain and Portugal, so it will increase fomewhat in other countries, and the value of those metals, their proportion to the annual produce of land and labour, will foon come to a level, or very near to a level, in all. The lofs which Spain and Portugal could fustain by this exportation of their gold and filver would be altogether nominal and imaginary. The nominal value of their goods, and of the annual produce of their land and labour would fall, and would be expressed or represented by a fmaller quantity of filver than before; but their real value would Vol. II.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

BOOK be the same as before, and would be sufficient to maintain, command, and employ, the fame quantity of labour. As the nominal value of their goods would fall, the real value of what remained of their gold and filver would rife, and a fmaller quantity of those metals would answer all the same purposes of commerce and circulation which had employed a greater quantity before. The gold and filver which would go abroad would not go abroad for nothing, but would bring back an equal value of goods of fome kind or another. Those goods too would not be all matters of mere luxury and expence, to be confumed by idle people who produce nothing in return for their confumption. As the real wealth and revenue of idle people would not be augmented by this extraordinary exportation of gold and filver, fo neither would their confumption be much augmented by it. Those goods would, probably, the greater part of them, and certainly fome part of them. confift in materials, tools, and provisions, for the employment and maintenance of industrious people, who would reproduce, with a profit, the full value of their confumption. A part of the dead stock of the society would thus be turned into active stock, and would put into motion a greater quantity of industry than had been employed before. The annual produce of their land and labour would immediately be augmented a little, and in a few years would. probably, be augmented a great deal; their industry being thus relieved from one of the most oppressive burdens which it at prefent labours under.

The bounty upon the exportation of corn necessarily operates exactly in the same way as this absurd policy of Spain and Portugal. Whatever be the actual state of tillage, it renders our corn somewhat dearer in the home market than it otherwise would be in that state, and somewhat cheaper in the foreign; and as the average money price of corn regulates more or less that of all

all other commodities, it lowers the value of filver confiderably in the one, and tends to raise it a little in the other. It enables foreigners, the Dutch in particular, not only to eat our corn cheaper than they otherwise could do, but sometimes to eat it cheaper than even our own people can do upon the same occasions; as we are affured by an excellent authority, that of Sir Mathew Decker. It hinders our own workmen from furnishing their goods for so small a quantity of filver as they otherwise might do; and enables the Dutch to furnish their's for a smaller. It tends to render our manufactures somewhat dearer in every market, and their's somewhat cheaper than they otherwise would be, and consequently to give their industry a double advantage over our own.

THE bounty, as it raises in the home market, not the real, but only the nominal price of our corn, as it augments, not the quantity of labour which a certain quantity of corn can maintain and employ, but only the quantity of filver which it will exchange for, it discourages our manufactures without rendering the smallest real service either to our farmers or country gentlemen. It puts, indeed, a little more money into the pockets of both, and it will perhaps be somewhat difficult to persuade the greater part of them that this is not rendering them a very real service. But if this money sinks in its value, in the quantity of labour, provisions, and commodities of all different kinds which it is capable of purchasing, as much as it rises in its quantity, the service will be merely nominal and imaginary.

THERE is, perhaps, but one fet of men in the whole commonwealth to whom the bounty either was or could be really ferviceable. These were the corn merchants, the exporters and importers of corn. In years of plenty the bounty necessarily occasioned

BOOK occasioned a greater exportation than would otherwise have taken place; and by hindering the plenty of one year from relieving the fcarcity of another, it occasioned in years of scarcity a greater importation than would otherwise have been necessary. It increased the business of the corn merchant in both, and in years. of scarcity it not only enabled him to import a greater quantity, but to fell it for a better price, and confequently with a greater profit than he could otherwise have made, if the plenty of one year had not been more or less hindered from relieving the scarcity of another. It is in this fett of men, accordingly, that I have observed the greatest zeal for the continuance or renewal of

> Our country gentlemen, when they imposed the high duties upon the importation of foreign corn, which in times of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition, and when they established the bounty, feem to have imitated the conduct of our manufacturers. By the one inftitution, they fecured to themselves the monopoly of the home market, and by the other they endeavoured to prevent that market from ever being overstocked with their commodity. By both they endeavoured to raise its real value, in the same manner as our manufacturers had, by the like inftitutions, raifed the real value of many different forts of manufactured goods. They did not perhaps attend to the great and effential difference which nature has established between corn and almost every other fort of goods. When either by the monopoly of the home market, or by a bounty upon exportation, you enable our woollen or linen manufacturers to fell their goods for fomewhat a better price than they otherwise could get for them, you raise, not only the nominal, but the real price of those goods. You render them equivalent to a greater quantity of labour and subsistence, you increase, not only the nominal, but the real profit, the real wealth and revenue

revenue of those manufacturers, and you enable them either to CHAP. live better themselves, or to employ a greater quantity of labour in those particular manufactures. You really encourage those manufactures, and direct towards them a greater quantity of the industry of the country, than what would probably go to them of its own accord. But when by the like institutions you raise the nominal or money price of corn, you do not raise its real value. You do not increase the real wealth, the real revenue either of our farmers or country gentlemen. You do not encourage the growth of corn, because you do not enable them to maintain and employ more labourers in raifing it. The nature of things has stamped upon corn a real value which no human institution can alter. No bounty upon exportation, no monopoly of the home market can raise it. The freest competition cannot lower it. Through the world in general that value is equal to the quantity of labour which it can maintain, and in every particular place it is equal to the quantity of labour which it can maintain in the way, whether liberal, moderate, or fcanty, in which labour is commonly maintained in that place. Woollen or linen cloth are not the regulating commodities by which the real value of all other commodities must be finally measured and determined. Corn is. The real value of every other commodity is finally measured and determined by the proportion which its average money price bears to the average money price of corn. The real value of corn does not vary with those variations in its average money price, which fometimes occur from one century to another. It is the real value of filver which varies with them.

Bounties upon the exportation of any home-made commodity are liable, first, to that general objection which may be made to all the different expedients of the mercantile fystem; the objection of forcing some part of the industry of the country into a channel

lefs -

BOOK less advantageous than that in which it would run of its own accord: and, fecondly, to the particular objection of forcing it, not only into a channel that is less advantageous, but into one that is actually disadvantageous; the trade which cannot be carried on but by means of a bounty being necessarily a losing trade. The bounty upon the exportation of corn is liable to this further objection, that it can in no respect promote the raising of that particular commodity of which it was meant to encourage the production. When our country gentlemen, therefore, demanded the establishment of the bounty, though they acted in imitation of our merchants and manufacturers, they did not act with that compleat comprehension of their own interest which commonly directs the conduct of those two other orders of people. They loaded the publick revenue with a very confiderable expence; but they did not in any respect increase the real value of their own commodity. and by lowering fomewhat the real value of filver they discouraged in some degree the general industry of the country, and instead of advancing, retarded more or less the improvement of their own lands, which necessarily depends upon the general industry of the country.

To encourage the production of any commodity, a bounty upon production, one should imagine, would have a more direct operation than one upon exportation. It has, however, been more rarely granted. The prejudices established by the commercial system have taught us to believe that national wealth arises more immediately from exportation than from production. It has been more favoured accordingly, as the more immediate means of bringing money into the country. Bounties upon production, it has been said too, have been found by experience more liable to frauds than those upon exportation. How far this is true, I know not. That bounties upon exportation have been abused to many fraudulent

fraudulent purposes, is very well known. But it is not the interest CHAP. of merchants and manufacturers, the great inventors of all these expedients, that the home market should be overstocked with their goods, an event which a bounty upon production might fometimes occasion. A bounty upon exportation, by enabling them to fend abroad the furplus part, and to keep up the price of what remains in the home market, effectually prevents this. Of all the expedients of the mercantile fystem, accordingly, it is the one of which they are the fondest. I have known the different undertakers of fome particular works agree privately among themselves to give a bounty out of their own pockets upon the exportation of a certain proportion of the goods which they dealt in. This expedient succeeded so well that it more than doubled the price of their goods in the home market, notwithstanding a very considerable increase in the produce. The operation of the bounty upon corn must have been wonderfully different, if it has lowered the money price of that commodity.

Something like a bounty upon production, however, has been granted upon some particular occasions. The encouragements given to the white-herring and whale-fisheries may, perhaps, be considered as somewhat of this nature. They tend directly to render the goods cheaper in the home market than they otherwise would be in the actual state of production. In other respects their effects are the same as those of bounties upon exportation. By means of them a part of the capital of the country is employed in bringing goods to market, of which the price does not repay the cost, together with the ordinary profits of stock. But though the bounties to those fisheries do not contribute to the opulence of the nation, they may perhaps be defended as conducing to its defence, by augmenting the number of its failors and shipping. This may frequently be done by means of such bounties.

BOOK bounties, at a much fmaller expence than by keeping up a great standing navy, if I may use such an expression, in time of peace, in the same manner as a standing army. Hand he's the same same us interiplemental deservations and exist and effect as a service with

Some other bounties may be vindicated perhaps upon the fame principle. It is of importance that the kingdom should depend as little as possible upon its neighbours for the manufactures necessary for its defence; and if these cannot otherwise be maintained at home, it is reasonable that all other branches of industry fhould be taxed in order to support them. The bounties upon the importation of naval stores from America, upon British made fail-cloth, and upon British made gunpowder, may perhaps all three be vindicated upon this principle. The first is a bounty upon the production of America, for the use of Great Britain. The two others are bounties upon exportation.

WHAT is called a bounty is fometimes no more than a drawback, and confequently is not liable to the fame objections as what is properly a bounty. The bounty, for example, upon refined fugar exported may be confidered as a drawback of the duties tupon the brown and muscovado sugars, from which it is made. The bounty upon wrought filk exported, a drawback of the duties upon raw and thrown filk imported. The bounty upon gunpowder exported, a drawback of the duties upon brimstone and faltpetre imported. In the language of the customs those allowances only are called drawbacks, which are given upon goods exported in the same form in which they are imported. When that form has been altered by manufacture of any kind, they are called bounties.

PREMIUMS given by the publick to artists and manufacturers who excell in their particular occupations, are not liable to the fame objections as bounties. By encouraging extraordinary dexterity terity and ingenuity, they ferve to keep up the emulation of the CHAP. workmen actually employed in those respective occupations, and are not confiderable enough to turn towards any one of them a greater share of the capital of the country than what would go to it of its own accord. Their tendency is not to overturn the natural balance of employments, but to render the work which is done in each as perfect and compleat as possible. The expence of premiums, befides, is very trifling; that of bounties very great. The bounty upon corn alone has fometimes cost the publick in one year, more than three hundred thousand pounds.

Bounties are fometimes called premiums, as drawbacks are fometimes called bounties. But we must in all cases attend to the nature of the thing, without paying any regard to the word,

I CANNOT conclude this chapter concerning bounties, without observing that the praises which have been bestowed upon the law which establishes the bounty upon the exportation of corn, and upon that fystem of regulations which is connected with it, are altogether unmerited. A particular examination of the nature of the corn trade, and of the principal British laws which relate to it, will fufficiently demonstrate the truth of this affertion. The great importance of this subject must justify the length of the digression.

THE trade of the corn merchant is composed of four different branches, which, though they may fometimes be all carried on by the same person, are in their own nature four separate and distinct trades. These are, first, the trade of the inland dealer; secondly, that of the merchant importer for home confumption; thirdly, that of the merchant exporter of home produce for foreign confumption; and, fourthly, that of the merchant carrier, or of the importer of corn in order to export it again,

Vol. II.

I. THE

I. THE interest of the inland dealer, and that of the great body of the people, how opposite soever they may at first fight appear, are, even in years of the greatest scarcity, exactly the same. It is his interest to raise the price of his corn as high as the real scarcity of the feafon requires, and it can never be his interest to raise it higher. By raifing the price he discourages the consumption, and puts every body more or less, but particularly the inferior ranks of people, upon thrift and good management. If, by raifing it too high, he discourages the confumption so much that the supply of the feafon is likely to go beyond the confumption of the feafon, and to last for some time after the next crop begins to come in, he runs the hazard, not only of lofing a confiderable part of his corn by natural causes, but of being obliged to sell what remains of it for much less than what he might have had for it several months before. If by not raifing the price high enough he discourages the confumption fo little, that the fupply of the feafon is likely to fall fhort of the confumption of the season, he not only loses a part of the profit which he might otherwise have made, but he exposes the people to fuffer before the end of the feafon, instead of the hardships of a dearth, the dreadful horrors of a famine. It is the interest of the people that their daily, weekly, and monthly confumption, should be proportioned as exactly as possible to the supply of the feafon. The interest of the inland corn dealer is the fame. By fupplying them, as nearly as he can judge, in this proportion, he is likely to fell all his corn for the highest price, and with the greatest profit; and his knowledge of the state of the crop, and of his daily, weekly, and monthly fales, enable him to judge, with more or lefs accuracy, how far they really are supplied in this manner. Without intending the interest of the people, he is necessarily led, by a regard to his own interest, to treat them, even in years of fcarcity, pretty much in the fame manner as the prudent mafter of a veffel is fometimes obliged to treat his crew. When he

CHAP. he foresees that provisions are likely to run short, he puts them upon short allowance. Though from excess of caution he should

fometimes do this without any real necessity, yet all the inconveniencies which his crew can thereby fuffer are inconfiderable in comparison of the danger, misery, and ruin, to which they might fometimes be exposed by a less provident conduct. Though from excess of avarice, in the same manner, the inland corn merchant should sometimes raise the price of his corn somewhat higher than the fearcity of the feafon requires, yet all the inconveniencies which the people can fuffer from this conduct, which effectually fecures them from a famine in the end of the feason, are inconsiderable in comparison of what they might have been exposed to by a more liberal way of dealing in the beginning of it. The corn merchant himself is likely to suffer the most by this excess of avarice; not only from the indignation which it generally excites against him, but, though he should escape the effects of this indignation, from the quantity of corn which it necessarily leaves upon his hands in the end of the feafon, and which, if the next feafon happens to prove favourable, he must always sell for a much lower price than he might otherwise have had.

WERE it possible, indeed, for one great company of merchants to possess themselves of the whole crop of an extensive country, it might, perhaps, be their interest to deal with it as the Dutch are faid to do with the spiceries of the Molluccas, to destroy or throw away a confiderable part of it, in order to keep up the price of the rest. But it is scarce possible, even by the violence of law, to establish such an extensive monopoly with regard to corn; and, whereever the law leaves the trade free, it is of all commodities the leaft liable to be engroffed or monopolifed by the force of a few large capitals, which buy up the greater part of it. Not only its value far exceeds what the capitals of a few private men are capable of 107

BOOK purchasing, but, supposing they were capable of purchasing it, the manner in which it is produced renders this purchase altogether impracticable. As in every civilized country it is the commodity of which the annual confumption is the greatest, so a greater quantity of industry is annually employed in producing corn than in producing any other commodity. When it first comes from the ground too, it is necessarily divided among a greater number of owners than any other commodity; and these owners can never be collected into one place like a number of independent manufacturers, but are necessarily scattered through all the different corners of the country. These first owners either immediately supply the confumers in their own neighbourhood, or they supply other inlands dealers who fupply those confumers. The inland dealers in corn, therefore, including both the farmer and the baker, are necessiarily more numerous than the dealers in any other commodity, and their dispersed situation renders it altogether impossible for them to enter into any general combination. If in a year of fearcity, therefore, any of them should find that he had a good deal more cornupon hand than, at the current price, he could hope to dispose of before the end of the feafon, he would never think of keeping up this price to his own loss, and to the sole benefit of his rivals and competitors, but would immediately lower it in order to get rid of his corn before the new crop began to come in. The fame motives, the same interests, which would thus regulate the conduct of any one dealer, would regulate that of every other, and oblige them. all in general to fell their corn at the price which, according to the best of their judgement, was most suitable to the scarcity or plenty of the feafon.

> WHOEVER examines, with attention, the history of the dearths and famines which have afflicted any part of Europe, during either, the course of the present or that of the two preceeding centuries,

of feveral of which we have pretty exact accounts, will find, I CHAP. believe, that a dearth never has arisen from any combination among the inland dealers in corn, nor from any other cause but a real scarcity, occasioned fometimes perhaps, and in some particular places, by the waste of war, but in by far the greatest number of cases. by the fault of the feafons; and that a famine has never arisen from any other cause but the violence of government attempting, by improper means, to remedy the inconveniencies of a dearth.

In an extensive corn country, between all the different parts of which there is a free commerce and communication, the fearcity occasioned by the most unfavourable seasons can never be so great as to produce a famine; and the fcantieft crop, if managed with frugality and economy, will maintain, through the year, the fame number of people that are commonly fed in a more affluent manner by one of moderate plenty. The feafons most unfavourable to the crop are those of excessive drought or excessive rain. But, as corn grows equally upon high and low lands, upon grounds that are disposed to be too wet, and upon those that are disposed to be too dry, either the drought or the rain which is hurtful to one part of the country is favourable to another; and though both in the wet and in the dry feafon the crop is a good deal less than in one more properly tempered, yet in both what is lost in one part of the country is in some measure compensated by what is gained in the other. In rice countries, where the crop not only requires a very moist foil, but where in a certain period of its growing it must be laid under water, the effects of a drought are much more difmal. Even in fuch countries, however, the drought is, perhaps. scarce ever so universal as necessarily to occasion a famine, if the government would allow a free trade. The drought in Bengal, a few years ago, might probably have occasioned a very great dearth. Some improper regulations, fome injudicious restraints imposed by

110

WHEN the government, in order to remedy the inconveniencies of a dearth, orders all the dealers to fell their corn at what it fupposes a reasonable price, it either hinders them from bringing it to market, which may fometimes produce a famine, even in the beginning of the feafon; or if they bring it thither, it enables the people, and thereby encourages them to confume it fo fast, as must necessarily produce a famine before the end of the season. The unlimited, unrestrained freedom of the corn trade, as it is the only effectual preventative of the miferies of a famine, fo it is the best palliative of the inconveniencies of a dearth; for the inconveniencies of a real fcarcity cannot be remedied; they can only be palliated. No trade deserves more the full protection of the law. and no trade requires it so much; because no trade is so much exposed to popular odium.

In years of scarcity the inferior ranks of people impute their diffress to the avarice of the corn merchant, who becomes the object of their hatred and indignation. Instead of making profit upon fuch occasions, therefore, he is often in danger of being utterly ruined, and of having his magazines plundered and destroyed by their violence. It is in years of fcarcity, however, when prices are high, that the corn merchant expects to make his principal profit. He is generally in contract with some farmers to furnish him for a certain number of years with a certain quantity of corn at a certain price. This contract price is fettled according to what is supposed to be the moderate and reasonable, that is, the ordinary or average price, which, before the late years of fcarcity, was commonly about eight and twenty shillings for the quarter of wheat, and for that of other grain in proportion.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

In years of scarcity, therefore, the corn merchant buys a great part of CHAP. his corn for the ordinary price, and fells it for a much higher. That this extraordinary profit, however, is no more than fufficient to put his trade upon a fair level with other trades, and to compenfate the many losses which he fustains upon other occasions, both from the perishable nature of the commodity itself, and from the frequent and unforeseen fluctuations of its price, seems evident enough, from this fingle circumstance, that great fortunes are as feldom made in this as in any other trade. The popular odium, however, which attends it in years of fcarcity, the only years in which it can be very profitable, renders people of character and fortune averse to enter into it. It is abandoned to an inferior sett of dealers; and millers, bakers, mealmen and meal factors, together with a number of wretched hucksters, are almost the only middle people that, in the home market, come between the grower and the confumer.

THE ancient policy of Europe, instead of discountenancing this popular odium against a trade so beneficial to the publick, seems, on the contrary, to have authorifed and encouraged it.

By the 5th and 6th of Edward VI. cap. 14. it was enacted, That whoever should buy any corn or grain with intent to sell it again, should be reputed an unlawful engrosser, and should, for the first fault, suffer two months imprisonment, and forfeit the value of the corn; for the fecond, fuffer fix months imprisonment, and forfeit double the value; and for the third, be fet in the pillory, fuffer imprisonment during the king's pleasure, and forfeit all his goods and chattels. The antient policy of most other parts of Europe was no better than that of England.

BOOK IV.

Our ancestors feem to have imagined that the people would buy their corn cheaper of the farmer than of the corn merchant. who, they were afraid, would require, over and above the price which he paid to the farmer, an exorbitant profit to himfelf. They endeavoured, therefore, to annihilate his trade altogether. They even endeavoured to hinder as much as possible any middle man of any kind from coming in between the grower and the confumer; and this was the meaning of the many restraints which they imposed upon the trade of those whom they called kidders or carriers of corn, a trade which nobody was allowed to exercife without a licence afcertaining his qualifications as a man of probity and fair dealing. The authority of three justices of the peace was, by the statute of Edward VI. necessary, in order to grant this licence. But even this reftraint was afterwards thought infufficient, and by a statute of Elizabeth, the privilege of granting it was confined to the quarter-fessions.

The antient policy of Europe endeavoured in this manner to regulate agriculture, the great trade of the country, by maxims quite different from those which it established with regard to manufactures, the great trade of the towns. By leaving the farmer no other customers but either the consumer or his immediate factors, the kidders and carriers of corn, it endeavoured to force him to exercise the trade, not only of a farmer, but of a corn merchant or corn retailer. On the contrary, it in many cases prohibited the manufacturer from exercising the trade of a shop-keeper, or from selling his own goods by retail. It meant by the one law to promote the general interest of the country, or to render corn cheap, without, perhaps, its being well understood how this was to be done. By the other it meant to promote that of a particular order of men, the shopkeepers, who would be so

much underfold by the manufacturer, it was supposed, that their CHAP. trade would be ruined if he was allowed to retail at all.

THE manufacturer, however, though he had been allowed to keep a shop, and to sell his own goods by retail, could not have underfold the common shopkeeper. Whatever part of his capital he might have placed in his shop, he must have withdrawn it from his manufacture. In order to carry on his business on a level with that of other people, as he must have had the profit of a manufacturer on the one part, so he must have had that of a shopkeeper upon the other. Let us suppose, for example, that in the particular town where he lived, ten per cent. was the ordinary profit both of manufacturing and shopkeeping stock; he must in this case have charged upon every piece of his own goods which he fold in his shop, a profit of twenty per cent. When he carried them from his workhouse to his shop, he must have valued them at the price for which he could have fold them to a dealer or shopkeeper, who would have bought them by wholesale. If he valued them lower, he lost a part of the profit of his manufacturing capital. When again he fold them from his shop, unless he got the same price at which a shopkeeper would have fold them, he lost a part of the profit of his shopkeeping capital. Though he might appear, therefore, to make a double profit upon the same piece of goods, yet as these goods made successively a part of two diffinct capitals, he made but a fingle profit upon the whole capital employed about them; and if he made less than this profit, he was a lofer, or did not employ his whole capital with the fame advantage as the greater part of his neighbours.

What the manufacturer was prohibited to do, the farmer was in some measure enjoined to do; to divide his capital between two different employments; to keep one part of it in his grana-Vol. II. Q

BOOK ries and stack yard, for supplying the occasional demands of the market; and to employ the other in the cultivation of his land. But as he could not afford to employ the latter for less than the ordinary profits of farming stock, so he could as little afford to employ the former for less than the ordinary profits of mercantile stock. Whether the stock which really carried on the business of the corn merchant belonged to the person who was called a farmer. or to the person who was called a corn merchant, an equal profit was in both cases requisite, in order to indemnify its owner for employing it in this manner; in order to put his business upon a level with other trades, and in order to hinder him from having an interest to change it as soon as possible for some other. The farmer, therefore, who was thus forced to exercise the trade of a corn merchant, could not afford to fell his corn cheaper than any other corn merchant would have been obliged to do in the case of a free competition.

> THE dealer who can employ his whole stock in one single branch of business, has an advantage of the same kind with the workman who can employ his whole labour in one fingle operation. As the latter acquires a dexterity which enables him, with the fame two hands, to perform a much greater quantity of work; fo the former acquires fo eafy and ready a method of transacting his business, of buying and disposing of his goods, that with the same capital he can transact a much greater quantity of business. As the one can commonly afford his work a good deal cheaper, fo the other can commonly afford his goods fomewhat cheaper than if his flock and attention were both employed about a greater variety of objects. The greater part of manufacturers could not afford to retail their own goods fo cheap as a vigilant and active shopkeeper, whose sole business it was to buy them by wholefale, and to retail them again. The greater part of farmers could still less afford to retail their own corn, or to supply the

the inhabitants of a town, at perhaps four or five miles distance CHAP. from the greater part of them, fo cheap as a vigilant and active corn merchant, whose sole business it was to purchase corn by wholefale, to collect it into a great magazine, and to retail it again.

THE law which prohibited the manufacturer from exercifing the trade of a shopkeeper, endeavoured to force this division in the employment of stock to go on faster than it might otherwise have done. The law which obliged the farmer to exercise the trade of a corn merchant, endeavoured to hinder it from going on fo fast. Both laws were evident violations of natural liberty, and therefore unjust; and they were both too as impolitick as they were unjust. It is the interest of every fociety, that things of this kind fhould never either be forced or obstructed. The man who employs either his labour or his stock in a greater variety of ways than his fituation renders necessary, can never hurt his neighbour by underfelling him. He may hurt himself, and he generally does fo. Jack of all trades will never be rich, fays the proverb. But the law ought always to trust people with the care of their own interest, as in their local situations they must generally be able to judge better of it than the legislator can do. The law, however, which obliged the farmer to exercise the trade of a corn merchant, was by far the most pernicious of the two.

IT obstructed, not only that division in the employment of flock which is fo advantageous to every fociety, but it obstructed likewise the improvement and cultivation of the land. By obliging the farmer to carry on two trades instead of one, it forced him to divide his capital into two parts, of which one only could be employed in cultivation. But if he had been at liberty to fell his whole crop to a corn merchant as fast as he could thresh it out,

book his whole capital might have returned immediately to the land, and have been employed in buying more cattle, and hiring more fervants, in order to improve and cultivate it better. But by being obliged to fell his corn by retail, he was obliged to keep a great part of his capital in his granaries and stack yard through the year, and could not, therefore, cultivate so well as with the same capital he might otherwise have done. This law, therefore,

necessarily obstructed the improvement of the land, and, instead of tending to render corn cheaper, must have tended to render it scarcer, and therefore dearer, than it would otherwise have been.

After the business of the farmer, that of the corn merchant is in reality the trade which, if properly protected and encouraged, would contribute the most to the raising of corn. It would support the trade of the farmer in the same manner as the trade of the wholesale dealer supports that of the manufacturer.

The wholefale dealer, by affording a ready market to the manufacturer, by taking his goods off his hand as faft as he can make them, and by fometimes even advancing their price to him before he has made them, enables him to keep his whole capital, and fometimes even more than his whole capital, conftantly employed in manufacturing, and confequently to manufacture a much greater quantity of goods than if he was obliged to difpose of them himself to the immediate confumers, or even to the retailers. As the capital of the wholefale merchant too is generally sufficient to replace that of many manufacturers, this intercourse between him and them interests the owner of a large capital to support the owners of a great number of small ones, and to affish them in those losses and missortunes which might otherwise prove ruinous to them.

An intercourse of the same kind universally established between CHAP. the farmers and the corn merchants, would be attended with effects equally beneficial to the farmers. They would be enabled to keep their whole capitals, and even more than their whole capitals, constantly employed in cultivation. In case of any of those accidents, to which no trade is more liable than theirs, they would find in their ordinary customer, the wealthy corn merchant, a person who had both an interest to support them, and the ability to do it, and they would not, as at present, be entirely dependent upon the forbearance of their landlord, or the mercy of his steward. Were it possible, as perhaps it is not, to establish this intercourse universally, and all at once, were it posfible to turn all at once the whole farming stock of the kingdom. to its proper business, the cultivation of land, withdrawing it from every other employment into which any part of it may be at present diverted, and were it possible, in order to support and affift upon occasion the operations of this great stock, to provide all at once another stock almost equally great, it is not perhaps very eafy to imagine how great, how extensive, and how sudden would be the improvement which this change of circumstances would alone produce upon the whole face of the country.

THE statute of Edward VI. therefore, by prohibiting as much as possible any middle man from coming in between the grower and the consumer, endeavoured to annihilate a trade of which the free exercise is not only the best palliative of the inconveniencies of a dearth, but the best preventative of that calamity: after the trade of the farmer, no trade contributing so much to the growing of corn as that of the corn merchant.

The rigour of this law was afterwards foftened by feveral fubfequent statutes, which successively permitted the engrossing of corn when the price of wheat should not exceed twenty, twenty-four, thirty-two, and forty shillings the quarter. At last, by the

BOOK 15th of Charles II. c. 7. the engroffing or buying of corn in order to fell it again, as long as the price of wheat did not exceed forty-eight shillings the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion, was declared lawful to all persons not being forestallers. that is, not felling again in the fame market within three months. All the freedom which the trade of the inland corn dealer has ever yet enjoyed, was bestowed upon it by this statute. The statute of the twelfth of the present king, which repeals almost all the other antient laws against engroffers and forestallers, does not repeal the restrictions of this particular statute, which therefore still continue in force.

> This statute, however, authorizes in some measure two very abfurd popular prejudices.

> FIRST, it supposes that when the price of wheat has risen so high as forty-eight shillings the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion, corn is likely to be fo engroffed as to hurt the people. But from what has been already faid, it feems evident enough that corn can at no price be so engrossed by the inland dealers as to hurt the people; and forty-eight shillings the quarter befides, though it may be confidered as a very high price, yet in years of fcarcity it is a price which frequently takes place immediately after harvest, when scarce any part of the new crop can be fold off, and when it is impossible even for ignorance to fuppose that any part of it can be so engrossed as to hurt the people.

> SECONDLY, it supposes that there is a certain price at which corn is likely to be forestalled, that is, bought up in order to be fold again foon after in the same market, so as to hurt the people. But if a merchant ever buys up corn, either going to a particular market or in a particular market, in order to fell it again foon after in the same mar

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

CHAP.

ket, it must be because he judges that the market cannot be so liberally supplied through the whole season as upon that particular occafion, and that the price, therefore, must soon rise. If he judges wrong in this, and if the price does not rife, he not only loses the whole profit of the stock which he employs in this manner, but a part of the stock itself, by the expence and loss which necessarily attends the storing and keeping of corn. He hurts himself, therefore. much more effentially than he can hurt even the particular people whom he may hinder from supplying themselves upon that particular market day, because they may afterwards supply themselves just as cheap upon any other market day. If he judges right, instead of hurting the great body of the people, he renders them a most important fervice. By making them feel the inconveniencies of a dearth fomewhat earlier than they otherwife might do, he prevents their feeling them afterwards fo feverely as they certainly would do, if the cheapness of price encouraged them to consume faster than fuited the real fcarcity of the feafon. When the fcarcity is real, the best thing that can be done for the people is to divide the inconveniencies of it as equally as possible through all the different months, and weeks, and days of the year. The interest of the corn merchant makes him study to to this as exactly as he can; and as no other person can have either the same interest, or the same knowledge, or the same abilities to do it so exactly as he, this most important operation of commerce ought to be trusted entirely to him; or, in other words, the corn trade, fo far at least as concerns the supply of the home market, ought to be left perfectly free.

THE popular fear of engroffing and forestalling may be compared to the popular terrors and fuspicions of witchcraft. The unfortunate wretches accused of this latter crime were not more innocent of the misfortunes imputed to them, than those who have

BOOK have been accused of the former. The law which put an end to all profecutions against witchcraft, which put it out of any man's power to gratify his own malice by accufing his neighbour of that imaginary crime, feems effectually to have put an end to those fears and fuspicions, by taking away the great cause which encouraged and supported them. The law which should restore entire freedom to the inland trade of corn, would probably prove as effectual to put an end to the popular fears of engroffing and forestalling.

> THE 15th of Charles II. c. 7. however, with all its imperfections, has perhaps contributed more both to the plentiful fupply of the home market, and to the increase of tillage, than any other law in the statute book. It is from this law that the inland corn trade has derived all the liberty and protection which it has ever yet enjoyed; and both the fupply of the home market, and the interest of tillage, are much more effectually promoted by the inland, than either by the importation or exportation trade.

> THE proportion of the average quantity of all forts of grain imported into Great Britain to that of all forts of grain confumed. it has been computed by the author of the tracts upon the corn trade, does not exceed that of one to five hundred and feventy. For supplying the home market, therefore, the importance of the inland trade must be to that of the importation trade as five hundred and feventy to one.

> THE average quantity of all forts of grain exported from Great Britain does not, according to the same author, exceed the one and thirtieth part of the annual produce. For the encouragement of tillage, therefore, by providing a market for the home produce, the importance of the inland trade must be to that of the exportation trade as thirty to one.

> > I HAVE

I HAVE no great faith in political arithmetick, and I mean not CHAP. to warrant the exactness of either of these computations. I mention them only in order to show of how much less consequence, in the opinion of the most judicious and experienced persons, the foreign trade of corn is than the home trade. The great cheapness of corn in the years immediately preceeding the establishment of the bounty, may perhaps, with reason, be ascribed in some measure to the operation of this statute of Charles II. which had been enacted about five and twenty years before, and which had therefore full time to produce its effect.

A VERY few words will fufficiently explain all that I have to fay concerning the other three branches of the corn trade.

II. THE trade of the merchant importer of foreign corn for home confumption, evidently contributes to the immediate supply of the home market, and must fo far be immediately beneficial to the great body of the people. It tends, indeed, to lower somewhat the average money price of corn, but not to diminish its real value, or the quantity of labour which it is capable of maintaining. If importation was at all times free, our farmers and country gentlemen would, probably, one year with another, get less money for their corn than they do at prefent, when importation is at most times in effect prohibited; but the money which they got would be of more value, would buy more goods of all other kinds, and would employ more labour. Their real wealth, their real revenue, therefore, would be the same as at present, though it might be expressed by a smaller quantity of filver; and they would neither be disabled nor discouraged from cultivating corn as much as they do at present. On the contrary, as the rise in the real value of silver, in consequence of lowering the money price of corn, lowers fomewhat the money price of all other commodities, it gives the Vol. II.

BOOK industry of the country where it takes place fome advantage in all iv. foreign markets, and thereby tends to encourage and increase that industry. But the extent of the home market for corn must be in proportion to the general industry of the country where it grows, or to the number of those who produce something else, and therefore have fomething elfe, or what comes to the fame thing, the price of fomething else, to give in exchange for corn. But in every country the home market, as it is the nearest and most convenient, fo is it likewise the greatest and most important market for corn. That rife in the real value of filver, therefore, which is the effect of lowering the average money price of corn, tends to enlarge the greatest and most important market for corn, and thereby to encourage, instead of discouraging, its growth.

> By the 22d of Charles II. c. 13. the importation of wheat. whenever the price in the home market did not exceed fifty-three shillings and four pence the quarter, was subjected to a duty of fixteen shillings the quarter; and to a duty of eight shillings whenever the price did not exceed four pounds. The former of these two prices has, for more than a century past, taken place only in times of very great scarcity; and the latter has, so far as I know, not taken place at all. Yet, till wheat had rifen above this latter price, it was by this statute subjected to a very high duty; and, till it had risen above the former, to a duty which amounted to a prohibition. The importation of other forts of grain was restrained by duties proportionably high.

THE distress which, in years of scarcity, the strict execution of this statute might have brought upon the people, would probably have been very great. But, upon fuch occasions, its execution was generally fuspended by temporary statutes, which permitted, for a limited time, the importation of foreign corn. The necessity of these temporary statutes sufficiently demonstrates the impropriety CHAP. of this general one.

THESE reftraints upon importation, though prior to the establishment of the bounty, were dictated by the same spirit, by the fame principles, which afterwards enacted that regulation. How hurtful foever in themselves, these or some other restraints upon importation became necessary in consequence of that regulation. If, when wheat was either below forty-eight shillings the quarter, or not much above it, foreign corn could have been imported either duty free, or upon paying only a small duty, it might have been exported again, with the benefit of the bounty, to the great lofs of the publick revenue, and to the intire perversion of the institution, of which the object was to extend the market for the home growth, not that for the growth of foreign countries.

III. THE trade of the merchant exporter of corn for foreign confumption, certainly does not contribute directly to the plentiful supply of the home market. It does so, however, indirectly. From whatever fource this fupply may be usually drawn, whether from home growth or from foreign importation, unless more corn is either usually grown, or usually imported into the country, than what is usually confumed in it, the supply of the home market can never be very plentiful. But, unless the furplus can, in all ordinary cases, be exported, the growers will be careful never to grow more, and the importers never to import more, than what the bare confumption of the home market requires. That market will very feldom be overstocked; but it will generally be underftocked, the people, whose business it is to supply it, being generally afraid lest their goods should be left upon their hands. The prohibition of exportation limits the improvement and cultivation of the country to what the fupply of its own inhabitants requires. R 2

raging

BOOK requires. The freedom of exportation enables it to extend its cultivation for the supply of foreign nations.

> By the 12th of Charles II. c. 4. the exportation of corn was permitted whenever the price of wheat did not exceed forty shillings the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion. By the 1.5th of the same prince this liberty was extended till the price of wheat exceeded forty-eight shillings the quarter; and by the 22d, to all higher prices. A poundage, indeed, was to be paid to the king upon fuch exportation. But all grain was rated fo low in. the book of rates, that this poundage amounted only upon wheat to a shilling, upon oats to four-pence, and upon all other grain to fixpence the quarter. By the 1st of William and Mary, the act which established the bounty, this small duty was virtually taken off whenever the price of wheat did not exceed forty-eight; shillings the quarter; and by the 11th and 12th of William III. c. 20. it was expressly taken off at all higher prices.

> THE trade of the merchant exporter was in this manner, not: only encouraged by a bounty, but rendered much more free than that of the inland dealer. By the last of these statutes, corn could be engroffed at any price for exportation; but it could not be engroffed for inland fale, except when the price did not exceed fortyeight shillings the quarter. The interest of the inland dealer, however, it has already been shown, can never be opposite to that of the great body of the people. That of the merchant exporter may, and in fact fometimes is. If, while his own country labours under a dearth, a neighbouring country should be afflicted with a famine, it might be his interest to carry corn to the latter country in fuch quantities as might very much aggravate the calamities of the dearth. The plentiful fupply of the home market was not the direct object of those statutes; but, under the pretence of encou

was confined to the home growth; and by the encouragement of exportation, when the price was fo high as forty-eight shillings the quarter, that market was not, even in times of confiderable fearcity, allowed to enjoy the whole of that growth. The temporary laws, prohibiting for a limited time the exportation of corn, and taking off for a limited time the duties upon its importation, expedients to which Great Britain has been obliged fo frequently to have recourse, sufficiently demonstrate the impropriety of her general

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

dearth in the home market. By the discouragement of importation, the supply of that market, even in times of great scarcity,

raging agriculture, to raise the money price of corn as high as pos- CHAP.

fystem: Had that fystem been good; she would not so frequently have been reduced to the necessity of departing from it.

WERE all nations to follow the liberal fystem of free exportation and free importation, the different states into which a great continent was divided would fo far refemble the different provinces of a great empire. As among the different provinces of a great empire the freedom of the inland trade appears, both from reason and experience, not only the best palliative of a dearth, but the most effectual preventative of a famine; so would the freedom of the exportation and importation trade be among the different states into which a great continent was divided. The larger the continent, the easier the communication through all the different parts of it, both by land and by water, the less would any one particular part of it ever be exposed to either of these calamities, the scarcity of any one country being more likely to be relieved by the plenty of some other. But very few countries have entirely adopted this liberal fystem. The freedom of the corn trade is almost every where more or less restrained, and, in many countries, is confined by fuch abfurd regulations, as frequently aggravate the unavoidable misfortune of a dearth into the dreadful calamity of a famine. THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF

BOOK The demand of fuch countries for corn may frequently become fo great and fo urgent, that a fmall state in their neighbourhood, which happened at the fame time to be labouring under fome degree of dearth, could not venture to supply them without exposing itself to the like dreadful calamity. The very bad policy of one country may thus render it in some measure dangerous and imprudent to establish what would otherwise be the best policy in another. The unlimited freedom of exportation, however, would be much less dangerous in great states, in which the growth being much greater, the fupply could feldom be much affected by any quantity of corn that was likely to be exported. In a Swifs canton, or in some of the little states of Italy, it may, perhaps, sometimes be necessary to restrain the exportation of corn. In such great countries as France or England it scarce ever can. To hinder, besides, the farmer from sending his goods at all times to the best market, is evidently to facrifice the ordinary laws of justice to an idea of public utility, to a fort of reasons of state; an act of legiflative authority which ought to be exercised only, which can be pardoned only in cases of the most urgent necessity. The price at which the exportation of corn is prohibited, if it is ever to be prohibited, ought always to be a very high price.

> THE laws concerning corn may every where be compared to the laws concerning religion. The people feel themselves so much inte_ rested in what relates either to their subsistence in this life, or to their happiness in a life to come, that government must yield to their prejudices, and, in order to preferve the public tranquillity, establish that system which they approve of. It is upon this account. perhaps, that we fo feldom find a reasonable system established with regard to either of those two capital objects.

> IV. THE trade of the merchant carrier, or of the importer of foreign corn, in order to export it again, contributes to the plentiful

plentiful supply of the home market. It is not indeed the direct CHAP. purpose of his trade to sell his corn there. But he will generally be willing to do fo, and even for a good deal less money than he might expect in a foreign market; because he saves in this manner the expence of loading and unloading, of freight and infurance. The inhabitants of the country which, by means of the carrying trade, becomes the magazine and storehouse for the fupply of other countries, can very feldom be in want themfelves. Though the carrying trade might thus contribute to reduce the average money price of corn in the home market, it would not thereby lower its real value. It would only raife fomewhat the real value of filver.

THE carrying trade was in effect prohibited in Great Britain upon all ordinary occasions, by the high duties upon the importation of foreign corn; and upon extraordinary occasions, when a fcarcity made it necessary to suspend those duties by temporary statutes, exportation was always prohibited. By this system of laws, therefore, the carrying trade was in effect prohibited upon all occasions.

THAT fystem of laws, therefore, which is connected with the establishment of the bounty, seems to deserve no part of the praise which has been bestowed upon it. The improvement and prosperity of Great Britain, which has been so often ascribed to those laws, may very easily be accounted for by other causes. That fecurity which the laws in Great Britain give to every man that he shall enjoy the fruits of his own labour, is alone sufficient to make any country flourish, notwithstanding these and twenty other absurd regulations of commerce; and this fecurity was perfected by the revolution, much about the fame time that the bounty was established. The natural effort of every individual 8:

BOOK to better his own condition, when fuffered to exert itself with freedom and fecurity, is fo powerful a principle that it is alone, and without any affiftance, not only capable of carrying on the fociety to wealth and prosperity, but of surmounting a hundred impertinent obstructions with which the folly of human laws too often incumbers its operations; though the effect of these obstructions is always more or less either to encroach upon its freedom, or to diminish its security. In Great Britain industry is perfectly fecure; and though it is far from being perfectly free, it is as free or freer than in any other part of Europe.

> Though the period of the greatest prosperity and improvement of Great Britain, has been posterior to that system of laws which is connected with the bounty, we must not upon that account impute it to those laws. It has been posterior likewise to the national debt. But the national debt has most affuredly not been the cause of it.

> THOUGH the system of laws which is connected with the bounty, has exactly the fame tendency with the police of Spain and Portugal; to lower fomewhat the value of the precious metals in the country where it takes place; yet Great Britain is certainly one of the richest countries in Europe, while Spain and Portugal are perhaps among the most beggarly. This difference of fituation, however, may eafily be accounted for from two different causes. First, the tax in Spain, the prohibition in Portugal of exporting gold and filver, and the vigilant police which watches over the execution of those laws, must, in two very poor countries, which between them import annually upwards of fix millions sterling, operate, not only more directly, but much more forcibly in reducing the value of those metals there, than the corn laws can do in Great Britain. And, fecondly, this bad policy is not in those countries counter-balanced by the general liberty

liberty and fecurity of the people. Industry is there neither free CHAP. nor fecure, and the civil and ecclefiaftical governments of both Spain and Portugal, are such as would alone be sufficient to perpetuate their present state of poverty, even though their regulations of commerce were as wife as the greater part of them are abfurd and foolish.

THE 13th of the present king, c. 43. seems to have established a new fystem with regard to the corn laws, in many respects better than the ancient one, but in one respect perhaps not quite so good.

By this statute the high duties upon importation for home confumption are taken off as foon as the price of wheat is fo high as forty-eight shillings the quarter, and instead of them a finall duty is imposed of only fixpence upon the quarter of wheat, and upon that of other grain in proportion. The home market is in this manner not fo totally excluded from foreign supplies as it was before.

By the same statute the old bounty of five shillings upon the quarter of wheat ceases when the price rises so high as fortyfour shillings, and upon that of other grain in proportion. The bounties too upon the coarfer forts of grain are reduced somewhat lower than they were before, even at the prices at which they take place. If bounties are as improper as I have endeavoured to prove them to be, the fooner they cease and the lower they are, so much the better.

THE fame statute permits at all prices the importation of corn in order to be exported again, duty free; provided it is in the meantime lodged in the king's warehouse. This liberty indeed extends to no more than twenty-five of the different ports of Vol. II.

BOOK Great Britain. They are, however, the principal ones, and there may not perhaps be warehouses proper for this purpose in the greater part of the others. Some provision is thus made for the establishment of the carrying trade.

> So far this law feems evidently an improvement upon the antient fystem.

> Bur by the same law exportation is prohibited as soon as the price of wheat rifes to forty-four shillings the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion. The price feems to be a good deal too low, and there feems to be an impropriety besides in stopping exportation altogether, at the very fame price at which that bounty which was given in order to force it, is withdrawn. The bounty ought certainly either to have been withdrawn at a much lower price, or exportation ought to have been allowed at a much higher. So far, therefore, this law feems to be inferior to the ancient system.

CHAP. VI.

Of treaties of commerce.

W HEN a nation binds itself by treaty either to permit the entry of certain goods from it prohibits from all others, or to exempt the goods of one country from duties to which it subjects those of all others, the country, or at least the merchants and manufacturers of the country, whose commerce is so favoured, must necessarily derive great great advantage from the treaty. Those merchants and manufac- CHAP. turers enjoy a fort of monopoly in the country which is fo indulgent to them. That country becomes a market both more extensive and more advantageous for their goods: more extensive. because the goods of other nations being either excluded or subjected to heavier duties, it takes off a greater quantity of theirs: more advantageous, because the merchants of the favoured country, enjoying a fort of monopoly there, will often fell their goods for a better price than if exposed to the free competition of all other nations.

Such treaties, however, though they may be advantageous to the merchants and manufacturers of the favoured, are necessarily difadvantageous to those of the favouring country. A monopoly is thus granted against them to a foreign nation; and they must frequently buy the foreign goods they have occasion for dearer than if the free competition of other nations was admitted. That part of its own produce with which fuch a nation purchases foreign goods. must consequently be sold cheaper, because when two things are exchanged for one another, the cheapness of the one is a necessary consequence, or rather is the same thing with the dearness of the other. The exchangeable value of its annual produce, therefore, is likely to be diminished by every such treaty. This diminution, however, can scarce amount to any positive loss, but only to a lessening of the gain which it might otherwise make. Though it fells its goods cheaper than it otherwise might do, it will not probably sell them for less than they cost; nor, as in the case of bounties, for a price which will not replace the capital employed in bringing them to market, together with the ordinary profits of stock. The trade could not go on long if it did. Even the favouring country, therefore, may still gain by the trade, though less than if there was a free competition.

121

BOOK IV.

132

Some treaties of commerce, however, have been supposed advantageous upon principles very different from these; and a commercial country has sometimes granted a monopoly of this kind against itself to certain goods of a foreign nation, because it expected that in the whole commerce between them, it would annually sell more than it would buy, and that a balance in gold and filver would be annually returned to it. It is upon this principle that the treaty of commerce between England and Portugal, concluded in 1703 by Mr. Methuen, has been so much commended. The following is a literal translation of that treaty, which consists of three articles only.

A R T. I.

His facred royal majesty of Portugal promises, both in his own name, and that of his successors, to admit, for ever hereaster, into Portugal, the woollen cloths, and the rest of the woollen manufactures of the British, as was accustomed, till they were prohibited by the law; nevertheless upon this condition:

ART. II.

That is to fay, that her facred royal majesty of Great Britain shall, in her own name, and that of her successors, be obliged for ever hereafter, to admit the wines of the growth of Portugal into Britain; so that at no time, whether there shall be peace or war between the kingdoms of Britain and France, any thing more shall be demanded for these wines by the name of custom or duty, or by whatsoever other title, directly or indirectly, whether they shall be imported into Great Britain in pipes or hogsheads, or other casks, than what shall be demanded for the like quantity or measure of French wine, deducting or abating a third-part of the custom or duty. But if at any time this deduction or abatement of customs, which is to be made as aforesaid, shall in any

any manner be attempted and prejudiced, it shall be just and CHAP. Iawful for his facred royal majesty of Portugal, again to prohibit the woollen cloths, and the rest of the British woollen manufactures.

ART. III.

THE most excellent lords the plenipotentiaries promise and take upon themselves, that their above-named masters shall ratify this treaty, and within the space of two months, the ratifications shall be exchanged.

By this treaty the crown of Portugal becomes bound to admit the English woollens upon the same footing as before the prohibition, that is, not to raise the duties which had been paid before that time. But it does not become bound to admit them upon any better terms than those of any other nation, of France or Holland, for example. The crown of Great Britain, on the contrary, becomes bound to admit the wines of Portugal, upon paying only two-thirds of the duty, which is paid for those of France, the wines most likely to come into competition with them. So far this treaty, therefore, is evidently advantageous to Portugal, and disadvantageous to Great Britain.

IT has been celebrated, however, as a master-piece of the commercial policy of England. Portugal receives annually from the Brazils a greater quantity of gold than can be employed in its domestick commerce, whether in the shape of coin or of plate. The surplus is too valuable to be allowed to lie idle and locked up in coffers, and as it can find no advantageous market at home, it must, notwithstanding any prohibition, be sent abroad and exchanged for something for which there is a more advantageous market at home. A large share of it comes annually to England, in return either for English goods, or for those of other

BOOK European nations that receive their returns through England. Mr. Baretti was informed that the weekly packet-boat from Lisbon brings, one week with another, more than fifty thousand pounds in gold to England. The fum had probably been exaggerated. It would amount to more than two millions fix hundred thousand pounds a year, which is more than the Brazils are supposed to afford. . .

> Our merchants were some years ago out of humour with the crown of Portugal. Some privileges which had been granted them, not by treaty, but by the free grace of that crown. at the folicitation, indeed, it is probable, and in return for much greater favours, defence and protection, from the crown of Great Britain, had been either infringed or revoked. The people, therefore, usually most interested in celebrating the Portugal trade, were then rather disposed to represent it as less advantageous than it had commonly been imagined. The far greater part, almost the whole, they pretended, of this annual importation of gold, was not on account of Great Britain, but of other European nations; the fruits and wines of Portugal annually imported into Great Britain nearly compensating the value of the British goods fent thither.

LET us suppose, however, that the whole was on account of Great Britain, and that it amounted to a still greater sum than Mr. Baretti feems to imagine: this trade would not, upon that account, be more advantageous than any other in which for the same value sent out, we received an equal value of consumable goods in return.

IT is but a very small part of this importation which, it can be supposed, is employed as an annual addition either to the plate or to the coin of the kingdom. The rest must all be sent abroad and exchanged

exchanged for confumable goods of some kind or other. But if CHAP. those consumable goods were purchased directly with the produce of vi. English industry, it would be more for the advantage of England than first to purchase with that produce the gold of Portugal, and afterwards to purchase with that gold those consumable goods. A direct foreign trade of confumption is always more advantageous than a round-about one; and to bring the same value of foreign goods to the home market, requires a much smaller capital in the one than in the other. If a smaller share of its industry, therefore, had been employed in producing goods fit for the Portugal market, and a greater in producing those fit for the other markets where those consumable goods for which there is a demand in Great Britain are to be had, it would have been more for the advantage of England. To procure both the gold, which it wants for its own use, and the confumable goods, would, in this way, employ a much finaller capital than at prefent. There would be a spare capital, therefore, to be employed for other purposes, in exciting an additional quantity of industry, and in raising a greater annual produce.

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

THOUGH Britain were entirely excluded from the Portugal trade, it could find very little difficulty in procuring all the annual fupplies of gold which it wants, either for the purposes of plate, or of coin, or of foreign trade. Gold, like every other commodity, is always somewhere or another to be got for its value by those who have that value to give for it. The annual furplus of gold in Portugal, befides, would fill be fent abroad, and, though not carried away by Great Britain, would be carried away by some other nation, which would be glad to fell it again for its price, in the same manner as Great Britain does at present. In buying gold of Portugal, indeed, we buy it at the first hand; whereas, in buying it of any other nation, except Spain, we should buy it at the second,

BOOK and might pay fomewhat dearer. This difference, however, would furely be too infignificant to deferve the publick attention.

Almost all our gold, it is faid, comes from Portugal. With other nations the balance of trade is either against us, or not much in our favour. But we should remember, that the more gold we import from one country, the less we must necessarily import from all others. The effectual demand for gold, like that for every other commodity, is in every country limited to a certain quantity. If nine-tenths of this quantity are imported from one country, there remains a tenth only to be imported from all others. The more gold besides that is annually imported from some particular countries, over and above what is requisite for plate and for coin, the more must necessarily be exported to some others; and the more, that most insignificant object of modern policy, the balance of trade, appears to be in our favour with some particular countries, the more it must necessarily appear to be against us with many others.

It was upon this filly notion, however, that England could not fubfift without the Portugal trade, that, towards the end of the late war, France and Spain, without pretending either offence or provocation, required the king of Portugal to exclude all British ships from his ports, and for the security of this exclusion, to receive into them French or Spanish garrisons. Had the king of Portugal submitted to those ignominious terms which his brother-in-law the king of Spain proposed to him, Britain would have been freed from a much greater inconveniency than the loss of the Portugal trade, the burden of supporting a very weak ally, so unprovided of every thing for his own desence, that the whole power of England, had it been directed to that single purpose, could scarce perhaps have desended him for another campaign.

campaign. The loss of the Portugal trade would, no doubt, have occasioned a considerable embarrassiment to the merchants at that time engaged in it, who might not, perhaps, have found out, for a year or two, any other equally advantageous method of employing their capitals; and in this would probably have consisted all the inconveniency which England could have suffered from this notable piece of commercial policy.

THE great annual importation of gold and filver is neither for the purpose of plate nor of coin, but of foreign trade. A roundabout foreign trade of confumption can be carried on more advantageously by means of these metals than of almost any other goods. As they are the universal instruments of commerce, they are more readily received in return for all commodities than any other goods; and on account of their small bulk and great value, it costs less to transport them backward and forwards from one place to another than almost any other fort of merchandize, and they lose less of their value by being so transported. Of all the commodities, therefore, which are bought in one foreign country. for no other purpose but to be fold or exchanged again for some other goods in another, there are none fo convenient as gold and filver. In facilitating all the different round-about foreign trades of confumption which are carried on in Great Britain, confifts the principal advantage of the Portugal trade; and though it is not a capital advantage, it is, no doubt, a confiderable one.

THAT any annual addition which, it can reasonably be supposed, is made either to the plate or to the coin of the kingdom, could require but a very small annual importation of gold and filver, seems evident enough; and, though we had no direct trade with Portugal, this small quantity could always, somewhere or another, be very easily got.

THOUGH the goldsmiths trade be very considerable in Great Britain, the far greater part of the new plate which they annually Vol. II.

BOOK fell, is made from other old plate melted down; fo that the addition annually made to the whole plate of the kingdom cannot be very great, and could require but a very fmall annual importation.

> IT is the same case with the coin. Nobody imagines, I believe, that even the greater part of the annual coinage, amounting, for ten years together before the late reformation of the gold coin, to upwards of eight hundred thousand pounds a year in gold, was an annual addition to the money before current in the kingdom. In a country where the expence of the coinage is defrayed by the government, the value of the coin, even when it contains its full standard weight of gold and filver, can never be much greater than that of an equal quantity of those metals uncoined; because it requires only the trouble of going to the mint, and the delay perhaps of a few weeks, to procure for any quantity of uncoined gold and filver an equal quantity of those metals in coin. But, in every country, the greater part of the current coin is almost always more or less worn, or otherwise degenerated from its standard. In Great Britain it was, before the late reformation, a good deal fo, the gold being more than two per cent. and the filver more than eight per cent. below its standard weight. But if forty-four guineas and a half, containing their full standard weight, a pound weight of gold, could purchase very little more than a pound weight of uncoined gold, forty-four guineas and a half wanting a part of their weight could not purchase a pound weight, and something was to be added in order to make up the deficiency. The current price of gold bullion at market, therefore, instead of being the same with the mint price, or 461. 14s. 6d. was then about 471. 14s. and fornetimes about fortyeight pounds. When the greater part of the coin, however, was in this degenerate condition, forty-four guineas and a half, fresh from the mint, would purchase no more goods in the market than any other ordinary guineas, because when they come into the coffers of the merchant, being confounded with other money, they could

could not afterwards be diftinguished without more trouble than the difference was worth. Like other guineas they were worth no more than 461. 14s. 6d. If thrown into the melting pot, however, they produced, without any fensible loss, a pound weight of standard gold, which could be fold at any time for between 471, 148. and 481, either in gold or filver, as fit for all the purposes of coin as that which had been melted down. There was an evident profit, therefore, in melting down new coined money, and it was done so instantaneously, that no precaution of government could prevent it. The operations of the mint were, upon this account, fomewhat like the web of Penelope; the work that was done in the day was undone in the night. The mint was employed, not fo much in making daily additions to the coin, as in replacing the very best part of it which was daily melted down.

WERE the private people, who carry their gold and filver to the mint, to pay themselves for the coinage, it would add to the value of those metals in the same manner as the fashion does to that of plate. Coined gold and filver would be more valuable than uncoined. The feignorage, if it was not exorbitant, would add to the bullion the whole value of the duty; because, the government having every where the exclusive privilege of coining, no coin can come to market cheaper than they think proper to afford it. If the duty was exorbitant indeed, that is, if it was very much above the real value of the labour and expence requifite for coinage, false coiners, both at home and abroad, might be encouraged, by the great difference between the value of bullion and that of coin, to pour in fo great a quantity of counterfeit money as might reduce the value of the government money. In France, however, though the feignorage is eight per cent, no fensible inconveniency of this kind is found to arise from it. The dangers to which a false coiner is every where exposed, if he lives in the country of which he counBOOK terfeits the coin, and to which his agents or correspondents are exposed if he lives in a foreign country, are by far too great to be incurred for the sake of a profit of fix or seven per cent.

THE seignorage in France raises the value of the coin higher than in proportion to the quantity of pure gold which it contains. Thus by the edict of January, 1726, * the mint price of fine gold of twenty-four carats was fixed at feven hundred and forty livres. nine fous and one denier one-eleventh, the mark of eight Paris ounces. The gold coin of France, making an allowance for the remedy of the mint, contains twenty-one carats and three-fourths of fine gold, and two carats one-fourth of alloy. The mark of standard gold, therefore, is worth no more than about fix hundred and feventy-one livres ten deniers. But in France this mark of standard gold is coined into thirty Louis d'ors of twenty-four livres each, or into feven hundred and twenty livres. The coinage, therefore, increases the value of a mark of standard gold bullion, by the difference between fix hundred and feventy-one livres ten deniers and feven hundred and twenty livres; or by forty-eight livres, nineteen fous, and two deniers.

A SEIGNORAGE will, in many cases, take away altogether, and will, in all cases, diminish the profit of melting down the new coin. This profit always arises from the difference between the quantity of bullion which the common currency ought to contain, and that which it actually does contain. If this difference is less than the seignorage, there will be loss instead of profit. If it is equal to the seignorage, there will neither be profit nor loss. If it is greater than the seignorage, there will indeed be some profit, but

less than if there was no seignorage. If, before the late reformation of the gold coin, for example, there had been a seignorage of five per cent. upon the coinage, there would have been a loss of three per cent. upon the melting down of the gold coin. If the seignorage had been two per cent. there would have been neither profit nor loss. If the seignorage had been one per cent. there would have been a profit, but of one per cent. only instead of two per cent. Wherever money is received by tale, therefore, and not by weight, a seignorage is the most effectual preventative of the melting down of the coin, and, for the same reason, of its exportation. It is the best and heaviest pieces that are commonly either melted down or exported; because it is upon such that the largest profits are made.

THE law for the encouragement of the coinage, by rendering it duty-free, was first enacted, during the reign of Charles II. for a limited time; and afterwards continued, by different prolongations, till 1769, when it was rendered perpetual. The bank of England, in order to replenish their coffers with money, are frequently obliged to carry bullion to the mint; and it was more for their interest, they probably imagined, that the coinage should be at the expence of the government, than at their own. It was, probably, out of complaifance to this great company that the government agreed to render this law perpetual. Should the custom of weighing gold, however, come to be difused, as it is very likely to be on account of its inconveniency; should the gold coin of England come to be received by tale, as it was before the late recoinage, this great company may, perhaps, find that they have upon this, as upon fome other occasions, mistaken their own interest not a little.

Before the late re-coinage, when the gold currency of England was two per cent. below its standard weight, as there was

UO

^{*} See Dictionaire des Monnoies, tom. i. article Seigneurage, p. 489, par M. Abot de Bazinghen, Conseiller-Comissaire en la Cour des Monnoies à Paris,

BOOK no feignorage, it was two per cent. below the value of that quantity of standard gold bullion which it ought to have contained. When this great company, therefore, bought gold bullion in order to have it coined, they were obliged to pay for it two per cent. more than it was worth after the coinage. But if there had been a feignorage of two per cent. upon the coinage, the common gold currency, though two per cent. below its standard weight, would notwithstanding have been equal in value to the quantity of standard gold which it ought to have contained; the value of the fashion compensating in this case the diminution of the weight. They would indeed have had the feignorage to pay, which being two per cent. their loss upon the whole transaction would have been two per cent. exactly the fame, but no greater than it actually was.

> IF the feignorage had been five per cent. and the gold currency only two per cent. below its flandard weight, the bank would in this case have gained three per cent. upon the price of the bullion; but as they would have had a feignorage of five per cent. to pay upon the coinage, their loss upon the whole transaction would, in the fame manner, have been exactly two per cent.

> Is the feignorage had been only one per cent. and the gold currency two per cent. below its standard weight, the bank would in this case have lost only one per cent. upon the price of the bullion; but as they would likewife have had a feignorage of one per cent. to pay, their loss upon the whole transaction would have been exactly two per cent. in the fame manner as in all other cases.

> Ir there was a reasonable seignorage, while at the same time the coin contained its full standard weight, as it has done very nearly

THE WEALTH OF NATIONS.

nearly fince the late re-coinage, whatever the bank might lofe by CHAP. the feignorage, they would gain upon the price of the bullion; and whatever they might gain upon the price of the bullion, they would lofe by the feignorage. They would neither lofe nor gain, therefore, upon the whole transaction, and they would in this, as in all the foregoing cases, be exactly in the same situation as if there was no feignorage.

WHEN the tax upon a commodity is so moderate as not to encourage imuggling, the merchant, who deals in it, though he advances, does not properly pay the tax, as he gets it back in the price of the commodity. The tax is finally paid by the last purchaser or consumer. But money is a commodity with regard to which every man is a merchant. Nobody buys it but in order to fell it again; and with regard to it there is in ordinary cases no last purchaser or consumer. When the tax upon coinage, therefore, is so moderate as not to encourage false coining, though every body advances the tax, nobody finally pays it; because every body gets it back in the advanced value of the cam.

A MODERATE seignorage, therefore, would not in any case augment the expence of the bank, or of any other private perfons who carry their bullion to the mint in order to be coined. and the want of a moderate seignorage does not in any case diminish it. Whether there is or is not a seignorage, if the currency contains its full standard weight, the coinage costs nothing to any body, and if it is short of that weight, the coinage must always cost the difference between the quantity of bullion which ought to be contained in it, and that which actually is contained in it.

THE government, therefore, when it defrays the expence of coinage, not only incurrs fome fmall expence, but loses some BOOK small revenue which it might get by a proper duty; and neither the bank nor any other private persons are in the smallest degree benefited by this useless piece of public generosity.

> THE directors of the bank, however, would probably be unwilling to agree to the imposition of a seignorage upon the authority of a fpeculation which promifes them no gain, but only pretends to infure them from any lofs. In the prefent state of the gold coin, and as long as it continues to be received by weight, they certainly would gain nothing by fuch a change. But if the custom of weighing the gold coin should ever go into disuse, as it is very likely to do, and if the gold coin should ever fall into the same state of degradation in which it was before the late re-coinage, the gain, or more properly the favings of the bank, in consequence of the imposition of a seignorage, would probably be very considerable. The bank of England is the only company which fends any confiderable quantity of bullion to the mint, and the burden of the annual coinage falls entirely or almost entirely upon it. If this annual coinage had nothing to do but to repair the unavoidable losses and necessary tear and wear of the coin, it could feldom exceed fifty thousand or at most a hundred thousand pounds. But when the coin is degraded below its standard weight, the annual coinage must, besides this, fill up the large vacuities which exportation and the melting pot are continually making in the current coin. It was upon this account that during the ten or twelve years immediately preceeding the late reformation of the gold coin, the annual coinage amounted at an average to more than eight hundred and fifty thousand pounds. But if there had been a feignorage of four or five per cent, upon the gold coin, it would probably, even in the state in which things then were, have put an effectual stop to the business both of exportation and of the melting pot. The bank, instead of losing

every ye about two and a half per cent. upon the bullion CHAP. which was to be coined into more than eight hundred and fifty thousand pounds, or incurring an annual loss of more than twenty one thousand two hundred and fifty pounds, would not probably have incurred the tenth part of that lofs.

THE revenue allotted by parliament for defraying the expence of the coinage is but fourteen thousand pounds a year, and the real expence which it costs the government, or the fees of the officers of the mint, do not upon ordinary occasions, I am affured, exceed the half of that fum. The faving of fo very fmall a fum, or even the gaining of another which could not well be much larger, are objects too inconfiderable, it may be thought, to deserve the serious attention of government. But the faving of eighteen or twenty thousand pounds a year in case of an event which is not improbable, which has frequently happened before, and which is very likely to happen again, is furely an object which well deferves the ferious attention even of fo great a company as the bank of England. The continue reads he we

Some of the foregoing reasonings and observations might perhaps have been more properly placed in those chapters of the first book which treat of the origin and use of money, and of the difference between the real and the nominal price of commodities. But as the law for the encouragement of coinage derives its origin from those vulgar prejudices which have been introduced by the mercantile fystem; I judged it more proper to referve them for this chapter. Nothing could be more agreeable to the spirit of that system than a fort of bounty upon the production of money, the very thing which, it supposes, constitutes the wealth of every nation. It is one of its many admirable expedients for enriching the country.